

# Board of Directors Regular Meeting November 5, 2012 <br> 6:00 p.m. Executive Session; 7:00 p.m. Regular Meeting HMT Recreation Complex, Peg Ogilbee Dryland Meeting Room 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton 

## AGENDA

1. Executive Session*
A. Personnel
B. Legal
C. Land
2. Call Regular Meeting to Order
3. Action Resulting from Executive Session
4. Presentations
A. U.S. Youth Soccer Recreation Coach of the Year Award - Tom Makowski
B. Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee Annual Report
5. Audience Time**
6. Board Time
7. Consent Agenda***
A. Approve: Minutes of October 1, 2012 Regular Board Meeting
B. Approve: Monthly Bills
C. Approve: Monthly Financial Statement
D. Approve: Resolution Appointing Recreation Advisory Committee Member
E. Approve: Resolution for Annexation of Properties per Washington County Ordinance 624 and in Accordance with ORS 198.857(2)
F. Approve: Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution
G. Approve: Statewide Transportation Improvement Program Grant Application
H. Approve: Resolution Transfer Adjusting Budget Appropriations for the Fiscal Year Commencing July 1, 2012
I. Approve: Resolution Acknowledging Recent Property Acquisitions and Describing Funding Source(s) and Purpose
J. Approve: Timberland Parks \& Trails Improvements Site Naming Request
8. Unfinished Business
A. Information: General Manager's Report
9. New Business
A. Approve: Energy Savings Performance Contract - Phase II Project Development Plan
B. Approve: Westside/Waterhouse Trail Connection Master Plan

## 10. Adjourn


#### Abstract

*Executive Session: Executive Sessions are permitted under the authority of ORS 192.660. Copies of the statute are available at the offices of Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District. **Public Comment: If you wish to be heard on an item not on the agenda, or a Consent Agenda item, you may be heard under Audience Time with a 3-minute time limit. If you wish to speak on an agenda item, also with a 3-minute time limit, please wait until it is before the Board. Note: Agenda items may not be considered in the order listed. ***Consent Agenda: If you wish to speak on an agenda item on the Consent Agenda, you may be heard under Audience Time. Consent Agenda items will be approved without discussion unless there is a request to discuss a particular Consent Agenda item. The issue separately discussed will be voted on separately. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), this material, in an alternate format, or special accommodations for the meeting, will be made available by calling 503-645-6433 at least 48 hours prior to the meeting.


## MEMO

DATE: October 29, 2012
TO: The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager
RE: Information Regarding the November 5, 2012 Board of Directors Meeting
Agenda Item \#4 - Presentations
A. U.S. Youth Soccer Recreation Coach of the Year Award - Tom Makowski Attached please find a memo from Jim McElhinny, Director of Park \& Recreation Services, reporting that Chuck Keers, Executive Director for the Oregon Youth Soccer Association, will be in attendance at your meeting to recognize Tom Makowski, Somerset West Soccer Club Coach, for his award as the 2011 United States Youth Soccer Recreation Coach of the Year for Girls by the United States Youth Soccer Organization.

## B. Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee Annual Report

Attached please find a memo from myself reporting that Marc San Soucie, Chair of the Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee, will be at your meeting to present the Committee's third Annual Report.

## Agenda Item \#7 - Consent Agenda

Attached please find Consent Agenda items \#7A-J for your review and approval.
Action Requested: Approve Consent Agenda Items \#7A-J as submitted:
A. Approve: Minutes of October 1, 2012 Regular Board Meeting
B. Approve: Monthly Bills
C. Approve: Monthly Financial Statement
D. Approve: Resolution Appointing Recreation Advisory Committee Member
E. Approve: Resolution for Annexation of Properties per Washington County Ordinance 624 and in Accordance with ORS 198.857(2)
F. Approve: Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution
G. Approve: Statewide Transportation Improvement Program Grant Application
H. Approve: Resolution Transfer Adjusting Budget Appropriations for the Fiscal Year Commencing July 1, 2012
I. Approve: Resolution Acknowledging Recent Property Acquisitions and Describing Funding Source(s) and Purpose
J. Approve: Timberland Parks \& Trails Improvements Site Naming Request

## Agenda Item \#8 - Unfinished Business

A. General Manager's Report

Attached please find the General Manager's Report for the November Regular Board meeting.

## Agenda Item \#9 - New Business

A. Energy Savings Performance Contract - Phase II Project Development Plan Attached please find a memo from Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, regarding a proposed second phase of the District's Energy Savings Performance Contract project. Keith, along with Ann Mackiernan, Operations Analysis Manager, will be at your meeting to provide an overview of the memo and to answer any questions the Board may have.

## Action Requested: Board of Directors ratification of the Technical Energy Audit and Project Development Plan findings conducted as the initial step in the Energy Savings Performance Contract Phase II, and authorization to execute the Design and Construction of the projects.

## B. Westside/Waterhouse Trail Connection Master Plan

Attached please find a memo from Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning, requesting approval of the Westside to Waterhouse Trail Connection Master Plan. Hal, along with Steve Gulgren, Superintendent of Planning \& Development, Rene Brucker, Park Planner, and Paul Agrimis and Steve Roelof of Vigil Agrimis, Inc., the project consultant, will be at your meeting to provide an overview of the master plan and to answer any questions the Board may have.

# Action Requested: Board of Directors approval of the Westside/Waterhouse Trail Connection Master Plan. 

## Other Packet Enclosures

- Management Report to the Board - System Development Charge Report
- Monthly Capital Report
- Newspaper Articles
- Monthly Bond Capital Report
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## MEMO

DATE: October 24, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: James McElhinny, Director of Park and Recreation Services
RE: $\quad$ U.S. Youth Soccer Recreation Coach of the Year Award - Tom Makowski
Tom Makowski, Somerset West Soccer Club (THJSL) Coach, was honored as the 2011 United States Youth Soccer Recreation Coach of the Year for Girls by the United States Youth Soccer Organization in Boston, Massachusetts this past spring.

Chuck Keers, Executive Director for the Oregon Youth Soccer Association, will introduce Tom at the November 5, 2012 Regular Board of Directors meeting to be recognized by the Board for the award.
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## MEMO

DATE: October 25, 2012
TO: The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager
RE: $\quad$ Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee Annual Report
The Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee has compiled their third Annual Report (attached). Marc San Soucie, Chair of the Oversight Committee, will be at the November 5, 2012 Regular Board meeting to present the report to the Board of Directors and answer any questions they may have.

## Action Requested

No Board of Directors action requested. Informational report only.

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 2008 Bond Measure / Citizen Bond Oversight Committee

Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District (THPRD) is the largest special park district in Oregon. It spans approximately 50 square miles and serves more than 220,000 residents in northeastern Washington County. THPRD owns or manages more than 90 park sites with active recreational amenities, 60 miles of trails, eight swim centers, six recreational centers and 1,400 acres of natural areas.

In 2008 THPRD, with input from a Bond Task Force, developed a $\$ 100,000,000$ bond measure to fund a recommended list of land acquisitions and projects. Bond funds were to be used for present and future needs throughout the district, and included six major components: Park Additions and Upgrades, Natural Area Preservation, Trail Expansions, Youth Athletic Field Additions, Building Expansions and Facility Replacements and Upgrades.

Bond Measure 34-56 "Bonds to Preserve Natural Areas, Water, Improve Parks, Create Trails" was approved by a public vote on November 4, 2008. Sale of the bonds was accomplished in two phases. Bonds totaling $\$ 58,500,000$ were issued in April 2009 at an effective interest rate of $4.19 \%$, and the balance of the bonds with a face amount of $\$ 40,060,000$ were sold on September 16, 2011 at an effective interest rate of $3.25 \%$. Projected costs to THPRD taxpayers are discussed on THPRD's website at http://www.thprd.org/news/news.cfm?id=1272.

The Bond Measure included provisions for a citizen oversight committee and also required yearly financial audits by an independent auditor. Fulfilling this promise, THPRD's Board of Directors established the Citizen Bond Oversight Committee on December 8, 2008 by Resolution No. 2008-15. The resolution states "The purpose and authority of the Committee is to ensure that the THPRD Parks Bond Capital Program meets the objectives of the Bond Measure and funds are expended as promised, with a focus on overall delivery of Bond Measure obligations and "not the details of specific projects or activities." The Committee was directed to report annually to the Board and the public regarding conformance with stated Bond Measure objectives and, to make recommendations, if any, for improving the Bond Program efficiency, administration or performance. The Committee does not design projects, approve project designs, or supervise any THPRD activities. Committee recommendations must have the support of a majority of Committee members.

The Board solicited applications, selected members, and the Committee met initially in May 2009. The Committee's first two reports were delivered to the Board in November 2010, and December 2011, and the complete reports are available on the THPRD website, at www.thprd.org, under the 2008 Bond Measure/Citizen Oversight Committee tab.

This third annual report of the Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District Citizen Bond Oversight Committee covers the period from July 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012, THPRD's most recent budget year.

# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District <br> Citizen Bond Oversight Committee 2012 Report 

## Introduction and Overview

This has been a very successful and positive year for the bond program. As noted in this report, many acquisitions and projects have been completed, and many more are in progress and either approaching completion or scheduled for near-term completion. While much work remains to be done, it is fair to say that THPRD is making substantive and appropriate progress in all areas of the bond program. THPRD has moved fully into the construction, acquisition, and implementation phase of most of the Bond projects.

Successfully implementing a series of projects as complex as the THPRD 2008 Bond projects requires detailed planning and designing before commencing acquisition or construction. This process is seen in the following table, showing that money spent, construction and acquisition ramped up slowly for the first two years, and then increased considerably over the last two years. A significant number of projects are currently in or past the construction phase and, as such, should be completed during 2012/2013.

## THPRD Bond Project - Cumulative Snapshot of Activity

|  | 6/30/2009 |  | 6/30/2010 |  | 6/30/2011 |  | 6/30/2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Units | Dollars | Units | Dollars | Units | Dollars | Units | Dollars |
| Money Spent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Each Year | - | \$465,172 | - | \$3,351,901 | - | \$16,965,163 | - | \$13,470,628 |
| Cumulative | - | \$465,172 | - | \$3,817,073 | - | \$20,782,236 | - | \$34,252,864 |
| Construction Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completed | 0 | \$0 | 9 | \$1,596,520 | 15 | \$2,609,442 | 32 | \$6,908,882 |
| In Progress | 0 | N/A | 8 | N/A | 31 | N/A | 65 | \$11,707,732 |
| Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Units in Acres) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parks | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$177,521 | 37.69 | \$11,835,513 | 45.57 | \$13,739,113 |
| Natural Areas | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$3,884 | 0.76 | \$205,845 | 2.91 | \$287,568 |
| Trail Corridors | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$11,501 | 2.87 | \$688,849 | 5.25 | \$856,621 |
| Community Center | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$4,404 | 0.77 | \$589,963 | 0.77 | \$614,109 |

## Organization and Policies

During 2009 and 2010, the THPRD Board and staff engaged in intensive planning and structuring of Bond Measure projects, establishing priorities, and developing financial and operational principles to guide the staffing, contracting and public communications needed to accomplish Bond objectives. Decisions made in this process, and the Board's reasons for them were discussed in the Committee's 2010 report, which is available online at www.thprd.org, under the 2008 Bond Measure/Citizen Oversight Committee tab.

Financial Summary

| FY2009-2012 BOND PROGRAM FINANCIAL SUMMARY (\$ in Thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purpose \& Promises Made | Initial <br> Program <br> Allocation | Adjusted <br> Program <br> Allocation | Expended through 6/30/12 | Balance as of $6 / 30 / 12$ |
| LAND ACQUISITION |  |  |  |  |
| New Neighborhood Parks | \$9,000.0 | \$9,132.3 | \$5,636.1 | \$3,496.2 |
| New Community Park | \$10,000.0 | \$10,160.1 | \$8,103.0 | \$2,057.1 |
| Natural Area Preservation | \$8,400.0 | \$8,534.6 | \$287.6 | \$8,247.0 |
| New Linear Park \& Trail | \$1,200.0 | \$1,219.2 | \$856.6 | \$362.6 |
| New Community Center SW | \$5,000.0 | \$5,079.7 | \$614.1 | \$4,465.6 |
| Total | \$33,600.0 | \$34,125.9 | \$15,497.4 | \$18,628.5 |
| PARKS AND YOUTH ATHLETIC FIELDS |  |  |  |  |
| New Neighborhood Park Development | \$3,750.0 | \$4,986.6 | \$537.2 | \$4,449.4 |
| Renovate/Redevelop Neighborhood Parks | \$3,000.0 | \$3,827.4 | \$911.7 | \$2,915.7 |
| Develop New Community Park | \$5,000.0 | \$7,835.2 | \$2.6 | \$7,832.6 |
| Renovate/Redevelop Community Parks | \$7,000.0 | \$9,952.9 | \$1,550.6 | \$8,402.3 |
| Youth Athletic Field Development | \$5,000.0 | \$3,157.4 | \$595.2 | \$2,562.2 |
| Synthetic Field Development | \$4,000.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 |
| Outdoor Splash Pad | \$525.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 |
| Total | \$28,275.0 | \$29,759.5 | \$3,597.3 | \$26,162.2 |
| NATURAL AREA RESTORATION | \$3,600.0 | \$3,822.8 | \$384.2 | \$3,438.6 |
| NEW LINEAR PARK \& TRAIL DEVELOPMENT | \$14,745.0 | \$15,387.4 | \$3,685.7 | \$11,701.7 |
| DEFERRED PARK MAINT. REPLACEMENTS | \$1,500.0 | \$1,462.3 | \$1,289.2 | \$173.1 |
| FACILITIES REHAB, EXPANSION \& IMPROVE. |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Rehabilitation | \$6,500.0 | \$6,314.9 | \$1,851.6 | \$4,463.3 |
| Facility Expansion \& Improvements | \$7,550.0 | \$8,335.5 | \$7,630.1 | \$705.4 |
| Total | \$14,050.0 | \$14,650.4 | \$9,481.7 | \$5,168.7 |
| ADA/ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS | \$1,000.0 | \$1,043.9 | \$178.6 | \$865.3 |
| BOND ISSUANCE COST \& PROJECT MGMT. | \$3,230.0 | \$1,258.9 | \$138.8 | \$1,120.1 |
| GRAND TOTAL | \$100,000.0 | \$101,511.1 | \$34,252.9 | \$67,258.2 |

Notes to Table Adjusted Program Allocation Column: The primary reasons for the changes in this column are (1) Project Grouping Adjustments to the Parks and Youth Athletic Fields expenditures categories to allow management and contractual development of these projects to move forward in tandem; (2) Facility Upgrade Adjustments between Facility Rehabilitation and Facility Expansion and improvements for the Elsie Stuhr Center Project; (3) other Project Management Adjustments and (4) Interest Earned. Through June 30, 2012, $\$ 1,511,100$ of interest earned on invested Bond funds has been proportionately redistributed to all projects except the Bond Issuance Costs and Project Management expenditure categories. The other adjustments are net-zero adjustments and were discussed in more detail in the 2011 Committee report.

## Other Funding

Through June 30, 2012, funding sources other than Bond proceeds had been used to provide additional revenue for the following projects which are not included in the Financial Summary Table.

Camille Park: Local Government Grant Pool award of \$70,000 toward installation of play equipment, picnic pavilion and site furnishings.

Schiffler Park: Land/Water Conservation award of \$40,000 to replace an existing picnic pavilion with a $30 '$ by 40' structure. Since the project received a favorable bid and is expected to be under budget, the System Development Charge Fund contribution of $\$ 50,000$ discussed in the 2011 Committee report is no longer part of the funding.

Waterhouse Trail Segments 1,5 and West Spur: THPRD is requesting $\$ 500,000$ from the Washington County Major Streets Transportation Improvement Program (MSTIP). Using this money and savings from other trail projects, THPRD hopes to be able to overcome a budget deficit for this project as well as completing Segment 4 of the trail, which was not including in the original project scope.

Winkelman Athletic Field: The Board authorized up to $\$ 400,000$ in System Development Charge funds to be used for additional site amenities such as a dog park and a parking lot.

Cobb Property: $\$ 300,000$ of City of Beaverton 2006 Metro Bond Measure local share funds to assist in acquisition of a neighborhood park site in the SE quadrant of the district.

McGettigan Property: $\$ 850,000$ of Metro Natural Areas Bond Measure were combined with Bond Funds for the purchase of this property for the new NW quadrant neighborhood park (North Bethany)

## Works in Progress - Land Acquisition

## Financial Allocation

Proposed Bond Measure land acquisitions and funds allocated for them involved five categories: New Neighborhood Parks - $\$ 9,000,000$ (At least one in each quadrant, plus two more anywhere); a new Community Park for the northeast quadrant of the district - $\$ 10,000,000$; a Community Center for the southwest quadrant - $\$ 5,000,000$; Natural Areas across the district - $\$ 8,400,000$; Linear Parks and Trails across the district - \$1,200,000.

Initial cost estimates for land acquisition, based on land values at the beginning of the program, were: Parks and Community Center - $\$ 500,000$ per acre; Natural Areas - $\$ 10,000$ per acre (assuming that most natural area parcels would be undevelopable); Linear Parks and Trails - \$150,000 per mile.

These costs, and the ongoing accounting for land acquisitions, include the property purchase price, consultant costs for appraisers, appraisal review, environmental review, title and other closing costs, removal of debris, existing structures or hazards, and the hourly rate charged by part time Land Acquisition Specialists.

Properties acquired for new parks and the community center will not be developed from Bond proceeds. Timing and funding for completion and development of these properties will be determined by the Board in the future. Bond proceeds are intended to provide for development of some, but not all, areas acquired for trails and linear parks, and are intended to provide for restoration of many of the areas acquired for natural area preservation.

## Timing

When the Bond Program began, the Board chose to focus on park land acquisition first because of the need to buy large parcels of land, which are typically also suitable for immediate urban development and can be subject to price competition. Land suitable for natural areas or trails is often not immediately developable due to topographical or environmental restrictions.

Negotiations with property owners have frequently been slow and often challenging. Many property owners were initially reluctant to accept that market values of property had declined from 2007-2008.
THPRD policy is to offer property owners no more than the appraised market value unless there are significant Board-approved reasons for a higher offer. Appraisals are made and then reviewed by a second certified appraiser. In practice, appraisals for many parcels which THPRD has pursued have been difficult to make, because during the nationwide economic downturn there were few transactions to serve as comparable sales for appraisal. Differences of opinion between THPRD and property owners were numerous, and initially caused acquisitions to be slow. The Board spends a portion of almost every monthly meeting in Executive Session discussing potential land acquisitions with staff.

Progress in the 2012-2013 fiscal year has been much stronger, and many of the planned acquisitions have been closed.

## Progress To Date

New Community Park, NE quadrant - In April 2011, THPRD acquired a 22.37 acre parcel (the Teufel property) for $\$ 8,000,000$. This is an excellent site, larger than anticipated, and was acquired substantially under budget. $\$ 2,000,000$ of the allocated budget was not spent. These funds will most likely be used for other categories of land acquisition.

Community Center, SW quadrant - One property has been acquired for $\$ 545,000$, in anticipation of acquiring a larger adjacent parcel. It is currently uncertain whether the second acquisition will occur, and if it does not, the acquired property is expected to be sold, and proceeds used for future acquisitions consistent with Bond program objectives. THPRD is considering using a parcel it already owns in the SW quadrant to serve as the site of the Community Center, while acquiring another site elsewhere to use for the community park that was originally planned for the currently owned parcel. This decision is not yet final.

## New Neighborhood Parks

SE quadrant: In August 2010, THPRD acquired an 8.65 acre parcel (the Cobb property) for $\$ 2,800,000$, of which $\$ 2,500,000$ was Bond funds. The City of Beaverton contributed $\$ 300,000$ in Local Share funds from the 2006 Metro Bond Measure. This property is significantly larger and more expensive than is needed for a neighborhood park, but it is well located. THPRD may need to reconcile the cost-to-budget difference, if this category of acquisitions ends up over budget, possibly by selling part of the parcel, or allocating other THPRD funds to make up the overage in the category.

SW quadrant: In January 2011, THPRD acquired a 6.67 acre parcel on Farmington Rd. for $\$ 930,000$. This parcel includes some natural area elements.

NE quadrant: In February 2012, THPRD acquired a 0.29 acre parcel just off SW Pointer Rd. for $\$ 150,000$. THPRD is negotiating to acquire other adjoining properties to bring the total acreage up to neighborhood park standards. There are soil contamination issues on an adjoining property that are slowing the remaining transactions.

North Bethany: In August 2011, THPRD acquired a 7.59 acre parcel east of Kaiser Rd. for $\$ 2,428,000$. The majority of the funds used for the acquisition $(\$ 1,500,000)$ were from the Bond Measure, with the remainder coming from THPRD's local share of the 2006 Metro bond measure. This will be part of a future community park for North Bethany. This acquisition is a little unusual in that the funds were intended for neighborhood park acquisition, but the number of expected THPRD acquisitions in the North Bethany area is substantial, and this acquisition will help achieve overall goals for that area.

## Natural Areas
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There is no specific number of natural area properties to be acquired, just a total budget which THPRD is working to use for maximum overall benefit. After a slow start because of the decision to focus initially on park properties, this category has now seen a number of acquisitions. Much acquisition work remains to be completed in this category, as a substantial part of the proposed budget has not yet been spent.

NW quadrant: In January 2011, THPRD acquired 2.84 acres on Bronson Creek, of which about half is a natural area. The funds were allocated from the Linear Parks and Trails category.

SW quadrant: A portion of the January 2011 neighborhood park acquisition on Farmington Road is intended to be restored as a natural area. The Natural Area portion of the acquisition is valued at about $\$ 40,000$.

NE quadrant: In April 2011, THPRD acquired 0.76 acres in Cedar Mill (the Collins property) for $\$ 340,000$, of which $\$ 170,000$ was from the natural areas acquisition category.

SE quadrant: In May 2012, THPRD acquired by donation 2.15 acres near Hideaway Park. Administrative costs are estimated at $\$ 4,300$. The donated land value is assessed at $\$ 339,640$. This is an excellent, lowcost result for the program.

## Pending Natural Area Acquisition

Two additional natural area acquisitions were pending as of the end of the fiscal year. The Board has authorized these acquisitions, but they had not closed as of June 30, 2012.

SE quadrant: 2.25 acres on the western edge of a church property on Hall Blvd. in Beaverton, for a contracted price of $\$ 480,000$. This acquisition closed after the fiscal year end, and will preserve a substantial stand of mature trees.

SW quadrant: THPRD proposes to acquire parcels that would expand the Lilly K. Johnson Woods natural area. The acquisition will cost approximately $\$ 700,000$, plus $\$ 344,681$ from a Metro Nature in Neighborhoods grant, which Metro has approved.

## Linear Parks and Trails

NE quadrant: The April 2011 Cedar Mill (Collins property) acquisition included a trails element, valued at $\$ 170,000$.

SW quadrant: (1) In April 2011, THPRD acquired for $\$ 60,000$ an 1120 sq ft. easement for a future trail connecting the Four Seasons neighborhood to Roy Dancer Park; (2) As of June 30, 2012 two fee simple properties totaling 1.23 acres, five permanent easements totaling 0.46 acre and three temporary construction easements totaling 0.11 acre were acquired to allow for construction of segments of the Westside Trail. As a condition in the land use agreement allowing trail construction, the Bonneville Power Administration required THPRD to extinguish fourteen agricultural easements by paying owners of the easements a nominal amount, typically $\$ 500$ each. The total cost of these land and easement acquisitions and extinguishing the agricultural easements was approximately $\$ 17,000$, excluding staff time and soft costs such as appraisal and legal fees.

NW quadrant: (1) In January 2011, THPRD acquired 2.84 acres on Bronson Creek for $\$ 424,500$ for a future trail head; (2) In November 2011, THPRD acquired for $\$ 110,000$ a 0.37 acre easement between Jenkins Road and Baseline Road to serve as a Waterhouse Trail connection.

## Remaining Acquisitions and Funds

The Board has been presented with information on several additional potential acquisitions which could close in the first quarter of the 2012-13 fiscal year. Along with completed acquisitions, this represents excellent progress toward completion of the Bond program's land acquisition goals.

Community Center, SW quadrant: This category is not yet complete, and decisions remain to be made about how best to proceed. While one parcel has been acquired, it is not certain to remain with THPRD if other parcels prove more useful.
Neighborhood Parks: Besides the continuing negotiations for parcels in the Pointer Rd. area, THPRD continues to seek a parcel in the NW quadrant, and another parcel elsewhere in the district. Numerous properties are being investigated or negotiated. To date $\$ 5,636,096$ has been spent in this category, leaving \$4,174,505 remaining for additional acquisitions.
Natural Areas: $\$ 287,568$ has been spent in this category, leaving the substantial sum of $\$ 8,247,054$ still to be used for additional acquisitions.
Linear Parks and Trails: To date $\$ 856,621$ has been spent in this category, leaving $\$ 362,625$ still to be used for additional acquisitions.

## Community and Neighborhood Parks - Youth Athletic Fields

The THPRD standard for a neighborhood park is about three acres. The target for community parks is between 10 and 25 acres. Park amenities depend on the size of the site, but community parks usually offer larger facilities such as sports fields, sheltered group picnic areas, on-site parking and restrooms.

In some cases, funds allocated to youth athletic fields have been combined for efficiency with funds allocated to community and neighborhood parks. Careful monitoring is necessary to track the Parks and Youth Athletic Fields expenditure categories to ensure consistency with Bond Measure commitments. Five projects that have an athletic field component have been merged into park improvement projects, however none have been completed as of June 30, 2012. Although these five fields have been combined with associated park improvement projects for efficient construction bids and completion, the original commitment of 10 athletic fields will be monitored and confirmed in future reports.

## Progress to Date

By the end of calendar year 2012 four parks will have been completed. Renovation of the Camille Neighborhood Park was completed in the summer of 2012. The athletic field at Meadow Waye Park was completed in calendar year 2010. Winkelman Park, including an athletic field, and the renovation of Schiffler Community Park will be completed by the end of calendar year 2012, weather permitting.

Additional amenities have been added to some parks and athletic fields, increasing costs when compared to original budget estimates. However, actual contract award amounts are often less than the original or updated budget estimates. For example, a dog park and parking lot have been added to Winkelman Park which significantly increased costs. The Schiffler Park Construction Cost estimate ranged from $\$ 2,210,000$ to $\$ 2,298,000$; the final construction budget is $\$ 1,836,000$, a significant savings.

## Future Projects

Seven parks are scheduled to begin construction in calendar year 2013, which will be a challenging year for this program area.

New Neighborhood Park Development: Right-of-way issues have delayed construction of AM Kennedy Park and its youth athletic field until 2013. Barsotti Park and its youth athletic field, Hansen Ridge Park, Roy Dancer Park and Roger Tilbury Park are scheduled to begin construction in calendar year 2013.

Renovate/Redevelop Neighborhood Parks: Camille Park has been completed, with a cost overrun of
approximately $\$ 40,000$. Construction is scheduled in calendar year 2013 for Cedar Mill Park including its youth athletic field, Pioneer Park \& Bridge Replacement and Vista Brook Park. Somerset West Park is scheduled for construction in calendar year 2014.

New Community Park Development: SW Community Park is scheduled to begin construction in 2016 and to be completed in 2017. Funding has been transferred to this park for both a youth athletic field $(\$ 500,000)$ and a synthetic turf athletic field with lights $(\$ 2,000,000)$.

Renovate and Redevelop Community Parks: Schiffler Park will be completed (or nearly complete) in calendar year 2012 for a cost approximately $\$ 750,000$ less than the budget. Cedar Hills Park including a synthetic turf athletic field with lights is currently on hold because of land issues. It is tentatively scheduled for construction in calendar year 2014.

Multi-Field/Multi-Purpose Athletic Field Development: Meadow Waye Park has been completed at a cost approximately $\$ 114,000$ under budget.

Winkelman Park Phase 1 is expected to be completed in calendar year 2012. The current estimated cost over-run is approximately $\$ 234,000$. This park was originally planned for a ball field, but many additional amenities have been added. The additional cost is attributable to more refined construction quantities and costs, greater costs for earthwork, additions of a waterline, path and trail work, higher quality fencing, site furnishings, a dog park and under drainage for the athletic field. The Board has approved supplementing this project with up to $\$ 400,000$ from its System Development Charge Fund because of these additional amenities.

Youth Athletic Fields in Other Park Quadrants are scheduled for construction in 2014 for the Northeast and Southeast quadrants. The Northwest quadrant is scheduled for construction in 2015. The Southwest Quadrant is scheduled for 2016.

## Natural Area Land Restoration Projects

Natural area preservation and restoration is an important part of THPRD's work. Problems caused by invasive plants can be quite serious. When allowed to overrun natural areas, these intruders can kill native species by competing for food and sunlight. They can choke waterways, change stream courses, and cause backups. This can result in flooding, erosion and other dangers to water quality. These projects are spread throughout the district.

Most of the natural area preservation funds earmarked by the 2008 Bond Measure are to be used for removal of invasive non-native weeds and replanting with native plants. Results of this work are often slower to become apparent, because newly planted native species take time to grow and become established, while removal of invasive species requires multi-year repetition and maintenance work.

Much of the natural area restoration takes place in conjunction with other park projects. For example, THPRD's work to upgrade Camille Park is two-fold. Funds from the Bond Measure were put to use upgrading amenities as well as restoring natural areas in this 12-acre community park. In 2012, the installation of a new nature play area, a covered picnic spot, expanded pathways, benches and open grassy areas were completed. THPRD is now also working to enhance Camille Park's natural areas, which include an Oregon white oak habitat, a camas lily meadow and a wetland which can be accessed by means of a raised boardwalk.

The current total project budget for this category is $\$ 3.8$ million of which only eleven percent has been spent to date. Spending in this category is projected to be $\$ 440,000$ under budget.

Many of these projects have been delayed from the original planned schedule. Most of the delayed projects are due to the need to finish park construction, trails and other amenities before the
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restoration can take place. Many projects that only involve restoration have been accelerated.

## Partial Project Schedule

| Project Name | Start Master Plan | Open to Public |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A.M. Kennedy Park - planting and weed removal | October 2010 | Winter 2012 |
| Allenbach Acres Park - Pollinator Project | Fall 2011 | Spring 2015 |
| Bauman Park - natural area restoration, soft surface trail installation | December 2009 | June 2013 |
| Bethany Wetlands Area - replanting natural area | to be decided | to be decided |
| Bluegrass Downs Park - replanting natural area | to be decided | to be decided |
| Camille Park - natural area restoration | October 2009 | April 2013 |
| Cedar Mill Park - natural area restoration | Spring 2012 | Fall 2018 |
| Commonwealth Lake Park - habitat enhancement | August 2009 | Spring 2015 |
| Crystal Creek Park - wetland restoration | Winter 2010 | Spring 2016 |
| Fanno Creek Park | Winter 2013 | Winter 2014 |
| Foothills Park natural area restoration | August 2009 | Spring 2014 |
| Greenway Park/Koll Center Wetland habitat enhancements | Spring 2011 | Winter 2012 |
| Hansen Ridge Park (formerly Kaiser Ridge Park) natural area restoration | Spring 2012 | Spring 2016 |
| Hazeldale Park natural area restoration | Spring 2012 | Spring 2014 |
| Hideaway Park replanting natural area | October 2011 | Winter 2012 |
| Hyland Forest Park natural area restoration, trail improvements, Nature Play Area | Winter 2009 | Spring 2012 |
| Jenkins Estate - natural area restoration, trail improvements | Spring 2009 | Summer 2013 |
| Jordan/Jackie Husen Park natural area restoration | Fall 2011 | Fall 2016 |
| Lowami Hart Woods natural area restoration, weed removal | Fall 2010 | June 2015 |
| Morrison Woods Park habitat enhancement | to be decided | to be decided |
| Mount Williams Park replanting natural area | January 2013 | April 2015 |
| Murrayhill Park natural area restoration | January 2009 | Winter 2012 |
| Pioneer Park natural area restoration | November 2011 | Spring 2016 |
| Roger Tilbury Memorial Park natural area restoration | Fall 2013 | Spring 2017 |
| Summercrest Park natural area restoration | Spring 2009 | Spring 2012 |
| Tualatin Hills Nature Park tadpole ponds restoration | July 2009 | Spring 2016 |
| Vista Brooks Park - pond buffer restoration | October 2011 | Winter 2012 |
| Whispering Woods - natural area restoration, soft-surface trail installation | October 2009 | Spring 2012 |
| Willow Creek Greenway natural area restoration | March 2010 | Spring 2013 |
| Winkelman Park - natural area natural area enhancements | January 2011 | May 2012 |

## Trails and Linear Park Projects

Eight New Linear Park and Trail Development projects have been under construction during the 20122013 fiscal year. In July 2011, three projects were ahead of the Original 2009 schedule, four were behind the 2009 schedule and one is a group of seven small natural trails that are not separately tracked. As of the end of June 2012, two are ahead of the original 2009 schedule and five are behind. Overall, the timelines for these projects have remained fairly consistent during the current reporting period.

## Specific Project Updates

## Ahead of Schedule

1. Westside Trail - Segments \#1, 4, \& 7: estimated to be $\$ 757,068$ under budget (Budget $\$ 4,307,511$ ); completion estimated in the first quarter of 2013.
2. Waterhouse Trail Segments 1, 5 and West Spur: estimated to be $\$ 1,369.801$ over budget (Budget - $\$ 3,863,534$ ); completion estimated in the fourth quarter of 2013. The permitting process is taking longer than anticipated.

## Behind Schedule

1. Jordan/Husen Park Trail: estimated to be \$439,794 under budget (Budget - \$1,685,156); completion estimated in the fourth quarter of 2012.
2 Rock Creek Trail \#5 \& Allenbach, North Bethany \#2: estimated to be \$227,505 under budget (Budget - $\$ 2,327,384$ ). The North Bethany \#2 part of the project was delayed due to a land use appeal relating to that segment. Construction of the other two segments has begun. Completion of those segments is estimated in the first quarter of 2013. It is likely construction of the North Bethany \#2 segment will be completed by the end of 2014.
2. NE Quadrant Trail - Bluffs (Phase 2): estimated to be $\$ 110,084$ over budget (Budget - $\$ 271,151$ ). Over budget resulting from increased construction costs due to the site's terrain, wetness, and closeness to neighbors. Completion is estimated in the fourth quarter of 2012.
3. Lowami Hart Woods: estimated to be $\$ 162,940$ over budget (Budget $-\$ 874,863$ ). Over budget due to neighborhood concerns and need for additional consulting and staff time. This project is delayed because construction has been split into two phases to minimize impact during migratory bird season. Completion is estimated for the first quarter of 2014.
4. Westside/Waterhouse: estimated to be on budget (Budget: $\$ 1,566,952$ ) Delayed due to land acquisition negotiations. Completion is estimated for the fourth quarter of 2014.

## Not Tracked.

Miscellaneous Natural Trails - Trails at Whispering Woods and Hyland Forest have been completed. The budget is $\$ 101,586$; the over/under budget status is not tracked.

## Deferred Maintenance and Replacements

Seven of the nine projects in this category are complete. The incomplete projects are one play structure replacement (out of eleven sites) and the Permeable Parking Lot at Sunset Swim Center.

Play structure replacement at the Waterhouse site is not yet complete. It is scheduled for completion in spring of 2013. The project is behind schedule due to delays related to the need to address stream corridor impacts. Other site locations had to be considered and there were additional jurisdictional requirements. The Waterhouse playground site is expected to be $\$ 4,000$ over budget but Play Structure Replacement overall is projected to be approximately $\$ 30,000$ under budget when complete.

Construction of the permeable parking lot at Sunset Swim Center was rescheduled to July 2013 to avoid conflicts with facility structural work timing and to stagger the facility closures. Design and development is substantially complete. The project is expected to be over budget because of the change to the more costly architectural pervious concrete, which was used with very positive results at the Aloha Swim Center.

The Deferred Park Maintenance and Replacements category spent $\$ 1,289,000$ or $88 \%$ of total budget through June 30, 2012. The category is expected to be over its budget by approximately $\$ 168,000$ when completed

## Facility Rehabilitation, Expansions and Improvements

## Facility Rehabilitation

Eight of the 15 construction projects (not including the Tier 1 analysis - "Upgrades at several facilities") in this category are complete. These projects mostly involve upgrades for safety and seismic protection. Projects in this category were scheduled based on a project ranking prepared following the Engineering Report issued in April, 2009 (the "Tier 1 analysis"). Cost estimates were generated based on Engineers opinion of probable costs and on a limited amount of information as to the actual construction that would be performed, since the design and a full building analysis had not been completed. Assumptions were made that upgrades would be required to address the worst case seismic scenario.

During the design phase, more economical upgrades were developed for many of these elements. In some cases, the engineers were able to show through additional analysis and/or destructive testing that complete upgrades of the element were not required. For example, it was found at Sunset Pool that the roof did have plywood sheathing so that an invasive reroofing to add plywood to the admin/locker room areas was not necessary and the roof over the pool did not require replacement.

To date, most of the elements identified during the Tier 1 evaluation have been shown to be necessary and in some cases discoveries have been made which will push costs higher than the original estimate. For example, the Aloha Pool plenum was identified as a problem after the initial Tier 1 evaluation. The Beaverton Pool may also require repairs to the plenum that were not originally included.

Projects completed this year are: Harman Swim Center - $\$ 110,000$ under budget; HMT 50M Pool $\$ 64,000$ under budget; HMT Admin - $\$ 100,000$ under budget; Sunset Swim Center Structural Upgrade $\$ 425,000$ under budget. These four projects are under budget due to favorable bids and scope changes.

Four of the seven remaining projects - Conestoga, Cedar Hills, Dryland and Tennis Center, are expected to be completed by the end of January 2013. The remaining three projects are scheduled as follows: Aloha Swim Center - Feb to April 2013; Beaverton Swim Center- Sept to Dec 2013; Garden Home Recreation Center- Dec 2014 to March 2015.

Because the initial ranking of projects and cost estimates were based on preliminary information and used a worst case scenario, the possibility or likelihood of savings which could be reallocated to other sites was considered at the outset. The Garden Home Recreation Center has the most items and requires the greatest amount of work. It therefore was purposely scheduled last so that any available savings could be reapplied there if desired.

The Facility and Rehabilitation category has a total budget of $\$ 6,300,000$, with $\$ 1,900,000$ or $29 \%$ spent as of June 30, 2012. The category is currently projected to be $\$ 1,098,000$ under budget.

## Facility Expansion and Improvements

This project group includes an adjusted $\$ 8,335,000$ for improvements at existing facilities. Four of the five projects in the category have been completed as of June 30, 2012.

ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) compliant dressing rooms at Aloha swim center and the HMT Aquatic Center have been completed as well as the HVAC upgrade at the HMT Athletic Center. The Elsie Stuhr Center expansion and structural improvement was completed just prior to fiscal year end with the addition to the library, an increase of the lobby size, relocation of the fitness area, remodeling of main restrooms, and seismic and other upgrades. The Conestoga recreation center was completed by September, 2012 with site work including relocation of playground, parking, splash pad and water wall as well as 8,300 square feet of building additions including classrooms, fitness facilities, and a new
women's locker room.
In the Facility Expansion and Improvements category, $\$ 7,630,000$ of the total $\$ 8,335,000$, budget (92\%) has been spent through June 30,2012 . The category is expected to end up under budget by $\$ 197,000$.

## ADA Access Improvements

This category includes 14 specific projects, with a total budget of $\$ 1,000,000$. Most of the projects have been completed, and there are no indications that the category will exceed its budget. One project at Greenway Park was cancelled from the THPRD project list, as the City of Beaverton constructed suitable ADA improvements as part of a city project, with THPRD acting in an advisory role.

As of June 30, 2012, only two projects remain to be completed. A small project at Waterhouse Powerline Park is in the Construction Documents phase and should be completed in calendar year 2012. The more substantial ADA parking and other improvements project at the HMT Complex is still in the planning stage, in conjunction with other large bond projects at the complex. This project is scheduled for completion in 2014.

As noted in the descriptions of Facility projects, some additional ADA improvements are being constructed as part of other projects, using funds from those categories.

## Project Management, Bond Issuance Costs, Accounting

THPRD's goal for this category is $3 \%$ of the $\$ 100,000,000$ Bond Measure. As of June 30, 2012, actual expenditures were $\$ 1,213,600$, less than $2 \%$ percent of Total Program Allocation. This category of expenditures is expected to be higher during the implementation phases of a project and to decrease as the project gets under way through completion.

Project Management costs are composed of Planning and Natural Resources staff time spent on each project (other than Land Purchases), including temporary personnel hired for the duration of bond funding (other than Land Purchases). THPRD initially transferred $\$ 1,780,000$, and later transferred an additional $\$ 191,110$ Project Management and Bond Issuance Costs category to all projects (other than Land Purchases) based on the relation of individual project budget amounts to the total project budget. THPRD's accounting system tracks these costs for each individual project. Based on an accounting report provided by THPRD staff, total Project Management costs through June 30, 2012 were $\$ 1,074,800$ and Bond Issuance and Administrative costs totaled $\$ 138,800$.

Accounting is by project and appears complete and accurate. No audit findings for fiscal 2011 cast doubt on results for the Bond projects.

## Looking Back

THPRD has been responsive and thorough in addressing recommendations made previously by the Citizens Bond Oversight Committee. Some highlights:

- Staff developed a stronger set of reporting tools to facilitate the Committee's responsibility for ensuring the appropriate use of bond funds.
- Staff changed their accounting to allow for more accurate tracking of staff and management time in project budgets.
- Staff continues to provide excellent information about the program to the community.
- Staff added land acquisition personnel and increased activity, which has resulted in a higher close rate on good parcels.
- Staff has organized tours of acquisitions and project sites for the Board and the Committee.


## Looking Forward

The Committee continues to monitor the rate of land acquisition for natural area preservation. For sensible reasons THPRD placed initial emphasis on acquisitions for other categories, but this category maintains the largest ratio of unspent funds. THPRD is actively in pursuit of many parcels, so there is reason to expect positive results.

THPRD will need to account carefully for land acquisitions that generate results in more than one category, such as parks, trails, and natural areas. THPRD has been appropriately opportunistic in pursuing properties that satisfy multiple needs, but the accounting of each such acquisition is being handled as a distinct choice. The Committee will work to insure that the net result satisfies Bond Program commitments.

The Committee continues to monitor spending, progress, and results for the park projects into which athletic field funds have been combined, to ensure that appropriate amounts have been spent on those fields.

THPRD will need to develop a plan for remedying the over-spending of Neighborhood Park Land Acquisition funds on some larger-than-anticipated properties. Those acquisitions were strong and opportunistic selections, but they raise bond fund allocation issues that need to be resolved.

The Committee continues to note instances where a project exceeds its budget or expands its scope, and other sources of funding are applied to complete the project. While this is a reasonable choice for complex projects with unexpected or opportunistic changes, the Committee will monitor the promised allocation of bond funds to specific project categories.

Finally, THPRD anticipates a surplus in several Bond Program funding categories once all committed projects or acquisitions have been completed. THPRD, with counsel from the Committee, will need to develop a plan for the re-allocation and use of those surpluses, while remaining consistent with the commitments made by THPRD to the voters in the original bond measure. Consideration of such a plan may be a priority for the coming year. The plan will need to consider whether and how to apply any surpluses to other categories which end up over their bond budget. The Board may wish to consider community input on these issues, and THPRD staff will need time for the planning process. The Committee looks forward to this fortunate discussion.

# Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Minutes of a Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors 

A Regular Meeting of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors was held at the HMT Recreation Complex, Dryland Training Center, 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton, on Monday, October 1, 2012. Executive Session 6:00 p.m.; Regular Meeting 7:00 p.m.

## Present:

Joseph Blowers
Larry Pelatt
Bob Scott
John Griffiths
William Kanable
Doug Menke

President/Director
Secretary/Director
Secretary Pro-Tempore/Director
Director
Director
General Manager

## Agenda Item \#1 - Executive Session (A) Land

President, Joe Blowers called Executive Session to order for the following purpose:

- To conduct deliberations with persons designated by the governing body to negotiate real property transactions.
Executive Session is held pursuant to ORS 192.660(2)(e), which allows the Board to meet in Executive Session to discuss the aforementioned issue.

President, Joe Blowers, noted that representatives of the news media and designated staff may attend Executive Session. All other members of the audience were asked to leave the room. Representatives of the news media were specifically directed not to disclose information discussed during Executive Session. No final action or final decision may be made in Executive Session. At the end of Executive Session, the Board will return to open session and welcome the audience back into the room.

## Agenda Item \#2 - Call Regular Meeting to Order

President, Joe Blowers, called the Regular Meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.
Agenda Item \#3 - Action Resulting from Executive Session Bob Scott moved that the Board of Directors authorize staff to acquire two properties and an easement in the northwest quadrant of the District using bond measure funds designated for acquisition of new linear parks and trail corridors to allow for construction of a segment of the Waterhouse Trail. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
Bill Kanable Yes

John Griffiths Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Bob Scott Yes
Joe Blowers Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

## Agenda Item \#4 - Oregon Recreation \& Park Association Awards

Jim McElhinny, Director of Park and Recreation Services, introduced Stephanie Redman, Executive Director for Oregon Recreation \& Park Association (ORPA), to make a presentation to the Board of Directors regarding four awards presented to the District during ORPA's 2012 Annual Conference.

Stephanie provided an overview of ORPA's mission and introduced the following award honorees:

- President, Joe Blowers - Natural Resource Section Outstanding Contribution to the Natural Resource Field Award
$\checkmark$ Stephanie provided an overview of Joe's contributions to the area's natural resource efforts, including his involvement in the Fans of Fanno Creek and East Sylvan Middle School, as well as service on the District's Board of Directors.
- Joe thanked ORPA for the honor, noting that this award is a welcome surprise.
- Elsie Stuhr Center Expansion Project - ORPA Project Design Award
$\checkmark$ Stephanie noted that this award is presented annually to the best designed park, athletic field, aquatic facility, urban mall, or recreation building that has been constructed in the past five years.
- Steve Gulgren, Superintendent of Planning \& Development, introduced Tim Wybenga, the consultant architect for the project, and commented that Tim did a great job in working with District staff to reallocate the activity rooms already within the Center, which provided for better utilization of space without adding a lot more square footage.
- Leslie Mundt - Recreation Sports Section Distinguished Service Award
$\checkmark$ Stephanie recounted Leslie's longtime involvement in ORPA's recreational sports section, as well as her significant volunteerism for the organization and service as past president.
- Leslie thanked the District for the opportunity to participate in ORPA.
- Sabrina Taylor Schmitt and the Summer Wipe Out Series - Aquatic Section Excellence in Programming Award
$\checkmark$ Stephanie described the idea that arose from the need to boost open swim attendance, which led to the purchase of a couple of large, inflatable devices that are moved around to the different pools during the summer.
- Sabrina thanked the Aquatics Advisory Committee for their financial support of the project, noting that it would not have been possible without their support.

Stephanie thanked the Board of Directors and District staff for their partnership with ORPA, noting that this evening's awards are well deserved.

## Agenda Item \#5 - Audience Time

Mike Meier, 9025 SW Caroline Drive, Portland, is before the Board of Directors this evening regarding the wheelchair ramp to the outdoor tennis courts at the Tennis Center on the HMT Recreation Complex. He noted that as a participant in the annual Northwest Wheelchair Tennis Tournament, he has noticed that the ramp to the court is too narrow for modern sports wheelchairs. He had heard that some improvements were being planned for the area and would like consideration to be given to widening the ramps at that time. He referenced potential United States Tennis Association grant funds for these improvements.
$\checkmark$ President, Joe Blowers, thanked Mike for his testimony and asked that District staff follow up with him regarding his request.

## Agenda Item \#6 - Board Time

Bob Scott thanked District staff for coordinating the projects tour that took place this past weekend for the Board of Directors and Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee members.

Larry Pelatt referenced a comment made by Stephanie during the awards presentations this evening regarding the benefits of the public passing the District's 2008 Bond Measure, noting that he would like to echo those comments and thank all of the residents who voted in favor of the 2008 Bond Measure. The District has made a lot of progress thanks to the support of the District's users and taxpayers.

Bill Kanable notified the Board that he would be recusing himself from the discussions and vote this evening pertaining to the Affiliated Sports Policy.

John Griffiths echoed Larry's comments, noting that he was impressed by the quality of the projects they viewed on the tour and that the District is blessed to have such community support and access to so many amenities and facilities.

President, Joe Blowers, expressed agreement with Larry and John's comments as well, noting that the support of the District's taxpayers is amazing and that the Board could not accomplish what has been done without that support. It is wonderful to be part of an organization that, in general, people love what it does. He also thanked the Board for their willingness to work together on various issues despite different backgrounds and perspectives.

## Agenda Item \#7 - Consent Agenda

Larry Pelatt moved the Board of Directors approve Consent Agenda items (A) Minutes of September 10, 2012 Board Meeting, (B) Monthly Bills, (C) Monthly Financial Statement, (D) Resolution Appointing Elsie Stuhr Center Advisory Committee Member, (E) Consultant Contract for Somerset West Park, and (F) Resolution Declaring a Portion of District Property as Surplus \& Authorizing its Marketing and Negotiation for Sale. Bob Scott seconded the motion.

John Griffiths asked for additional information regarding Consent Agenda Item F, Resolution Declaring a Portion of District Property as Surplus and Authorizing its Marketing and Negotiation for Sale. He observed that the parcel proposed for sale is contiguous to park property and asked what deems this portion as surplus property relative to the rest of the property.
$\checkmark$ Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning, replied that the entire property was initially acquired a few years ago as the future location of a trailhead for the planned Bronson Creek Trail; however, the entire property is not needed for that use. The parcel proposed for sale is fronting on the street on the north end of the site and could be developed with three or four residences contiguous to the existing subdivision. The funds that would be derived from the sale would go back into the bond fund for land acquisition to be used to purchase other land that could be better used by the public. In this case, District staff does not see a need to retain the northern part of the site in order to fulfill its purpose. John asked about the natural resource value of the property.
$\checkmark$ Hal replied that there is natural resource value at the southern end of the property, which contains some of the riparian corridor for Bronson Creek, but not at the northern end.
$\checkmark$ Doug Menke, General Manager, commented that the District has sold similar parcels in the past when the intended purpose of the purchase was for one specific amenity, such as a trail access point. Due to limited funds for acquisitions, it made sense to protect the desired amenity, but attempt to reimburse the acquisition fund if more property was purchased than truly needed.

John asked if the property under discussion this evening could be considered a potential community garden site. He wants to ensure that the District looks at all of the options prior to disposing of the property.
$\checkmark$ Doug replied that the intended purpose for access to the acquisition funds was specifically for a trailhead and that there are already several parks in the area. The site would be challenged for a community garden due to a lack of access to water.
$\checkmark$ Hal noted that District staff is only seeking authorization this evening to market the property and negotiate a sale. Approval by the Board of Directors will be sought for the actual sale. If the Board feels that the District is not receiving enough compensation in order to justify the sale, at that point the Board may choose to retain the property. John asked if staff has an idea of what the market rate will be for the property.
$\checkmark$ Hal replied that he is having a pre-application meeting with Washington County staff in the near future to discuss what types of offsite improvements would be needed in order for development to occur on the property.
John clarified that the reason behind his interest in this is that the District is typically in the practice of acquiring land; not disposing of it.

## Roll call proceeded as follows:

Bob Scott Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Bill Kanable Yes
John Griffiths Yes
Joe Blowers Yes
The motion was UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED.

## Agenda Item \#8 - Unfinished Business

A. Bond Program

Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning, provided a brief overview of the memo included within the Board of Directors information packet regarding bond program efforts, including a recent Parks Bond Citizen Oversight Committee meeting, land acquisitions, a capital projects construction update, and an overview of recent and upcoming neighborhood meetings. Hal offered to answer any questions the Board may have.
$\checkmark$ Hearing none, President, Joe Blowers, requested the staff report for the next agenda item.

## B. Affiliated Sports Policy

Scott Brucker, Superintendent of Sports, provided a detailed overview of the memo included within the Board of Directors information packet regarding a new District Compiled Policy (DCP) being proposed for the Board's consideration pertaining to the relationships and responsibilities between the District, its affiliated sports groups, and other user groups. Scott noted that this new policy was first presented to the Board at their June 18, 2012 Regular Board meeting for an initial review, at which time the Board directed staff to conduct additional outreach meetings to the affected user groups. These meetings have been completed and the feedback received is included within the Board of Directors information packet and is generally favorable and focused on the operational details of the policy. Scott stated that Aloha United Soccer Club voiced objection to the proposed policy during Audience Time at the August 18, 2012 Regular Board meeting and via an email that was provided to the Board in advance of this evening's meeting, a copy of which has been entered into the record. Scott described the process beginning in 2010 that led to the development of the policy being proposed this evening and which included creation of a Community Sports Delivery Task Force (CSDTF) who submitted recommendations to assist in forming the policy. Scott commented that should the Board approve the proposed policy this evening, staff is prepared to complete the final documents that will become the
operational portion of the policy and the new guidelines. Once all of the documents have been finalized, staff will begin implementation meetings with the various sports and user groups in January 2013. Due to operational variations and schedules, the implementation schedule will be different for each sport; however, staff is targeting December 2015 for completion, which will include formal letters of agreement. Scott offered to answer any questions the Board may have.

Bob Scott asked for additional information regarding the targeted completion date of December 2015 and how this date was determined.
$\checkmark$ Scott replied that the timeline is perhaps a little longer than necessary, but as an example, spring sports will not be able to begin meeting until the fall due to how busy they are in the spring, and some sports have two seasons. Staff is trying to ensure that the volunteer groups have adequate time to work on it. Although the majority of items already exist, or can be developed relatively easy over time, staff did not want to put pressure on the volunteer groups.

Larry Pelatt asked whether there is any incentive for groups to begin implementation prior to the deadline.
$\checkmark$ Scott replied that, for example, the Little League groups, with the exception of some minor items, have all of the required information already compiled.
Larry asked if such groups are likely to implement prior to the deadline.
$\checkmark$ Scott replied that no groups have expressed a wish to drag the process out longer than necessary and some have expressed the desire to speed up the process.
$\checkmark$ Doug Menke, General Manager, noted that the timelines that have been established are worst-case scenarios. The hope is that each group, although it may vary, will not extend past this deadline.

John Griffiths asked for clarification regarding the process for requesting use of District facilities under the affiliated or partner associated status. He asked whether such facilities include all facilities, such as parks, or just athletic facilities.
$\checkmark$ Scott confirmed that such use requests can also include classrooms. Some of the groups have asked about gaining access to gyms as well in order to conduct practices inside, but in general it is for facilities that they need for their operations.
John noted that there are four categories of users listed: affiliated, partners/associates, renters, and commercial. He noted the requirement that such use is for non-profit purposes. He provided an example of Nike wanting to have an employee barbeque at one of the parks, noting that although the event itself is not for profit, the company is for profit. He asked whether this policy would restrict such use in any way.
$\checkmark$ Scott replied that it would not, noting that, in this case, Nike could rent the space it desires as long as that space is available.
John suggested the sentence within section 7.16(B)(3), "For profit enterprises are not eligible" be changed to "For profit activities are not eligible".
$\checkmark$ Doug expressed agreement.
$\checkmark$ Scott noted that many of the sports groups conduct fundraisers, tournaments or events with vending, or charge a fee to make money through the tournament, and that those are acceptable uses and considered to be valuable to the District as they keep the players local and help minimize the costs for the players to participate.

President, Joe Blowers, requested additional discussion regarding the timeline, asking why it would take so long for some clubs to come into compliance.
$\checkmark$ Scott replied that the timeline is not directed at any one group or sport; it is directed on a global level. He conceded that it is a generous timeline and that no group has indicated that they needed that long, but it was set to avoid putting pressure on the volunteer
groups in the development of the requested documentation. Some of the information being requested could be relatively detailed and rather than force them to put it together, the hope is that the groups will have the time and energy to do so, thereby ensuring a better quality end product.
Joe commented that it sounds like the reason behind the generous timeline is due to the fact that those putting the information together are volunteers.
$\checkmark$ Scott confirmed this and added also because some of the information to be developed could be technical in nature.

Larry asked for confirmation that the information to be developed is the roster information, bylaws for the clubs, etc.
$\checkmark$ Scott replied that the majority of the information to be developed is going to be based on the job descriptions for the board of directors, code of conduct for players and coaches, background check processes, and safety plans. Every group is a little different in terms of how much of this information they already have and their perception of how technical it should be versus what help the District can offer. He believes this information will be the most time-consuming for most groups to produce. Since they are all non-profits, they already have mission statements and bylaws.

President, Joe Blowers, opened the floor for public testimony.
Paul Blackmore, 17813 SW Washington Drive, Aloha, is before the Board of Directors this evening as President of Aloha United Soccer Club (AUSC). Paul stated that Scott's staff report this evening does not give an accurate reflection regarding the attitudes of the sports groups regarding the new policy. He stated that the reason the timeline is solong is because the Westside Timbers soccer club has 50\% out-of-District players. He criticized Bill Kanable for recusing himself from voting on a policy that he propagated. He stated that this is a discriminatory policy and that out of the 22 clubs that are affiliated with the District, the only policy pertaining to competitive or recreation is being placed on AUSC. He stated that there was no operational policy to begin with, but that it has been enforced for years; however, there is a contract that allows AUSC use of the fields, which is being denied. The Board has not rectified this, despite nine months of testimony. He described how in reaction to the issues brought forth by AUSC, the District convened an advisory committee on which AUSC or Milltown United Soccer were not asked to participate. He denied that AUSC voluntarily opted out of the agreement for use of the synthetic turf fields and listed numerous boards and committees that Bill Kanable has served or is serving on, including the Westside Timbers, which is the club getting all of the benefits. He asked that if the Board approves the policy this evening, that they state why they are supporting a discriminatory policy and standing behind one club getting preferential treatment over all of the other clubs. He questioned why after 10 years of not having a policy, all of a sudden a policy has been proposed that will force AUSC to choose between having a recreational or competitive club. Prior to this policy, it was merely that the District would recognize the recreational club, but not the competitive club. He asked again for the Board to explain why they are moving forward with this policy.

Lara Bourn, 3880 SW 188 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, Aloha, is before the Board of Directors this evening representing Aloha United Soccer Club (AUSC). Laura stated that her son has played both recreational and youth development soccer with different clubs in the area. She noted that these are challenging economic times and that Westside Timbers charges $\$ 950$ per child to play in their U10 competitive program, and up to $\$ 1,450$ for higher levels. They also require extensive apparel and uniforms, which can push the total to over \$2,000. However, AUSC only charges half this amount and does not require so much apparel. She does not understand why it hurts the District to have another competitive soccer club. She has been supportive of the

District and realizes that some areas in the country do not have such facilities; however, she also does not think it is fair for the Board to deny their request for a competitive club in Aloha. She believes all of the players in the clubs should be in-District, noting that she has seen Tualatin Hills United Soccer Club and Westside Timbers scout players in the recreational clubs for their competitive clubs. She stated that there needs to be fairness for everyone and that the children should have more choices in terms of what competitive clubs to join.

Heather Pamplin, 8540 SW $147^{\text {th }}$ Terrace, Beaverton, is before the Board of Directors this evening as Vice President of Aloha United Soccer Club (AUSC). Heather thanked District staff for being forthcoming in answering her questions. In addition, she thanked Bill Kanable for recusing himself from this evening's discussions as they had requested. She explained that as she has educated herself on this topic, it became clear to her that the District has been enforcing an operational policy onto AUSC that did not exist yet; there is nothing currently written into operational policies that forces AUSC to choose to be either a recreational or competitive club and she does not believe it is in the District's, community's, or children's best interest to force that type of choice as part of the affiliation process. She asked why the District should have any say in what types of programs a club offers its membership. She also does not believe affiliation is in the best interest of the community, as the community will decide which clubs are best by voting as consumers. Affiliation creates special interest groups that are counter to the trust the taxpayers place in the District with their dollars. The District should only have one role with respect to youth sports; to provide facilities on a fair and equitable basis. She referenced the timelines within the proposed policy, noting that there are two: a 2013 deadline for the paperwork and a 2015 deadline for the in-District ratio. She clarified their written testimony, stating that AUSC supports one deadline of December 31, 2013 for everything. She noted that AUSC also disagrees with the proposed ratio requirement of at least $50 \%$ in-District participants for teams, noting that they believe teams should have at least $70 \%$ in-District players. There are plenty of in-District competitive soccer players and a $50 \%$ inDistrict ratio suggests that the primary function of an organization is to win; not to serve the District's community. Additionally, it is unfair to tell in-District players that there are not enough fields to go around when there would be plenty of fields if the focus was on serving primarily inDistrict youth. She asks that the District stop insisting that AUSC's competitive program is not affiliated, but that their recreational program is.

Scott Porter, 17864 NW Lone Rock Drive, Portland, is before the Board of Directors this evening as President of Somerset West Soccer Club. Scott stated that he was a member of the CSDTF as the recreational soccer representative and participated in the assessment and evaluation of the District's existing procedures for affiliation, recognizing that it was not a Board policy, but procedures being implemented by staff. He supports the need for the Board policy, noting that the District has been operating under a procedural basis for many years and that it is time to adopt a policy at this level in order to guide staff and the development of the operational procedures, several drafts of which have already been in process and shared at previous meetings. In many cases, the procedures were already being utilized and implemented by staff without the oversight of a Board policy governing the development. The new operational procedures may provide some challenges for many of the clubs in terms of coming into compliance, which might involve amendments to bylaws, creation of new policies or altering existing policies. Regarding the question of whether three years is a reasonable timeline, he believes it could be for some of the organizations to be able to get their volunteers together in order to produce quality work. He noted that these procedures are necessary, not only for the clubs' benefit, but also to ensure effective management of the District's facilities, appropriate coordination of the use of those facilities, and important to the District in terms of a risk management perspective. He looks forward to the staff assurances that they will be working with the clubs on the implementation and will provide assistance in coming into compliance.

Eric Ufer, 8450 NW Ash Street, Portland, is before the Board of Directors this evening regarding the proposed Affiliated Sports Policy. He explained that choice and transparency are very important, as is perception, and that soccer changed his world as a child. He described his experience as a coach and board member for Milltown United Soccer Club and the turnaround the club has experienced with the community's support. He is keenly aware of what the community can accomplish by working together, but is also aware of the damage perceptions can cause. He stated that he feels transparency has been lacking through this process and if he would have known in the beginning what he knows now, he would have been more involved. He stated that in the past there has been an attempt to combine Tualatin Hills United Soccer Club and Westside Timbers and he believes that the policy may give them the opportunity to combine and therefore meet the 50\% in-District requirement. He stated that already this year the Westside Timbers merged with Sherwood and Tualatin, which is going to affect their out-ofDistrict numbers. As the Vice President of Tualatin Hills Junior Soccer League, he was not made aware of this merger and feels that it should have been disclosed. He has not spent a lot of time on the Affiliated Sports Policy, but unfortunately his perception is that it is suspect. He feels that a little more time spent on this policy may be valuable in order to ensure that what is being proposed today is right for the future. He read an email he received recently from a parent of one of his players that thanked them for making the girls feel like athletes, noting that this is an amazing feeling that they strive to provide for their players.

Lara Bourn asked to address the Board of Directors again, noting that a lot of the children that live in the Aloha area are not as fortunate as those in other areas. She stated that these children need a sport to keep them out of trouble and need a program that their parents can afford. Unfortunately, school budget cuts have reduced many of the options that used to be available to children for after school activities. AUSC provides a service to get the children into an activity that focuses their energy onto something positive rather than impacting the community in a negative way. She asks that the Board consider this, noting that in order to direct these children onto the right path for the future they need to be offered options rather than being told that they are not important enough.

President, Joe Blowers, opened the floor for Board discussion.
Joe asked at what age a child is unable to participate in the recreational soccer program.
$\checkmark$ Scott replied that Tualatin Hills Junior Soccer League does their best to provide such a service for participants through the end of high school. Scott noted that around age 14 it becomes more difficult to find an adequate number of participants due to children becoming interested in a variety of activities; however, he is unaware of any recreational club that excludes children based on age, although they may attempt to combine clubs to ensure that the service remains available.
$\checkmark$ Larry recounted his own experience with his children's soccer participation, noting that one of his children had a combined junior and senior soccer team. He noted that around the high school age, the children begin to limit the variety of sports they play to concentrate on just one sport, or begin working at a job instead.

Joe asked how the ratio of at least 50\% in-District players was determined for the competitive clubs' individual team rosters.
$\checkmark$ Scott replied that a few different factors were explored, including a review of the current in-District versus out-of-District ratios for the competitive clubs (Babe Ruth Baseball, some softball groups, and two classic soccer organizations). As the participants get older, into the high school age range, it was not desirable to the CSDTF for an entire team to consist of only out-of-District participants. Another key recognition was that this is an operational issue and if the ratio is not working or if facilities become more scarce,
the task force would need to reassess this issue. In the end, they chose a percentage they felt was an appropriate starting point, in that the ultimate desire is to see a greater ratio of in-District participants than $50 \%$.
Joe asked who would be responsible for reviewing the ratio should it need to be changed.
$\checkmark$ Scott replied that either the CSDTF could be reconvened or other working groups could be used, such as the fields committees for soccer and baseball/softball, the Sports Advisory Committee or Unified Fields Steering Committee. A mixture of these groups could be used or each could be approached individually.
$\checkmark$ Larry commented that, especially for the competitive clubs, as their participants get older, some clubs have problems finding enough in-District participants to form a team. The end result can be that a particular age group for a particular year is struggling to get enough in-District players.
$\checkmark$ Scott noted that it is not only the number of participants that can become challenging, but the level of those participants as well. For example, if there are not enough "A" level players to form a legitimate "A" team. Sometimes "A" level and "B" level players can be combined to form a team in order to make the ratio, but there are many factors that go into this consideration. He described how baseball is especially complicated when it comes to this issue.

Bob asked whether each of the affiliated sports groups has a competitive club in addition to their recreational clubs.
$\checkmark$ Scott replied that they do not.
Bob asked for confirmation that the District's competitive level programming exists only for baseball and soccer.
$\checkmark$ Scott confirmed this, noting that lacrosse has asked for a competitive program, but the District has denied this request due to lack of facility space. There are a few softball groups that participate at a competitive level, but they require very limited resources from the District. In addition, there is a small competitive section for Aloha Youth Cheer. Bob reiterated that one of the reasons for starting this process and convening a task force in 2010 was to protect the District's resources since the fields and facilities are limited and the main priority is to make sure that the recreational programs are adequately serviced.
$\checkmark$ Scott confirmed this.
Larry commented that the driving force behind this process is affiliation, but keeping the recreational programs that serves the vast majority of the sports groups in-tact.
$\checkmark$ Scott confirmed that the desire is to keep the recreational program in-tact, as well as address a number of groups that desire to participate with the District. He agreed that it is about protecting the District's recreational assets and not growing out-of-District, but also putting a process in place that someone can utilize when there is a request to gain affiliated status, such as an adult league.
Larry asked for confirmation that if an adult league wants to form and wishes to use District facilities, they could rent space, assuming it is available. However, if it has already been committed to the District's recreational programming or affiliated groups, it is not available.
$\checkmark$ Scott confirmed this.
John commented that it sounds as if the demand from the recreational programming is so great that it tends to monopolize most of the capacity.
$\checkmark$ Scott replied that this is the case for two times during the year; in the spring and summer/early fall.
John asked for confirmation that there is capacity available during those other times of the year, as well as during some times throughout the day that are not very desirable.
$\checkmark$ Scott confirmed this.

John asked for the background regarding the two competitive soccer clubs affiliated with the District and why this has been chosen as the optimal arrangement, or whether some other arrangement would be optimal in regard to the number of competitive clubs.
$\checkmark$ Scott replied that it is optimal when taking into consideration the District's current assets and resources. As he mentioned earlier, the District has requests from lacrosse to form a competitive club and other adult leagues that wish to grow. Although their growth is not huge at the moment, they are making such a request that the District cannot accommodate at this point.
John asked what the difference is between competitive and recreational clubs.
$\checkmark$ Scott replied that, per the definition contained within the policy, a recreational program consists of only in-District participants and everyone is welcome to participate. There are no tryouts or cutoffs. Whereas, competitive level play includes participants from outside District boundaries in order to make the team more viable to play in the league. Players are selected and some may be turned away, although some clubs have development leagues for those participants as well. The adult leagues generally do not select players and are mainly interested in comprising a team to play once a week.
$\checkmark$ Scott continued regarding John's question regarding the optimal number of competitive clubs, noting that due to the capacity of the District's facilities, a determination was made that this needs to be limited. However, as the District's capacity grows, such as through the 2008 Bond Measure improvements, this opens up more interesting options in terms of participation and expanding programs. Right now, the District's message has been no expansion for spring and fall programs, but the hope is that as more inventory comes online, there will be the capacity to increase. At this point, the strategy is to trim down the out-of-District participation, try to include more in-District participants, and have the ability to grow and change with the sports as they change. The District's sports have not changed over the past 10 years due to facility constraint; not because they do not want to, need to, or that it would not be beneficial for them to do so.
John described that the current situation of two competitive clubs as meshing with the capacity constraints of the District.
$\checkmark$ Scott agreed, noting that another factor is that once the District begins adding competitive clubs, at what point does it begin denying such requests.

Joe asked for an overview regarding why the District has affiliated sports groups.
$\checkmark$ Doug Menke, General Manager, replied that the affiliation process is akin to a program delivery method. Any public agency has options and in the case of the predominance of the District's sports area, the affiliation process was chosen. He stressed the need to view these relationships as partnerships, in that it is a working agreement with reasonable expectations by the District of the groups it has chosen to affiliate with and there is reasonable expectations back from those groups of the District. Affiliation also provides an efficient cost-delivery system. There is no question that if the District were to manage all of the sports leagues, it would cost the taxpayers more money. The methodology is good, but does not come without challenge. The District is relying upon a huge number of volunteers to deliver this program and, by nature, a volunteer base has turnover. The basis of communication and challenging decisions that were made many years ago lose their content and material as you move forward and this is not without challenge. However, he still believes that the affiliation/partnership method is a great method for a public agency to utilize in delivering its programs.

John theorized that the core question that has come up this evening is what the District's role and value is in the sports supply chain. He has heard it before tonight that perhaps the District should just be delivering the facilities and that the consumer market will make the choices. However, that is not what he believes the Board is elected to do or is the charge of the District.

The District may provide an affiliate model to facilitate delivery, but the District is ultimately responsible for the end product. The District needs to ensure that that there is opportunity for everyone in the District participate, whether it is soccer or any other sport. Many employees could be hired in order to manage all of the teams and do everything necessary down to the last detail, or the District could work through the affiliates and volunteers. The District is responsible for delivery of the final product and is using affiliates and volunteers in order to do that. He believes that this is what the Affiliated Sports Policy is focused on; trying to make sure that the assets of the District get delivered to the widest possible base of people within the District.
$\checkmark$ Larry expressed agreement, noting that the District has a fiduciary responsibility to the taxpayers not to hire those employees when the service delivery could be accomplished with an affiliated volunteer base. The District has been very efficient in this and he does not want to see it moved to an employee-provided service.
John noted that in addition to the financial benefits, volunteers can also reach deeper into their respective communities and are able to accomplish things in a more efficient way than the District can at times.

Bob commented that he, too, sees this issue from a perspective of limited resources that the District needs to protect. The recreational side, which is the District's first tier of priority, takes up the bulk of the time, requiring the District to facilitate a means to distribute what is left. He expressed agreement with the previous comments that the volunteers provide a valuable service to the District much cheaper than employees could. However, the District does not have enough capacity in that next tier in order to facilitate the additional capacity being requested. There needs to be some way to regulate such requests and he believes this policy does that.

Joe reiterated that the District's emphasis needs to be on recreational soccer and he believes philosophically as a Board, and perhaps as a District, the District's purpose is to give everyone an opportunity to get out and enjoy themselves, have fun, be active and learn a skill. If the District has the facilities for going beyond that, such as for competitive leagues, that is fine, but it should not be the primary purpose of the District. In his opinion, the Affiliated Sports Policy keeps this purpose at the forefront.

Joe continued that in defense of Bill Kanable, the Board has thoroughly explored the issue of a conflict of interest and it has been clear from the District's legal counsel that there is absolutely no actual conflict of interest with Bill and that he has had no material benefit as a soccer coach or soccer board member that he has gained by being a member of this Board. Joe commented that, in fact, most of the actions that were taken, such as the use contracts for Synthetic Turf Field \#1, were signed long before Bill was elected to the Board. He thinks there have been a lot of unfair comments about Bill and he wants to say that while there have been on occasion some unfortunate overlaps, there has been no conflict of interest and he thinks Bill has conducted himself with a lot of honor and integrity through this process. He also appreciates his withdrawal from the process tonight in order to stay away from any appearance of conflict of interest, although he does not believe it exists anyway.

John noted that a lot has been said about the contracts for the synthetic turf fields and that he is the only person currently on the Board who was also on the Board when they were signed. Ten years ago, when the idea of synthetic turf came before the Board, he was skeptical and would rather have spent that much funding on land acquisition. It took some time, but when he saw the marked difference in utilization over a natural grass field, he became a convert. At that time, since it was a new amenity, the District did not have a lot of funding for it. A passing of the hat occurred and there were certain leagues who opted in and some that opted not to participate. Football opted in, as did lacrosse and some of the soccer clubs. Those who opted in received the ability to reserve allocations for the field; those who did not opt in would still be able to use
the field, but would have to wait in line, which was fair. Now that those contracts are coming to an end, the District will have to reevaluate how they are handled. However, the original contract was never an attempt to prioritize or favor one group over another. It was simply a matter of getting the asset paid for and giving those who helped pay for it a reward for their contributions. The obvious way to do this was to grant them higher priority in the use of the fields that they helped fund.

President, Joe Blowers, stated that he would entertain a motion.
Bob Scott moved the Board of Directors adopt the Affiliated Sports Policy as presented and direct staff to begin implementation of the policy. Larry Pelatt seconded the motion. Roll call proceeded as follows:
Bob Scott Yes
Larry Pelatt Yes
Joe Blowers Yes
John Griffiths Yes
Bill Kanable Abstain
The motion PASSED by MAJORITY vote.

## C. General Manager's Report

Doug Menke, General Manager, provided a detailed overview of the General Manager's Report included within the Board of Directors information packet, which included the following topics:

- GreenPlay/Comprehensive Plan Update
- The Intertwine Fall Summit
- Board of Directors Meeting Schedule
- Business Energy Tax (BET) Credits
o Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities, provided a brief update regarding the District's sale of its BET credits received via the District's Energy Savings Performance Contract project, noting that all have been sold but about \$5,000 which leaves the District with $\$ 190,000$ to set aside as leverage for future energy savings improvement projects.
Doug offered to answer any questions the Board may have regarding the General Manager's Report.
$\checkmark$ Hearing none, President, Joe Blowers, adjourned the meeting.


## Agenda Item \#9 - Adjourn

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:45 p.m.

| Check Number | Check Date | Vendor Name |  | Check Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 265166 | 09/05/2012 | KUNP-TV |  | 1,008.00 |
| 265517 | 09/18/2012 | Community Newspapers, Inc. |  | 2,023.00 |
| 265698 | 09/25/2012 | Oregonian Publishing Company |  | 4,012.18 |
|  |  | Advertising | \$ | 7,043.18 |
| 265497 | 09/17/2012 | Aronson Security Group |  | 8,000.00 |
| 265499 | 09/17/2012 | BBL Architects |  | 1,071.79 |
| 265513 | 09/17/2012 | Skyward Construction |  | 68,113.29 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Facility Expansions \& Improvements | \$ | 77,185.08 |
| 265504 | 09/17/2012 | Hahn \& Associates, Inc. |  | 1,900.00 |
| 265529 | 09/20/2012 | Romanaggi Valuation Services, LLC |  | 2,550.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Land Acquisition | \$ | 4,450.00 |
| 265274 | 09/11/2012 | Scholls Valley Native Nursery |  | 8,465.00 |
| 265746 | 09/25/2012 | Confluence Construction \& Restoration Co. |  | 1,925.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Natural Resources Projects | \$ | 10,390.00 |
| 265031 | 09/05/2012 | Carlson Testing, Inc. |  | 1,666.50 |
| 265034 | 09/05/2012 | David Evans \& Associates, Inc. |  | 11,140.67 |
| 265043 | 09/05/2012 | Paul Brothers, Inc. |  | 157,339.96 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - New/Redeveloped Community Parks | \$ | 170,147.13 |
| 265041 | 09/05/2012 | OSCPA |  | 6,000.00 |
| 265503 | 09/17/2012 | GreenWorks, PC |  | 9,885.76 |
| 265507 | 09/17/2012 | Lango Hansen Landscape Architects, PC |  | 5,406.50 |
| 265510 | 09/17/2012 | MIG, Inc. |  | 2,213.75 |
| 265526 | 09/20/2012 | Lango Hansen Landscape Architects, PC |  | 3,659.75 |
| 265749 | 09/25/2012 | GreenWorks, PC |  | 5,391.59 |
| 265753 | 09/25/2012 | Vigil-Agrimis, Inc. |  | 16,456.78 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - New/Redeveloped Neighborhood Parks | \$ | 49,014.13 |
| 265034 | 09/05/2012 | David Evans \& Associates, Inc. |  | 13,270.81 |
| 265310 | 09/12/2012 | Portland General Electric |  | 3,560.78 |
| 265500 | 09/17/2012 | Brian C. Jackson, Architect, LLC |  | 8,389.32 |
| 265506 | 09/17/2012 | Lance Ward Services |  | 12,320.00 |
| 265524 | 09/20/2012 | Brant Construction, Inc. |  | 293,550.00 |
| 265530 | 09/20/2012 | Walker Macy |  | 9,950.05 |
| 265753 | 09/25/2012 | Vigil-Agrimis, Inc. |  | 9,301.45 |
| 265754 | 09/25/2012 | Western Wood Structures, Inc. |  | 3,600.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Trails/Linear Parks | \$ | 353,942.41 |
| 265037 | 09/05/2012 | Goodfellow Brothers, Inc. |  | 291,184.50 |
| 265042 | 09/05/2012 | Otak, Inc. |  | 3,168.86 |
| 265511 | 09/17/2012 | Otak, Inc. |  | 2,417.41 |
| 265637 | 09/24/2012 | PGE |  | 1,721.38 |
| 265748 | 09/25/2012 | Goodfellow Brothers, Inc. |  | 297,117.25 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Bond - Youth Athletic Field Development | \$ | 595,609.40 |
| 265030 | 09/05/2012 | BBL Architects |  | 3,730.05 |
| 265309 | 09/12/2012 | Pool \& Spa House, Inc. |  | 4,382.32 |
| 265502 | 09/17/2012 | Denfeld Landscaping |  | 1,375.00 |
| 265523 | 09/20/2012 | Brandsen Hardwood Floors, Inc. |  | 14,690.00 |
| 265747 | 09/25/2012 | Environmental Business Solutions |  | 3,200.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Building Replacements | \$ | 27,377.37 |
| 265040 | 09/05/2012 | MacKay \& Sposito, Inc. |  | 4,626.00 |
|  |  | Capital Outlay - Commonwealth Park N. Trail Realignment | \$ | 4,626.00 |





| Check Number |  | Check Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 265424 | $09 / 14 / 2012$ |  |
| 265428 | $09 / 14 / 2012$ |  |
| 265641 | $09 / 25 / 2012$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 265052 | $09 / 05 / 2012$ |  |
| 265425 | $09 / 14 / 2012$ |  |
| 265430 | $09 / 14 / 2012$ |  |
| 265646 | $09 / 25 / 2012$ |  |


| Vendor Name | Check Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AT\&T Mobility |  | 1,105.20 |
| Nextel Communications |  | 1,474.70 |
| Integra Telecom |  | 2,940.07 |
| Telecommunications | \$ | 5,519.97 |
| - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Tualatin Valley Water District |  | 8,598.00 |
| City of Beaverton |  | 29,377.97 |
| Tualatin Valley Water District |  | 43,793.68 |
| Tualatin Valley Water District |  | 40,158.86 |
| Water \& Sewer | \$ | 121,928.51 |
| Report Total: |  | ,514,686.81 |

## Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District



## General Fund Financial Summary <br> September 2012

$\left.\begin{array}{||cccc|}\hline \text { Current } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Year to } \\ \text { Date }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Prorated } \\ \text { Budget }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { \% YTD to } \\ \text { Prorated } \\ \text { Budget }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Full } \\ \text { Fiscal Year } \\ \text { Budget }\end{array}\right]$

| $\$ 167,221$ | $\$$ | 771,585 | $\$$ | 596,864 | $129.3 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$, 466,382$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 163,573 | 1,573 | 152,473 | $107.3 \%$ | 896,900 |
| 279,613 | $1,256,023$ | $1,358,831$ | $92.4 \%$ | $4,818,549$ |  |
| 62,902 | 204,251 | 190,099 | $107.4 \%$ | $1,173,452$ |  |
|  | 13,124 | 62,708 | 54,510 | $115.0 \%$ | 272,551 |
|  | 573,433 | $2,458,140$ | $2,352,778$ | $104.5 \%$ | $9,627,834$ |

## Other Resources:

Property Taxes
Interest Income
Facility Rentals/Sponsorships
Grants
Miscellaneous Income
Total Other Resources

## Total Resources

Program Related Expenditures:
Parks \& Recreation Administration
Aquatic Centers
Tennis Center
Recreation Centers
Programs \& Special Activities
Athletic Center \& Sports Programs
Natural Resources \& Trails
Total Program Related Expenditures

| 54,902 | 176,442 | 192,279 | $91.8 \%$ | 677,038 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 317,230 | $1,063,941$ | $1,134,080$ | $93.8 \%$ | $3,694,072$ |
| 75,184 | 244,910 | 262,301 | $93.4 \%$ | 993,565 |
| 400,709 | $1,530,206$ | $1,650,799$ | $92.7 \%$ | $4,855,292$ |
| 146,192 | 663,318 | 681,442 | $97.3 \%$ | $1,963,810$ |
| 112,683 | 430,731 | 456,737 | $94.3 \%$ | $1,730,063$ |
| 131,737 | 426,259 | 503,233 | $84.7 \%$ | $1,666,334$ |
| $1,238,637$ | $4,535,807$ | $4,880,871$ | $92.9 \%$ | $15,580,174$ |

## General Government Expenditures:

Board of Directors
Administration
Business \& Facilities
Planning
Capital Outlay
Total Other Expenditures:

## Total Expenditures

Revenues over (under) Expenditures

## Beginning Cash on Hand

Ending Cash on Hand

| 7,860 | 22,698 | 62,650 | $36.2 \%$ | $2,332,900$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 131,728 | 454,779 | 499,566 | $91.0 \%$ | $1,878,069$ |
| $1,461,265$ | $4,340,420$ | $4,384,227$ | $99.0 \%$ | $16,420,325$ |
| 135,319 | 401,525 | 393,410 | $102.1 \%$ | $1,646,067$ |
| 138,258 | 387,224 | 888,163 | $43.6 \%$ | $3,402,925$ |
| $1,874,430$ | $5,606,646$ | $6,228,017$ | $90.0 \%$ | $25,680,286$ |
| $\$ 3,113,067$ | $\$ 10,142,453$ | $\$ 11,108,888$ | $91.3 \%$ | $\$ 41,260,460$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$(2,401,987)$ | $\$(7,371,652)$ | $\$(8,396,706)$ | $87.8 \%$ | $\$(4,032,810)$ |
|  | $4,976,986$ | $4,032,810$ | $123.4 \%$ | $4,032,810$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

General Fund Financial Summary

September 2012


## MEMO

DATE: October 23, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Jim McElhinny, Director of Park \& Recreation Services
RE: $\quad$ Resolution Appointing Recreation Advisory Committee Member
Introduction
The Recreation Advisory Committee requests Board of Directors approval of one new committee member appointment.

## Background

At their September 26, 2012 meeting, the Recreation Advisory Committee recommended that the Board of Directors approve and appoint Larry Wesselman to the Committee via the attached resolution.

Please note that the Advisory Committee member's application and Recreation Advisory Committee's current roster are attached.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of Resolution 2012-19, appointing Larry Wesselman to the Recreation Advisory Committee.

## Resolution 2012-19

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, Oregon

## A RESOLUTION APPOINTING ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBER

WHEREAS, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors must appoint committee members by resolution; and

WHEREAS, the committee member shall be appointed by the Board for a two-year term; and

WHEREAS, the committee member has demonstrated his interest and knowledge in the Committee's area of responsibility

## THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT RESOLVES AS FOLLOWS:

The Board of Directors approves the appointment of Larry Wesselman to the Recreation Advisory Committee.

Duly passed by the Board of Directors of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District this $5^{\text {th }}$ day of November 2012.

Joseph Blowers, Board President

Larry Pelatt, Board Secretary

## ATTEST:

[^0]
## TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPLICATION



## Advisory Committee you are applying for: (You must reside within the Park District boundaries)

## Recreation $\boxtimes$ Aquatics $\square$ Sports $\square$ Trails $\square$ Elsie Stuhr Center $\square$ Historic Facilities $\square$ Natural Resources $\square$ Parks $\square$

1. Please explain your interest in serving on the Advisory Committee:

My interest in serving on the Advisory Committee is because my life, and my children's lives, have been positively impacted by the services and programs that Parks \& Recreation Departments have provided to us. I want to be involved in shaping the programs that will provide other families and individuals with the same positive experiences that I benefitted from over the years. I'd love to help others get as much out of the Parks \& Rec Department as I did.
2. How long have you lived in the community?

I have lived in the Murrayhill area for 12 years, and in the Tigard/Beaverton area for 15 years.
3. Have you or your family participated in any Center or other Recreation District activities?

What:
Swimming Lessons, Open Swim, Club Splash, Summer Camps, Adult Basketball

## When:

My boys have been in swimming lessons for the past 7 years and I have taken them to open swim on occasion. They have been in Club Splash and Summer Camps for the past two years. I have also played in the Adult Basketball pickup games a number of times.
Where:
Conestoga Parks \& Recreation Department

Number of Years: 7 or 8 years since we began with swimming lessons

## *CONTINUES ON NEXT PAGE

# TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT ADVISORY COMMITTEE APPLICATION 

4. Have you served on other volunteer committees? YES $\square$ NOX If yes, please explain where, when, and what your responsibilities were:
5. Please describe any work experience or areas of expertise that you feel would benefit the Advisory Committee:
I spent most of my childhood playing and participating in Parks \& Rec facilities and activities. I worked at Parks \& Rec departments during high school and college, holding a number of different positions: lifeguard, swim instructor, pool maintenance, pool manager, activities coordinator, center supervisor, coach, umpire, and field maintenance. I also participated in basketball, volleyball and softball leagues after college. My experiences over the years with Parks \& Rec would definitely benefit the committee.
I have also been involved as a coach and manager for Murrayhill Little League and Southridge Youth Football. These volunteer activities allow me to hear what adult and youth activities families in the community are participating in and interested in doing.
6. Term of Office preferred:

2-YEAR TERMX or 3-YEAR TERM $\square$
Please check one

Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District

Connecting People, Parks \& Nature

## RECREATION ADVSORY COMMTIEE ROSTER

Last Updated: 9/6/12

| Committee Member | Member Since | Address | Phone | Email | Term Expires |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaka Sarangdhar | March 2011 |  |  |  | 2013 |
| Deanna Draper | March 2011 |  |  |  | 2013 |
| AdamBless Chair | March 2011 |  |  |  | 2013 |
| Leslie Coefield Secretary | March 2011 |  |  |  | 2013 |
| Robert Miller | March 2011 |  |  |  | 2013 |
| Sarah Yahna | November 2011 |  |  |  | 2013 |
| Ex-Officio Member | Representing | Address | Phone | Email | Term Expires |
| Eric Owens | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Staff } \\ & \text { THPRD } \end{aligned}$ | 15707 SW Walker Road, Beaverton 97223 | 503/645-6433 | eowens@thprd.org | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

# MEMO 

DATE: October 24, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning

## RE: $\quad$ Resolution for Annexation of Properties per Washington County Ordinance 624 in Accordance with ORS 198.857(2)

## Introduction

Staff is seeking Board of Directors approval of a blanket resolution for the purpose of approving the future annexation of properties to the Park District during 2013 per ORS 198.857 pursuant to Washington County Ordinance 624.

## Background

ORS 198.857(2) states:
(2) When the owner of a parcel of land wants to annex that land to a district, the owner may file an annexation petition with the county board. The petition shall declare that the petition is filed pursuant to this section, state the name of the affected district and all affected counties, indicate the principal Act of the affected district and be signed by the owner of the parcel of land. Before the petition is filed with the county board, the petition must be approved by endorsement thereon by the board of the affected district and by any other agency also required by the principal Act to indorse or approve the petition.

In August of 2004, the Washington County Board of Commissioners adopted Ordinance 624. The key provision of that ordinance requires new development ${ }^{1}$ on property that is not addressed by an urban service agreement or located in a park and recreation district to annex to a park district:

- When a park district has been identified as the long-term service provider to the area the development is located in, and
- When the proposed development is subject to a development application (e.g., a subdivision). The new development would also be subject to the Park District's park SDC upon annexation.

As part of this Ordinance, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District was established as the park and recreation service provider for the portion of the urban unincorporated area of the county between the Hillsboro, Portland and Tigard urban service boundaries for which the District has adopted a Park Master Plan. Ordinance 624 amended the County Community Development Code to include a requirement that all new development in that area annex to the

[^1]Park District. Typically, the developer will have to show compliance with this requirement before the County will record the plat or issue building permits.

Since obtaining an endorsement from the Park District is a statutory requirement for annexation, staff worked previously with the Park District's legal counsel Beery Elsner \& Hammond, LLP to identify a process that would endorse annexation of all properties pursuant to Ordinance 624 for one calendar year, instead of individually on a project-by-project basis. This process has been previously completed and adopted by the Board for the years 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012.

## Proposal Request

This resolution will endorse the annexation of all such properties to the Park District during 2013 so developers will be able to promptly comply with the terms of the County's land use decision process. The Park District's legal counsel has reviewed and approved the attached resolution.

## Benefits of Proposal

By approving the blanket resolution for the purpose of approving the future annexation of properties to the Park District, per Ordinance 624, the Park District will not have to process the endorsement of annexation for each development on a project-by-project basis. The resolution will endorse annexation of all properties for an entire calendar year.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There does not appear to be any downside to this proposal.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of and signature on Resolution 2012-20 for the purpose of approving future annexation of properties in Washington County, per Ordinance 624, during 2013. Additionally, the Board of Directors authorizes staff to submit the resolution to Washington County for processing.

RESOLUTION NO. 2012-20

## A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE <br> TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT APPROVING ANNEXATIONS OF PROPERTY TO THE DISTRICT IN 2013 (Ordinance 624 Annexation)

WHEREAS, Washington County adopted Ordinance No. 624 in August, 2004, amending the Washington County Comprehensive Framework Plan for the Urban Area and the Community Development Code to recognize the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District ("District") as the long term park and recreation service provider in part of urban unincorporated Washington County; and

WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 624 requires developing properties in the area served by the District to annex to the District as a condition of any development approval; and

WHEREAS, ORS 198.857(2) requires that proposed annexations to the District be approved by the District Board; and

WHEREAS, it is anticipated that properties will be seeking development approval in 2013, and will thus be subject to the application of Ordinance 624 and ORS 198.857(2); and

WHEREAS, the District Board wishes to express its formal approval of annexations proposed in 2013 and to file the approval in the form of this Resolution with the Washington County Board of Commissioners for consideration at hearings during 2013.

## NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT:

Section 1. The Board hereby approves the proposed annexation of properties to the District during 2013 pursuant to Washington County Ordinance No. 624.

Section 2. The District staff is hereby authorized and directed to file this Resolution and Exhibits with the Washington County Board of Commissioners.

Section 3. This Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its adoption by the Board.
Adopted this $5^{\text {th }}$ day of November, 2012.

Joseph Blowers, Board President

Larry Pelatt, Board Secretary
Adoption and date attested by:

[^2]
## MEMO

DATE: October 24, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning

## RE: $\quad$ Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution

## Introduction

Staff is seeking Board of Directors approval for the Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution. With Board approval, staff will work with the project consultant to submit the annexation application packet to Washington County for processing.

## Background

In 2005, THPRD began a Service District Initiated Annexation (SDIA) program. This program is also referred to as the Voluntary Annexation Program (VAP), since it is a "willing" annexation program offered to all property owners in THPRD's ultimate service boundary whose property is not currently within the District, providing them with the opportunity to voluntarily annex. THPRD has offered the program for the last seven years. During the first seven years that the SDIA program has been available, 281 properties have been annexed into the District.

| Year | \# of Properties Annexed |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 128 |
| 2006 | 23 |
| 2007 | 47 |
| 2008 | 23 |
| 2009 | 22 |
| 2010 | 25 |
| 2011 | 13 |
| Total | 281 |

This is the eighth consecutive year that the District has offered the SDIA program. Invitations were mailed to owners of properties that are in THPRD's ultimate service boundary, but are not currently within the District, including the newly added North Bethany area.

The application period closed on September 7, 2012 and the last day to withdraw a previously submitted application expired on September 21, 2012. The SDIA program was advertised in the local Citizen Participation Organizations' newsletters and was highlighted in local newspapers. The main component of the SDIA program is the offer by the District to pay for all of the annexation fees, mapping services, etc., assessed by Washington County and other agencies. This year's program included an incentive, offering a $\$ 400$ credit to match the first $\$ 400$ spent by members of a newly annexed household on District classes and activities.

Due to the complexity of the process, staff again hired a consultant to aid in the preparation of the documents and applications necessary to process annexations under the SDIA program
with Washington County. The consultant has started preparing the necessary documents and applications to submit with the Board of Directors approved resolution to Washington County.

## Proposal Request

At the end of the withdrawal period on September 21, 2012, 25 annexation applications had been returned to the District from property owners who "willingly" requested annexation of their properties into the District (see attached Exhibits A \& B). These 25 property owners account for an additional 61.39 acres that will be added to the District. Two additional properties that will be included in this annexation request are recently acquired THPRD properties totaling 16.06 acres.

Staff is seeking Board of Director approval of the Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution No. 2012-21 authorizing the annexation of 27 new properties into the District. With Board approval, staff will work with the project consultant to submit the annexation application packet to Washington County for processing.

Park District legal counsel Beery Elsner \& Hammond, LLP has previously reviewed and approved the standard resolution document used and submitted for signatures.

## Benefits of Proposal

The most important benefit regarding this proposal is the addition of 27 new properties and 77.45 acres of property into the District.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There is no apparent downside to this proposal.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of the Service District Initiated Annexation Resolution No. 2012-21 authorizing the annexation of 27 new properties into the District.

## Service District Initiated Annexation (Voluntary Annexation Program)

Draft Schedule/some dates are tentative, other dates are firm

| July 2012 | Mailing: Public outreach letters and forms to invite "willing" <br> participants to apply to the Service District Initiated Annexation <br> Program (Voluntary Annexation Program) |
| :--- | :--- |
| September 7, 2012 | Closing date for accepting applications to Service District Initiated <br> Annexation Program (Voluntary Annexation Program) |
| September 21, 2012 | Final date for patrons to withdraw their application from the <br> process |
| November 5, 2012 | Board of Directors approval and signature of resolution to proceed <br> with the Annexation process |
| November 2012 | Annexation application submitted to Washington County |
| Dec. 2012 or Jan. 2013 | $1^{\text {st }}$ Public Hearing before the Washington County Board of <br> Commissioners |
| Jan. 2013 or Feb. 2013 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Public Hearing (Approval by Washington County Board of <br> Commissioners constitutes applicants being technically <br> considered in-District) |
| March 2013 | Information sent to Metro and others for final processing and <br> mapping |
| March 31, 2013 | Effective date |

# A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT APPROVING PETITIONS FOR ANNEXATION TO THE DISTRICT 

WHEREAS, ORS 198.850(3) authorizes initiation of annexation to the District by Resolution of the Board of Directors; and

WHEREAS, the District Board directed staff to review the District boundaries and determine whether property owners wish to voluntarily sign annexation petitions requesting annexation of their property to the District; and

WHEREAS, the owners of 27 properties responded favorably and have signed petitions for annexation of their properties to the District, which petitions are on file in the District Administrative Offices; and

WHEREAS, ORS 198.850(1) provides that such annexations must be approved by the District Board and then forwarded to Washington County for processing; and

WHEREAS, the District Board finds that the proposed annexations comply with the District Comprehensive Plan, the Washington County Comprehensive Plan, and intergovernmental service and cooperation agreements as required by ORS 198.850(2); and

WHEREAS, one of the properties described in the petitions for annexation is within the jurisdictional boundaries of the City of Beaverton, and the City of Beaverton City Council has consented to annexation of properties within the city limits to the District. A copy of the Beaverton Council Resolution is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

## NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT:

Section 1. The proposed annexation of the properties listed on the attached Exhibit A and depicted on the attached Exhibit B is hereby approved.

Section 2. The District staff is hereby authorized and directed to submit this Resolution together with Exhibits A, B \& C and the signed petitions for annexation from affected property owners to Washington County for processing in accordance with ORS 198.850(2).

Section 3. The proposed annexation is supported by signed petitions from all of the owners of all land in the territory proposed to be annexed as required by ORS 198.855, and therefore an election is not required prior to annexation of the affected properties to the District. The District Board requests that the Washington County Board of Commissioners approve the annexation following a public hearing and declare the affected properties annexed to the District.

Section 4. This Resolution shall be effective immediately upon its adoption by the Board.

Adopted by the Board of Directors this $5^{\text {th }}$ day of November 2012.
TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT

Joseph Blowers, Board President

Larry Pelatt, Board Secretary

Adoption and date attested by:

[^3]|  | Owner | Taxlot ID | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tax } \\ \text { Parcel \# } \end{gathered}$ | Legal | Assessed Value | Acres | Site Address | City | State | $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{ip}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THPRD - McGettigan Property | 1N117A000706 | R590328 | (See attached legal des ciption) | \$ | 7.59 | No address found | Portland | OR | 97230 |
| 2 | Sean M \& Mary L Bobak | 1N117CC03000 | R2076801 | KARINA ESTATES Lot 3 | \$ 206,420 | 0.12 | 6154 NTV Sickle Terr | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 3 | Maurice W \& Doir B King | 1N117CD11900 | R2081283 | GOLDEN LEAF ESTATES Lot 61 | \$ 242,070 | 0.12 | 6328 NWV Gerber Terrace | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 4 | K\&R Holdings LLC | 1N118A000300 | R591167 | BRUGGER TRACT Lot 9 | \$ 432,430 | 9.85 | 17035 NTV Brugger Road | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 5 | K\&R Holdings LLC | 1N118A000400 | R591176 | (See attached legal description) | \$ 8.810 | 13.83 | No address found | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 6 | K\&\& Holdings LLC | 1N118A000590 | R591194 | (See attached legal description) | \$ 421,010 | 8.02 | 17145 NTV Brugger Road | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 7 | Laura A Singen \& Carole Bertrand | 1N118A001000 | R591247 | (See attached legal description) | \$ 193,140 | 2.36 | 17000 NTV Brugger Road | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 8 | Alexander \& Mary Jane Komijitchuk | 1N118A001100 | R591256 | (See attached legal description) | \$ 567,400 | 7.49 | 16930 NWV Brugger Road | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 9 | Amber Lee Deing | 1N118A001201 | R591274 | (See attached legal description) | \$ 2,190 | 4.87 | 16700 NWV Brugger Road | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 10 | Boitano Living Trust | 1N118A001202 | R591283 | (See attached legal description) | \$ 1,061,900 | 4.44 | 16820 NWV Brugger Road | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 11 | Verla R Dering Living Trust | 1N118A001203 | R591292 | (See attached legal description) | \$ 147,200 | 8.03 | 16710 NWV Brugger Road | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 12 | Melinda K \& Richard T Green | 1N119BA08000 | R2014189 | DEERFIELD NO. 2 Lot 145 | \$ $\quad 172,370$ | 0.11 | 5666 NWW 179th Ave | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 13 | Tracy \& Julia Reisinger | 1N119BB05300 | R2014221 | DEERFIELD NO. 2 Lot 177 | \$ 167,750 | 0.14 | 5946 NW 181st Ave | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 14 | Matthew A \& Jody L Sneed | 1N119DA10400 | R2063476 | STOLLER FARMS NO. 2 Lot 177 | \$ 247,270 | 0.15 | 4694 NWV Buckboard Dive | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 15 | Praveen Soraganvi | 1N120DA09500 | R2079158 | BETHANY KNOLL NO. 2 Lot 64 | \$ 224,960 | 0.12 | 14801 NWV Elaina Lane | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 16 | Kimberiy So Lagmay | 1N121DD20900 | R2104557 | BAUER HIGHLANDS Lot 112 | \$ 262,400 | 0.09 | 12543 NW Forest Spring Lane | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 17 | Diaa E Nassar \& Doaa S El-Haggan | 1N128AB09000 | R2102838 | WILLOW CREEK HEIGHTS Lot 85 | \$ 268,660 | 0.13 | 3740 NWV Pinon Hills Tcrs. | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 18 | Nicholas G \& Virginia F Calley | 1N129AB03300 | R2065349 | CLAREMONT No. 7 Lot 374 | \$ 502,390 | 0.14 | 15258 NW Troon Way | Portland | OR | 97229 |
| 19 | David R \& Lindsey E Pierson | 1N129DD05200 | R610020 | OAK HILL NO. 8 Lot 455 | \$ 207,310 | 0.20 | 14766 NWV Bonnerille Pl. | Beaverton | OR | 97006 |
| 20 | Alfred She \& Susan Choi | ${ }^{15129 B C 02100}$ | R2036860 | SATTERBERG HEIGHTS Lot 16 | \$ 225,710 | 0.15 | 8540 SW Wakkila Terr | Beaverton | OR | 97007 |
| 21 | Troy \& Tracy D Peterson | 1S129BC04800 | R2036887 | SATTERBERG HEIGHTS Lot 43 | \$ 293,410 | 0.16 | 8768 SW Wakkila Terr | Beaverton | OR | 97007 |
| 22 | Hering-Auyeung Family Trust | 1S129BC12500 | R2078898 | DEER CREEK Lot 3 | \$ 235,850 | 0.12 | 8560 SW 165th Ave | Beaverton | OR | 97007 |
| 23 | Ronald S \& Michelle WV Gale | 1S130AB10300 | R2099268 | BROOKRIDGE NO. 2 Lot 35 | \$ 328,490 | 0.17 | 17407 SW Constance Street | Beaverton | OR | 97007 |
| 24 | Daniel R \& Carol Brooke | 1S130BB15800 | R976073 | FALLATIN NO. 3 Lot 77 | \$ 252,530 | 0.13 | 8440 SW 184th Loop | Beaverton | OR | 97007 |
| 25 | Rose \& Yung H Hahn | 1S130BC08100 | R2134591 | RENAISSANCE POINTE NO. 3 Lot 132 | \$ 404,030 | 0.14 | 8713 SWV 184th Dive | Beaverton | OR | 97007 |
| 26 | Mark R Schnieder Living Trust \& Kimberly A Schneider Living Trust | 1S130DB04600 | R2066304 | KOLDING GROVE Lot 14 | \$ 464,780 | 0.31 | 17187 SW Soren Court | Beaverton | OR | 97007 |
| 27 | THPRD - Tennax Woods | 1S132CC11800 | R2170271 | 2009-058 PARTITION PLAT Lot 1 | \$ | 8.47 | No address found | Beaverton | OR | 97007 |

\$ 7,540,480 77.45



PREPARED BY:
ED MURPHY \& ASSOCIATES 9875 SW MURDOCK STREET

TIGARD, OREGON 97224
PHONE: 503.624.4625
FAX: 503.968.1674

Figure B2: Voluntary Annexation Program Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District Annexation Washington County, Oregon
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## RESOLUTION NO. 3842

## A RESOLUTION APPROVING PETITIONS FOR ANNEXATION OF TERRITORY WITHIN THE CITY OF BEAVERTON TO THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK AND RECREATION DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District (District) has adopted a voluntary program for annexation to the District; and

WHEREAS, the owners of four parcels within the City of Beaverton have requested annexation of their property to the District; and

WHEREAS, the District is the primary parks and recreation provider for the City; and

WHEREAS, Goal 5.8 .1 of the City's acknowledged Comprehensive Plan states that the City shall: "Cooperate with THPRD in implementation of its 20-Year Comprehensive Master Plan and Trails Master Plan in order to ensure adequate parks and recreation facilities and programs for current and future City residents."

WHEREAS, ORS 198.720(1) requires cities to submit a resolution approving a petition for annexation to a district for property within their corporate limits; and

WHEREAS, the City wishes to encourage property owners within the City to annex their property to the District; and

WHEREAS, the City desires to be supportive of the District; therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BEAVERTON, OREGON

Council supports the annexation of any properties within the City to the Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District when requested by the property owner(s).

Adopted by the Council this 14 th day of $\qquad$ November 2005.

Approved by the Mayor this 15 Ehday of NOUESKGER, 2005.

Ayes: $\qquad$


Nays: 0


MEMO

DATE: October 24, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning
RE: $\quad$ Statewide Transportation Improvement Program Grant Application
Introduction
The Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) is accepting applications for assistance in funding transportation projects under the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) for fiscal years 2015-2018. Applications are due by November 24, 2012. Staff is recommending that the District submit three applications for trail projects: 1) the Beaverton Creek Trail (Crescent Connection) from the Westside Trail east to Hocken Avenue; 2) the Fanno Creek Trail/Hall Boulevard bridge crossing; and 3) the Westside Trail from the Merlo Light Rail Station north to Greenbrier Parkway, which is the street just south of the Sunset Highway/US 26. Staff requests the Board of Directors approval to apply for funding assistance.

## Background

The STIP prioritizes and provides funding for transportation projects throughout the state. Every two years, ODOT solicits for projects to be added to the list. As part of ODOT's consolidation of funding programs at the state level, the STIP will be the only opportunity for local agencies to apply for funding for transportation projects, which includes trails. At the request of ODOT's Active Transportation staff, and to show the need for funding of bicycle and pedestrian projects, the District has identified three trail projects that would be good candidates for STIP funds. STIP assistance requires at least a $10.27 \%$ match in funding from the sponsoring agency, although providing a higher match is advisable to make a project more competitive.

## Proposal Request

As a high priority project for the District, funding assistance is being sought to complete the Crescent Connection portion of the Beaverton Creek Trail between the Westside Trail and SW Hocken Avenue. This project will complete a gap between the recently completed Westside Trail near the Nature Park and the City of Beaverton's on-street portion of the Crescent Connection (for which the City was awarded STIP funding earlier this year to begin work in 2014).

Total cost for this project is estimated at $\$ 3,830,000$, which includes project administration, design/engineering, permitting, environmental, construction, and a 30\% contingency. Staff recommends submitting an application for $\$ 3,064,000$, which is $80 \%$ of the total estimated project cost. The District's financial responsibility is estimated at $\$ 766,000$, which is $20 \%$ of the total estimated project cost. The District's match would be funded from the FY 2015/16 SDC Fund. A higher local match is being proposed on this project to show that this project is a high priority for the District.

Additionally, funding assistance is being sought to complete the Fanno Creek Trail bridge crossing of Hall Boulevard in the event the at-grade crossing presently planned is determined to be inadequate. Total cost for this project is estimated at $\$ 3,362,963$, which includes project administration, design/engineering, permitting, environmental, construction, and a 30\% contingency. Staff recommends submitting an application for $\$ 3,017,586$, which is $89.73 \%$ of the total estimated project cost. The District's financial responsibility is estimated at $\$ 345,377$, which is $10.27 \%$ of the total estimated project cost. The District's match would be funded from the FY 2015/16 SDC Fund. Grant funding for the at-grade crossing has not been sought from the State because ODOT staff have said that an application for the crossing, which they view as experimental and temporary, would not be competitive. Further, funding for the upcoming grant cycle will not be available until 2016 at the earliest; it is hoped that the at-grade crossing will be completed by the spring of 2013.

Funding assistance is also being sought to complete Segments \#12- \#14 of the Westside Trail between the Merlo Light Rail station and Greenbrier Parkway. Total cost for this project is estimated at $\$ 4,792,633$, which includes project administration, design/engineering, permitting, environmental, land acquisition/right-of-way, construction, and a 30\% contingency. Staff recommends submitting an application for $\$ 4,300,429$, which is $89.73 \%$ of the total estimated project cost. The District's financial responsibility is estimated at $\$ 492,204$, which is $10.27 \%$ of the total estimated project cost. The District's match would be funded from the FY 2015/16 SDC Fund.

## Benefits of Proposal

With successful applications, the District will receive funds to complete three projects in its regional trail network.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There is no potential downside to the proposal.

## Maintenance Impact

The impact to maintenance costs are unknown at this time, but would likely result in increased costs associated with regular and routine maintenance operations on new trail facilities.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval to apply for Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) funds for 1) the Beaverton Creek Trail (Crescent Connection) from the Westside Trail east to Hocken Avenue; 2) the Fanno Creek Trail/Hall Boulevard bridge crossing; and 3) the Westside Trail (Segments \#12-\#14) from the Merlo Light Rail Station north to Greenbrier Parkway.







## MEMO

DATE: October 9, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities

## RE: $\quad$ Resolution Transfer Adjusting Budget Appropriations for the Fiscal Year Commencing July 1, 2012

## Introduction

Staff is requesting Board of Directors approval of Resolution No. 2012-22 to adjust the Budget Appropriations for personnel costs, between the Park and Recreation Services and Administration Divisions, for the fiscal year commencing July 1, 2012.

## Background

In the 2010-11 Budget, the District eliminated the Development Coordinator position and used the savings from that position to fund a contracted service for fundraising services. At the same time, the Jenkins Estate Center Supervisor position was split with half of the time serving as the Executive Director for the Park Foundation.

As a result of staff reorganizations and transfers that became effective November 1, 2012, the position that was being split between Jenkins Estate (budgeted within the Programs and Special Activities Department) and the Park Foundation (budgeted within the Communications and Outreach Department) is currently vacant.

Based on the continuing need to develop corporate and other public/private partnerships with the District and to assure the creation and growth of those partnerships, the General Manager has requested the addition of a Director of Development position. Funding for this position will be provided by the elimination of the Center Supervisor position, currently split between Communications and Outreach and Programs and Special Activities, as well as the reduction of the contracted fundraising services already budgeted in Communications and Outreach.

## Proposal Request

As defined in Oregon Budget Law, a budget adjustment must be approved, by resolution of the Board of Directors, to transfer appropriations within the current budget year. Approval of this resolution will allow the transfer of funds between the two appropriation categories. The resolution has been reviewed by legal counsel.

The resolution only reflects the budget transfer between the Programs and Special Activities Department and the Communications and Outreach Department and does not reflect the reallocation of appropriations within the Communications and Outreach Department.

## Benefits of Proposal

Approval of the resolution will allow the District to proceed with the recruitment and hiring of the Director of Development position. Overall, there is no net increase of full-time positions nor appropriations within the District.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

As a result of recent staff transfers, the recommended position to be eliminated is currently vacant, so there is no apparent downside to this proposal.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of Resolution 2012-22, to adopt the transfer of budget appropriations between divisions for personnel costs, for the fiscal year commencing July 1, 2012.

RESOLUTION NO. 2012-22

## RESOLUTION TRANSFER ADJUSTING BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS <br> FOR THE FISCAL YEAR <br> Commencing July 1, 2012

WHEREAS, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District ("District") has determined the necessity to add one full-time position, Director of Development, within the Communications and Outreach Department, and

WHEREAS, funding for said position will be provided by the elimination of one Center Supervisor position, currently split between the Park and Recreation Services Division and the Communications and Outreach Department, and

WHEREAS, said funding must be transferred to the Administration Division from the Park and Recreation Services Division,

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby resolved as follows:
Section 1. The Board of Directors hereby authorizes the following General Fund appropriation transfers and:

General Fund
Appropriation
Administration:
Communications \& Outreach
Full-time Salaries $\quad \$ 25,676$

Benefits $\quad 7,704$
Payroll Taxes 2,564
Park \& Recreation Services:
Programs \& Special Activities
Full-time Salaries
Benefits
Payroll Taxes

Section 2. This resolution takes effect immediately upon adoption.
Approved and adopted on November 5, 2012 by the Board of Directors of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District.

> Joseph Blowers, President

Larry Pelatt, Secretary
ATTEST:

## MEMO

DATE: October 24, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning

## RE: $\quad$ Resolution Acknowledging Recent Property Acquisitions and Describing Funding Source(s) and Purpose

## Introduction

The Board of Directors always approves of an acquisition at a public meeting prior to its closing, but to retain confidentiality until the acquisition is completed, does not disclose details about it at the time of approval. To increase public knowledge and establish a record of the details and purpose of each completed acquisition, last year the Board began a practice of approving an annual resolution acknowledging completed acquisitions for the previous fiscal year. As with last year's resolution, the purpose of this resolution is to provide the details and purpose of the several property acquisitions completed during FY 2011/12.

## Background

Five fee simple acquisitions and one high-value easement acquisition were completed in FY $2011 / 12$. There were also numerous low value easement acquisitions for trail projects and one right-of-way/wall easement dedication to Washington County that are not addressed in the proposed resolution. It should be noted that not all "closed" acquisitions are "completed" acquisitions. In some cases, a property may have been acquired but an additional property needs to be acquired to have a site that will function as intended. If a property closing was part of an acquisition effort that has not been completed, and publicizing the closing may jeopardize the confidentiality of an ongoing acquisition negotiation, it is not listed within the proposed resolution.

## Proposal Request

Staff is seeking the Board's approval of the attached resolution.

## Benefits of Proposal

The benefit of this proposal is that by approving the resolution, confusion about when, how and why a property was acquired and how it should be used in the future can be avoided by establishing a clear, Board-acknowledged record of the acquisition.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There is no potential downside to this proposal.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of Resolution 2012-23, Acknowledging Recent Property Acquisitions and Describing Funding Source(s) and Purpose.

## A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE <br> TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT ACKNOWLEDGING RECENT PROPERTY ACQUISITIONS AND DESCRIBING FUNDING SOURCE(S) AND PURPOSE

WHEREAS, the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District has recently completed several property acquisitions for a variety of purposes using multiple funding sources; and

WHEREAS, a completed acquisition is hereby defined as one where all properties needed to create a functional site have been acquired; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors always approves of an acquisition at a public meeting prior to its closing but to retain confidentiality until the acquisition is completed does not disclose details about it at the time of approval; and

WHEREAS, to increase public knowledge and establish a record of the details and purpose of each completed acquisition the Board of Directors deems that it should be their practice to regularly disclose such information through approval of a resolution; and

WHEREAS, Exhibit A to this resolution lists acquisitions completed between July 1, 2011 and June 30, 2012 (FY 2011/12) and Exhibit B maps the locations of those acquisitions.

## LET IT HEREBY BE RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT IN BEAVERTON, OREGON, that:

Exhibits A and B to this resolution shall be made available to interested members of the public including THPRD Advisory Committees, the Beaverton City Council, the Washington County Board of Commissioners, Washington County Citizen Participation Organizations within THPRD boundaries, Beaverton Neighborhood Advisory Committees, and the Washington County office of the Oregon State University Extension Service.

Approved by the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District Board of Directors on the $5^{\text {th }}$ day of November, 2012.

Joseph Blowers, President

Larry Pelatt, Secretary

## ATTEST:

[^4]
## Completed THPRD Land Acquisitions

July 1, 2011 - June 30, 2012

| Site Number | Location | Total Size | Acquisition Date | Property Cost ${ }^{1}$ | Property Interest Acquired | Tax Lot(s) | Purpose | Map Classification | Funding Source(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | East of Kaiser Road, north of Springville Road in North Bethany | 7.59 acres | 8/23/2011 | \$2,428,000 | Fee Simple | 1N117A000706 | Future Community Park | Park | \$1,578,000 in 2008 Bond Measure funds \$850,000 in District Local Share funds from the 2006 Metro Bond Measure |
| 2 | Segment 4 of the Westside Regional Trail south of Nora Road | 1.07 acres | 9/9/2011 | \$4,000 | Fee Simple | 1S129CB16400 | Segment of the Westside Linear Park and the Westside Regional Trail | Park | 2008 Bond Measure funds for acquisition of trail corridors and linear parks |
| 3 | 10875 NW Reeves Street (next to Jackie Husen Park) | 0.96 acre | 10/21/11 | \$300,000 | Fee Simple | 1N134AD02200 | Short-term: construction staging site for the JordanHusen Trail project. Longterm: TBD | Park | SDC Funds |
| 4 | Between Jenkins Road and Baseline Road | 0.58 acre | 1/11/2012 | \$110,000 | Easement | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1S105CB04800 } \\ & \text { 1S105CB05000 } \end{aligned}$ | Segment of the Waterhouse Trail | Trail | 2008 Bond Measure funds for acquisition of trail corridors and linear parks |
| 5 | 7360 SW Pointer Road west of Sylvan Court | 0.29 acre | 2/17/2012 | \$150,000 | Fee Simple | 1S101DC03301 | Future Neighborhood Park | Park | 2008 Bond Measure funds for acquisition of neighborhood parks in the NE Quadrant |
| 6 | East of <br> Hideaway Park, North of Hunt Club Lane, east side of $86^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | 2.15 acres | 5/17/2012 | donation | Fee Simple | 1S124AD05600 | Natural Area Preservation | Natural Area (Woods) | 2008 Bond Measure funds for acquisition of natural areas |

[^5]

## MEMO

DATE: October 24, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning

## RE: $\quad$ Timberland Parks \& Trails Improvements Site Naming Request

## Introduction

Staff is seeking Board of Directors approval of the proposed site names for new park and trail properties located within the Timberland development which is a Polygon Northwest Company (PNWC) project. The naming request is pursuant to Board Policy 8.05, Naming of District Property.

## Background

The Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District has worked with PNWC since 2004 to acquire properties containing park and trail improvements within the Timberland development area. On June 29, 2004, the Board of Directors approved a master plan for the development that was proposed as a System Development Charge (SDC) fee/credit project partnership agreement between THPRD and PNWC. Staff continued to work with PNWC for the completion of park and trail improvements, and a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between THPRD and PNWC was implemented on September 25, 2009. Pursuant to this MOU, various properties containing park and trail improvements would be transferred to THPRD once constructed by PNWC, and accepted by District staff.

On December 5, 2005, the Board approved the name "Cedar Mill Creek Trail" for the trail that would loop around the Timberland development. The Park District's 2006 Trails Plan reflects this approval. Also, on December 5, 2005, the Board deferred the naming of the park which is centrally located within the development until the developer named the project. On March 6, 2006, the Board approved the name "Sue Conger Boardwalk \& Overlook" for the boardwalk/ overlook that is currently being constructed directly east of the John Quincy Adams Young House property within the Cedar Mill Creek corridor.

The construction of the new parks and trails at Timberland is nearing completion. In anticipation of THPRD's acceptance of the new parks and trails, now is the time to formally name the new improvements.

Board Policy 8.05, Naming of District Property Policy states:
It is District Policy to name or rename District properties so as to best serve the interests of the District and its residents and ensure a worthy and enduring legacy for the District's park and recreation system. To this end, the District supports consideration of naming and renaming requests within the following broad categories:

1. Historic Events, People and Places
2. Outstanding Individuals
3. Donors

Pursuant to the Park District's policies and procedures, a naming decision is to be processed as a Level 2 community outreach action. Any written public comment received will be provided to the Board at the November 5, 2012 regular meeting.

For the southeast wooded natural area of the development, staff's initial recommendation was to honor Peter Saltzmann, who came to the Cedar Mill area in 1893 and founded a homestead nearby. Staff's original proposal for this area of the project was Saltzmann Woods Natural Area. However, based on public comment received on October 22, 2012 and subsequent research conducted by District staff, staff has reconsidered their recommendation for naming the wooded natural area.

Staff now proposes to commemorate William Ives, a federal deputy surveyor who established the Willamette Meridian and Baseline in 1851. This notable achievement enabled the legal establishment of donation land claims in the territory which helped lead to Oregon's statehood in 1859. The Willamette Baseline runs east/west through the southern end of this wooded natural area, and the segment of the Cedar Mill Creek Trail within the site follows this baseline.

## Proposal Request

Staff's proposed names with brief explanations are listed below. In addition, a vicinity map showing site locations related to the Timberland development is included as an exhibit to this memo.

1. Cedar Mill Creek Greenway - Natural area following the Cedar Mill Creek corridor running along the west side of Timberland containing portions of Cedar Mill Creek Trail.
2. Cedar Mill Creek Overlook Park - Site offering neighborhood park amenities, located along the Cedar Mill Creek Trail and overlooking the Cedar Mill Creek corridor.
3. Timberland Park - Site offering neighborhood park amenities, centrally located within the development along main vehicular and pedestrian routes and having high visibility.
4. William Ives Woods Natural Area - Wooded natural area characterized by forest cover, located in the southeast portion of the development.
5. Cedar Mill Creek Trail - Name previously approved by Board in December 2005.
6. Sue Conger Boardwalk \& Overlook - Name previously approved by Board in March 2006.

District staff is requesting Board of Directors approval of the proposed names for the parks and trails at Timberland.

## Benefits of Proposal

Board approval of the site names at this time will enable staff to prepare site signage to be installed by PNWC upon District acceptance of the properties. Staff also believes that the proposed names comply with the naming policy and provide site names that identify them geographically while recognizing local history and natural features.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There is no apparent downside to the proposal.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of the staff recommendations or as otherwise proposed by public comment, in accordance with Board Policy 8.05 on Naming of District Property, to name the new parks and trails at Timberland as requested.



DATE: October 30, 2012
TO: $\quad$ The Board of Directors
FROM: Doug Menke, General Manager

## RE: $\quad$ General Manager's Report for November 5, 2012

## Westside Trail Master Planning Process

On October $29^{\text {th }}$ and $30^{\text {th }}$, Metro held its second set of public open houses to discuss and receive comments on a proposed Westside Trail Master Plan. The meeting on the $29^{\text {th }}$, held at Stoller Middle School in the Bethany area, was attended by approximately 85 citizens, including several members of the THPRD Trails Advisory Committee. THPRD was represented by Director of Planning, Hal Bergsma, and Superintendent of Security Operations, Mike Janin. Both were kept busy answering questions about THPRD plans for trail segments within the District and security issues. When completed next spring, the master plan will identify a preferred alignment for the trail. The plan will also include a strategy for wildlife corridor enhancement, trail design, funding opportunities and identify the jurisdictions that will operate and maintain the trail.

## All-staff Meetings

This year's Annual All-staff Meetings were held on Thursday and Friday, October 25 and 26 at the Nature Park Interpretive Center. These meetings are held to update staff on projects and topics of interest in and around the Park District and selected staff provide brief updates on special projects and programs they have been working on. In addition, staff is given the opportunity to ask any question that they may have about the Park District. Each staff person attends only one of the two days to assure operational coverage at our facilities.

## Veterans Day Event

American Legion Post \#124 would like to invite the Board of Directors and public to attend the upcoming Veterans Day event taking place on Sunday, November 11, beginning at 1:30 p.m. at the Bethel Congregational United Church of Christ, 5150 SW Watson Avenue, Beaverton (across the street from the Veterans Memorial Park).

## Board of Directors Meeting Schedule

The following dates have been proposed for the Board of Directors meeting schedule over the next few months:

- December Regular Board Meeting - Monday, December 3
- January Regular Board Meeting - Monday, January 14 (second Monday in January)
- February Regular Board Meeting - Monday, February 4, 2013

DATE: October 22, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities
RE: $\quad$ Energy Savings Performance Contract - Phase II Project Development Plan

## Introduction

Staff is requesting Board of Directors ratification of the findings of the Project Development Plan (PDP) - Phase II conducted as a step in the Energy Savings Performance Contract (ESPC) project. A summary of the projects recommended for this phase of the ESPC is attached. Staff is also requesting authorization to execute the Design and Construction phase of the ESPC project.

## Background

In January 2009, the Board of Directors approved the authorization to negotiate and execute an ESPC with McKinstry, an Energy Services Company (ESCO). McKinstry completed all projects included in the first phase of the ESPC in the summer of 2011, and an update on the first year results was presented to the Board of Directors at the September 2012 meeting.

The initial steps performed by McKinstry in all phases of an ESPC project are the Technical Energy Audit (TEA) and the PDP where energy savings measures are evaluated for rough order of magnitude construction costs and projected annual energy savings. McKinstry has now completed the TEA and the PDP for a second phase of ESPC and the results of the PDP reflected in a PDP project list.

## Proposal Request

The findings of the PDP are being presented for Board of Directors' ratification. The PDP project list is the list from which final construction projects will be selected, now with a maximum construction for all potential projects of $\$ 998,000$. Included in this amount is $\$ 353,900$ of capital replacement appropriations already included in the adopted FY 2012-13 Budget, with the largest appropriation for the Aloha Swim Center HVAC system replacement $(\$ 312,000)$. Including THPRD capital replacements appropriations in the ESPC-Phase II package allows for the energy savings from those projects to be leveraged into the total ESPC package, and also allows for the efficient procurement of these items under the ESPC. The total payback for all of the measures recommended is 19.5 years. Of note, no energy saving measures are included for the Fanno Creek Service Center as this facility was not included in the original ESPC master contract and therefore not eligible for inclusion in additional phases of work for that master contract.

With the ratification of the findings of the PDP and the authorization to move forward, staff will select the final construction project list and the ESPC will move to Design and Construction. In the Design and Construction, final equipment cost calculations will be determined and established as Guaranteed Maximum Prices, and the guaranteed energy savings calculations will be finalized.

## Benefits of Proposal

Ratifying the PDP findings will allow the District to continue with the ESPC-Phase II process. This process allows for mechanical equipment replacement in our facilities with newer and more energy efficient equipment in a manner that is budget bottom-line neutral. The project promotes sustainability along with guaranteed energy savings.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

Continuing with the ESPC-Phase II will necessitate the District to make capital improvements that result in guaranteed energy savings. Such capital improvements will need to be funded through the acquisition of new debt. The projects in the contract will, however, remain bottomline neutral by applying the guaranteed utility dollar savings to the debt repayment.

However, if the District chooses not to proceed with the ESPC-Phase II, it will be obligated to reimburse project costs already incurred in the ESPC-Phase II to McKinstry.

## Action Requested

Board of Directors ratification of the Technical Energy Audit (TEA) and Project Development Plan (PDP) findings conducted as the initial step in the Energy Savings Performance Contract (ESPC) Phase II, and authorization to execute the Design and Construction of the projects.



## Costy

Energy Project Cash Flow - THPRD Combined Phase I and Phase II ighting - Heat Reco


## 



MEMO

DATE: October 24, 2012
TO: Doug Menke, General Manager
FROM: Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning

## RE: Westside/Waterhouse Trail Connection Master Plan

## Introduction

THPRD hired Vigil Agrimis, Inc. as the lead consultant to provide planning and design services for the Westside to Waterhouse Trail Connection bond project. Vigil Agrimis, Inc. has completed the preferred master plan drawings and construction cost estimate. Staff is seeking Board of Directors approval of the Westside to Waterhouse Trail Connection Master Plan.

## Background

On April 12, 2012, the District and TriMet signed a Public Access Easement allowing the District non-exclusive rights to build and maintain the Westside to Waterhouse Trail Connection on an unused section of TriMet property just north of the Tualatin Hills Nature Park. With the easement in place, staff directed Vigil Agrimis, Inc. to begin the site analysis phase of the project. When all of the analysis was completed and put into plan form, the ideal location for the trail alignment became very clear. In order to avoid high quality wetlands and forest within the Nature Park, staff would need to approach the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) with a request to access their property as well. ODOT is the property owner of a sliver of land the trail would need to cross to avoid the environmentally sensitive areas. Staff would also need to approach Portland and Western Railroad (PWRR) who owns an exclusive easement over both the ODOT parcel and a portion of the TriMet parcel.

Staff is currently working with ODOT and PWRR in getting access easement documents drafted and signed. The documents have been reviewed by the District's legal counsel and are now being reviewed by ODOT and PWRR. TriMet and the District have agreed on the language of the Acknowledgment Letter that identifies the PWRR's easement and rights. All parties are interested in allowing the trail to be constructed in the location identified in the proposed master plan and staff anticipates all documents to be signed prior to 2013.

A neighborhood meeting was held on October 2, 2012 with a very light turnout by the public. An existing conditions exhibit and concept plan were discussed informally and comments were received. The exhibits were also presented to the Friends of the Nature Park on June 14, 2012, the Trails Advisory Committee on June 19, 2012, and the Natural Resources Advisory Committee on September 27, 2012. Staff has worked with our consultant to incorporate input and comments from the advisory committees, the neighborhood meeting, and the internal design team into the preferred master plan. City of Beaverton and Clean Water Services requirements have also been taken into account. Staff has not received any additional correspondence or comments regarding the preferred master plan.

Implementation of the proposed trail alignment would include installing a vehicle-rated bridge at the east end of the trail, structural improvements to the existing railroad trestle, and security fencing as required by TriMet and PWRR.

The current total project budget for the Westside to Waterhouse Trail Connection project is $\$ 1,566,952$. The soft cost estimate for the project is $\$ 248,686$ which includes A \& E, permitting and miscellaneous fees. The project management budget is $\$ 24,652$ and the project contingency budget is $\$ 235,000$. The original project construction budget was $\$ 1,020,000$ and the current construction cost estimate is $\$ 385,131$. (The savings are a result of the ability to use the TriMet and ODOT properties.) Therefore, the total current project cost estimate now is $\$ 893,469$ which is below the current total project budget.

## Proposal Request

Paul Agrimis and Steve Roelof of Vigil Agrimis, Inc. will be attending the November 5, 2012 Board of Directors regular meeting to make a presentation with staff on the master plan for the trail. Staff requests Board of Directors approval of the Westside to Waterhouse Trail Connection Master Plan so the consultants can proceed with detailed design, construction documents, and required permitting for the project. The goal is to construct the trail in the summer/fall of 2014.

## Benefits of Proposal

The Westside to Waterhouse Trail Connection project is on track for meeting Bond Program requirements. This connection is a vital link to a contiguous north-south trail system through the District. Vigil Agrimis, Inc. has created a design that meets the needs of the District's Bond Program as well as the needs of TriMet, ODOT and PWRR and the desires of the community. The preferred master plan and project are within budget.

## Potential Downside of Proposal

There appears to be no downside to the proposal.

## Maintenance Impact

The proposed park improvements will create additional park maintenance responsibilities, such as maintenance of the proposed asphalt trail and adjacent vegetation, fencing, bridge and existing improved trestle. The estimated impact cost of these new improvements is \$1,740 annually (labor costs only; does not include capital replacement of asset).

## Action Requested

Board of Directors approval of the Westside/Waterhouse Trail Connection Master Plan.




Taxlot Surveyed, Typ.
(1) The trail will make a perpendicular connection to the sidewalk along SW Merlo Rd. From this location trail users can safely cross the MAX tracks to the Merlo Station using the existing pedestrian crossing area.
(2) Low fencing is proposed to keep users from crossing trail to trail. This will protect vegetation, prevent erosion, and create a safer corridor by keeping trail users separated for a more predictable traffic flow.
(3) Security fencing will keep trail users from attempting to cross the MAX tracks at unsafe locations.

4 Minor structural enhancements to the existing trestle will make it safe for pedestrians and bicyclists. The trestle will need a new guardrail to meet Universal Building Code requirements. Large maintenance vehicles will not be able to safely use the trestle.

The trail follows a vacated rail line over existing railroad ballast resulting in no loss of vegetation.

6 The proposed trail corridor does not impact the Nature Park.

7 The entire trail alignment avoids tree impacts and wetland impacts.

8 To cross the ditch, a short bridge segment maintains an even trail grade and avoids wetland impacts.

This alignment uses a treeless and relatively flat area to connect the existing trail to the railroad corridor.

## Westside to Waterhouse Trail: Concept Plan

Begin Portland \& Western Easement


# Management Report to the Board November 5, 2012 

Administration<br>Hal Bergsma, Director of Planning<br>Jessica Collins, Executive Assistant<br>Keith Hobson, Director of Business \& Facilities<br>Jim McElhinny, Director of Park \& Recreation Services<br>Bob Wayt, Director of Communications \& Outreach

1. Although winter and spring registration will be done separately for the second consecutive year, THPRD continues to produce only one activities guide for both terms. In mid-November, a promotional mailing will be sent to Beaverton-area residents to let them know about the new winter/spring activities guide. Registration starts December 8 for winter term, March 2 for spring.
2. THPRD's charitable spirit will once again be in evidence this holiday season. The Centers and Administration will participate in the Park District's annual food and toy drive, which starts right after Thanksgiving. All food and toys collected will go to the Sunshine Pantry, which benefits those in need in the Beaverton area.
3. The Park District will again participate in the City of Beaverton's holiday open house. The popular event, which draws citizens from throughout Beaverton to learn about opportunities in their community, is scheduled this year for Friday, December 7, from 5 to 7 p.m. Park District staff will provide a variety of information about recreation programs, activities and special events. The city's annual tree lighting ceremony will follow the open house.

Aquatics
Sharon Hoffmeister, Superintendent of Aquatic Program Services

1. The Specialized Aquatics program (formerly named the Developmentally Disabled and Physically Limited program) at Beaverton Swim Center has 135 registrants this term. This is the highest level of participation this program has ever had. Many of the participants are one-on-one with an instructor while others may be in a class with two. This program continues to receive tremendous praise from parents due to our talented and caring professional staff.

Maintenance

## Dave Chrisman, Superintendent of Maintenance Operations

1. Staff is coordinating the synthetic turf replacement on field \#1 at the HMT Recreation Complex. The project is set to begin in November and will take approximately four weeks to complete. Staff will inspect the sub-surface drains and will clean and repair prior to the resurface. The new turf will be a synthetic surface with a rubber fill, similar to the existing. Multi-sports field markings and reference points for manual lining will be permanently inlaid into the turf. The turf is being replaced under the sole source Field Turf contract.
2. Preparations are underway for inclement weather response activities. Procedures for de-icing sidewalks, staff assignments and material supplies are being reviewed and updated. During the upcoming season, staff will apply a liquid de-icing material to facility walkways prior to a snow event as a preventive measure. The liquid material is environmentally safe, biodegradable, water soluble, non-toxic to pets and plants and non-staining. It can be effective up to a week and may reduce the need to shovel or apply further material depending on the severity of snow or ice. Building facility staff will also follow up with sidewalk snow removal using granular ice melt or manual removal.
3. Parks crews are utilizing a new leaf vacuum recently replaced as part of the FY 2012/13 capital replacement program. The new unit has a larger collection hose and increased collection capacity. Parking lots under tree canopies are the highest priority since leaves can quickly block culverts and catch basins. In addition to fall leaf collection, crews will begin their annual tree inspecting and pruning activities. Trees are inspected for limb hazards, general health, dead wood removal and overgrowth that impacts lighting, especially in parking lots or near walkways.

## Natural Resources \& Trails Management

## Bruce Barbarasch, Superintendent of Natural Resources \& Trails Management

1. Explorer Station Network. Originally titled "Interpretive Sign Network," this bond-funded project has morphed into a more interactive concept which will incorporate art and interpretation to engage visitors in our natural areas. A staff and community committee selected four artists who will start a design phase for the project in November.
2. Nature Days in the Park. This traveling event connects people to their local park with staff-led activities and information. During a recent event at Whispering Woods, staff reported that, "a grandmother chaperoning her elementary aged granddaughter said that she hadn't been to the park in years and had forgotten how nice it is to walk around the forest. She also mentioned that she was surprised by all of the park improvements since she'd last visited."
3. Sustainability Committee. The District's staff team that focuses on sustainability issues has recently reviewed the effectiveness of the sustainable purchasing policy, discussed staff driving/carpooling ideas, and reviewed opportunities with the University of Oregon's Sustainable Cities Program.
4. Nature and Trails Map. Staff are actively working on an update to the District's trails map. Mapping of new facilities is underway and different labeling and graphic designs are being developed.

## Planning \& Development

Steve Gulgren, Superintendent of Planning \& Development

1. Westside Trail Segment 18: Planning staff continues to work with the consultant David Evans \& Associates to move the grant project forward. A preliminary trail alignment has been determined and is being revised per comments received from several agencies including Bonneville Power Administration. Staff has recently met with the Trails and Natural Resources Advisory Committees. The first neighborhood meeting has yet to be scheduled.
2. Graf Meadows Trail: The District's internal design team has visited the site to observe the existing conditions prior to the design work beginning. The consultant on the project is 3 J Consulting. 3 J has prepared two preliminary trail alignments and a construction cost estimate for both options. These alignments have been presented to the Trails and Natural Resources Advisory Committees. The first neighborhood meeting to review the project and the two options is scheduled for November 7.

Programs \& Special Activities<br>Lisa Novak, Superintendent of Programs \& Special Activities

1. Staff has been in contact with the gentleman who addressed the Tennis Center ramp at the October Board of Directors meeting. While the ramp meets ADA specifications, the newer wheelchairs used by wheelchair tennis players have a wheel design that exceeds the minimum width of the ADA specifications. Staff is looking into grant funding options to make modifications to the ramp to accommodate the new design. The gentleman was happy with our follow up and complimented our facilities and our efforts to make the facilities accessible.
2. Staff will be recruiting volunteer Garden Stewards for each of THPRD's Community Gardens. The Garden Stewards will receive one free garden plot and will coordinate clean-ups for the gardens, and will also be the communication link between the gardeners and THPRD.
3. The Elsie Stuhr Center's Harvest Festival was a huge success again this year. The event raised over \$21,000 and clocked over 3,600 volunteer hours. A volunteer luncheon was held to celebrate the success of the event and the volunteers.
4. Tennis Center staff has been working with UCLA for over a year to bring a first-time event, the USTA-ITF Women's Collegiate Invitational Tournament, to THPRD November 1-4, 2012.
5. Volunteer Services and Special Events staff initiated recruitment for fall/winter special event volunteers and processed criminal background checks for fall volleyball and basketball coach volunteer positions.

## Recreation

Eric Owens, Superintendent of Recreation

1. The new and updated facilities at Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center are proving to be great new additions to our programs. Conestoga's new weight room has doubled the September attendance compared to 2011 and Club Splash attendance has increased to 95 students compared to 65 last year because of the new classroom.
2. The new and updated facilities at Garden Home Recreation Center are also popular with frequent user pass sales increasing because of the new weight room. September 2012 saw a large jump from previous years. September 2010 and 2011 combined was $\$ 300$ less than 2012. Fall 2012 gymnastics classes are up 62 registrations from 2011.

Security Operations
Mike Janin, Superintendent of Security Operations

1. A few statistics from Park Patrol for Summer 2012 (June 14 to September 3: alcohol events 87; persons contacted in parks after hours 930; park exclusions written 112;
general rule violators (verbal warning) 147; graffiti 30; unauthorized camping 27; suspicious persons contacted 741; THPRD staff assists 483; thefts reported to Park Patrol 0; physical security checks of parks and facilities 9,512.
2. On Saturday, October 20, at 11:30 p.m., several Beaverton Police Officers stopped six individuals in a car at the Stuhr Center parking lot. Their investigation led to the arrest of four juveniles and one young adult for spray painting graffiti onto a brick wall of the Center. The graffiti was applied to an area approximately 47 feet long by 8 foot wide. THPRD staff spent one and a half days cleaning the wall. Besides supporting the arrests by the Beaverton Police, THPRD will be asking for restitution for the cost of labor and cleaning supplies.

## Sports

## Scott Brucker, Superintendent of Sports

1. Sports Leagues: Winter basketball registration for adults and youth is open. All sports league registration is online again this year, with a paper option, including coaching applications. Processing of volunteer coach applications has improved and allowed coaches to be secured earlier in the season. Youth team formation will be completed the last week of November with practices beginning the first week of December.
2. Affiliated Users: Fall recreational soccer and football will be completing their seasons this month as will the fall competitive soccer season. Winter field permits will begin the last week of November for competitive soccer and rugby.

Business Services<br>Cathy Brucker, Finance Manager<br>Nancy Hartman-Noye, Human Resources Manager Mark Hokkanen, Risk and Contract Manager Ann Mackiernan, Operations Analysis Manager Phil Young, Information Services Manager

1. As part of our Geo-Referenced Amenities Standards Process (GRASP), GreenPlay conducted on site visits to 100 THPRD properties the week of October 22. GIS technology will be used to map each site and a quality rating will be applied based on criteria determined by GreenPlay.
2. The Information Services Department has completed the public Wi-Fi installation at Garden Home Recreation Center. This brings us to a total of seven facilities offering free public Wi-Fi to our patrons, including: HMT Recreation Complex (Aquatic Center, Athletic Center, Tennis Center and Dryland conference room), Cedar Hills Recreation Center, Nature Park Interpretive Center, Harman Swim Center, Sunset Swim Center and Conestoga Recreation \& Aquatic Center.
3. Risk Management is working with the agent of record, Beecher-Carlson, reviewing and updating the Park District's Insurance Schedule for the upcoming 2013 renewal period. Due to the economic climate and recent worldwide catastrophic disasters, insurance rates are beginning to rise. Because of the Park District's outstanding loss ratios in previous years, Special Districts Association of Oregon guarantees a maximum annual rate increase cap of 3\% through the end of 2014.
4. The District's Audit firm, Talbot, Korvola \& Warwick, has completed all field work for the audit of the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for FY 2011-12. The District Audit Committee will receive the report for review in late November, and present the report to the Board in December.
5. Oregon OSHA Safety and Health Achievement Recognition Program (SHARP) has entered the last of a five-year evaluation process, with hopes of graduating the last of all its facilities in January 2013. The focus of the SHARP program is for employers to become self-reliant in managing their own safety and health program and to incorporate safety and health into the core values of the organization. The District remains the largest multi-site agency in Oregon, and the first park and recreation agency in the nation to complete this program.
6. Recent modifications in the District's registration system have added online transactions to real-time credit card processing. With these changes, Finance staff will be able to expedite merchant card processing and refunds back to customers. The changes will create efficiencies with the elimination of daily batch processing and declined card follow-up and reconciliation.

Quarerly Grant Report


| Potential <br> Funding <br> Source | Coordinator | Amount Requested | Purpose | Date <br> Submitted | Due Date | Decision Date | Outcome | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NRPA 2012 Serving <br> Communities Grant | Deb Schoen | \$4,000 | Kitchen equipment for delivery of nutrition services (refrigerator, coolers, utensils, warming tray) | 3/13/2012 | 3/13/2012 | 5/1/2012 | Denied | Plan to reapply in Fall, 2012. |
| Autzen Foundation | Lynda Myers | \$4,000 | Family Assistance for Camp Rivendale | 3/12/2012 | 3/15/2012 | Unknown | Received | $\$ 3,000$ to Camp <br> Rivendale swim program. $\$ 1,000$ to general Camp <br> Rivendale expenses. |
| Juan Young Trust | Lynda Myers | \$4,000 | Family Assistance for Camp Rivendale | 3/7/2012 | 3/15/2012 | Unknown | Received |  |
| UPS Grant | Elisa Payne | \$5,000 | Education program support | Mar-12 | Mar-12 | Unknown | TBD | Administered through Rod Coles from the Friends of THNP who is an employee of UPS. Grant application still being processed. |
| Hoover Family Foundation | Lynda Myers | \$3,000 | Swim Program for Camp Rivendale at Beaverton Swim Center | 2/27/2012 | 3/1/2012 | Unknown | Denied |  |
| City of Beaverton Social Service Funding | Eric Owens | \$13,600 | Rec Mobile bicycle safety program | 2/24/2012 | 2/25/2012 | 12-Jun | Denied | Denied due to previous Rec Mobile support in past years |
| Metro | Hal Bergsma | \$334,681 | Land acquisition to expand Lily K. Johnson Woods Natural Area | 1/9/2012 | 1/9/2012 | Spring 2012 | Received | Working on final agreement with Metro. |


| Potential <br> Funding <br> Source | Coordinator | Amount Requested | Purpose | Date <br> Submitted | Due Date | Decision Date | Outcome | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ODOT/FHWA <br> Transportation, Community, \& System <br> Preservation (TCSP) Grant | Brad Hauschild | \$640,000 | Waterhouse Trail, Segment \#4 | 1/4/2012 | 1/4/2012 | Spring 2012 | Denied |  |
| US Soccer Foundation, balance from THPRD GFC | Scott Brucker | \$200,000 | Replace playing surface of Synthetic Turf Field \#1 | Nov-11 | Nov-11 | Feb-12 | Awarded | \$50,000 in-kind product from Field Turf |
| Grow Advantis Community Fund | Lynda Myers | \$4,000 | Family Assistance | 10/20/2011 | 10/31/2011 | 11/28/2011 | Denied |  |
| Reser Family Foundation | Lynda Myers | \$10,000 | Family Assistance | 8/23/2011 | N/A | Oct-11 | Denied |  |
| Regional Transportation Options Grant | Bruce <br> Barbarasch | \$60,000 | Installation of permanent trail maps and directional signage | 7/1/2011 | 7/8/2011 | 10/1/2011 | Awarded | Start of project delayed until July 2012. |
| Oregon Business Development Dept. | Hal Bergsma | \$126,272 | Cleanup of recently acquired property next to Eichler Park | 6/29/2011 | N/A | 7/22/2011 | Awarded | Maintenance staff has been working, subject to State approval, toward contracting for cleanup completion by October 2012. |
| Local Government Grant Program | Brad <br> Hauschild | \$70,000 | Camille Park Improvement Project | 4/6/2011 | N/A | 7/21/2011 | Awarded | THPRD matches \$500,000. |



|  | Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 <br> Holiday Teas@ <br> Jenkins Estate |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Holiday Bazaar @ Garden Home |
| 2 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|  |  | Board Meeting 7pm @ Dryland/HMT | Deck the Halls@ <br> Stuhr Center | Aquatics Advisory Committee 7pm |  |  | Holiday Teas@ Jenkins Estate |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grandchildren's Day <br> @) Stuhr Center |
| 9 |  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|  |  | Stuhr Center <br> Advisory Committee <br> Ioam | Historic Facilities Advisory Committee lpm |  |  | The Polar Express @ Garden Home | Parents Night Out @ Harman Swin Cente |
| 16 |  | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
|  |  |  | Trails Advisory Committee 7pm | Holiday Concert \& Fitness Party @ Stuhr | Sports Advisory Committee 4:30pm |  |  |
|  |  |  | Parks Advisory Committee 6pm | Center <br> Recreation Advisory |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Natural Resources Advisory Committee $6: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ | ${ }_{\text {cormmitee }}^{\text {Tpmm }}$ |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
|  |  |  | holday |  | End of Year Formal Dance @ Stuhr <br> Center |  |  |
| 30 |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |



Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District
Monthly Capital Project Report
Estimated Cost vs. Budget

| Description | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | $\underset{\text { Years }}{\text { Expended Prior }}$ | Expended Year-to-Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | (5+6) |  |  |
| GENERAL FUND <br> CAPITAL OUTLAY DIVISION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CARRY FORWARD PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Acquisition/Jkns Est Row | 90,000 | 90,000 |  | 90,000 | 90,000 | - |  | 90,000 | Budget | 90,000 | 90,000 |  |  |
| JQAY House Renovation | 100,000 | 1,800 |  | 100,000 | 1,800 | 87,371 |  | 1,800 | Budget | 89,171 | 1,800 | 10,829 |  |
| Challenge Grnt Competitive Fnd | 30,000 | 30,000 |  | 30,000 | 30,000 |  |  | 24,000 | Budget | 24,000 | 24,000 | 6,000 | 6,00 |
| Signage Master Plan | 75,000 | 35,000 |  | 75,000 | 35,000 | 22,315 |  | 35,000 | Budget | 57,315 | 35,000 | 17,685 |  |
| Rock Creek Trail Improvement | 6,500 | 3,275 |  | 6,500 | 3,275 | 4,657 |  | 3,275 | Budget | 7,932 | 3,275 | (1,432) |  |
| Commonwealth Park North Trail Alignment | 69,000 | 72,179 |  | 69,000 | 72,179 | 37,691 | 6,972 | 55,438 | Award | 100,101 | 62,410 | $(31,101)$ | 9,769 |
| Matrix Hill Natural Area Rstrn | 40,000 | 3,154 |  | 40,000 | 3,154 | 59,137 | 3,000 | 154 | Budget | 62,291 | 3,154 | $(22,291)$ |  |
| Community Bntt Fund Project | 325,000 | 250,000 |  | 325,000 | 250,000 | 91,632 | 165,908 | 10,829 | Award | 268,369 | 176,737 | 56,631 | 73,263 |
| HSC \& Jenkins Est Exterior Paint | 8,000 | 8,000 |  | 8,000 | 8,000 |  |  | 8,000 | Budget | 8,000 | 8,000 |  |  |
| McMillan Park Bridge | 120,000 | 42,275 |  | 120,000 | 42,275 | 53,320 | 51,675 |  | Complete | 104,995 | 51,675 | 15,005 | $(9,400)$ |
| Carolwood Park Playground | 81,000 | 44,678 |  | 81,000 | 44,678 | 82,822 |  |  | Complete | 82,822 |  | $(1,822)$ | 44,678 |
| Asphalt Paving Rplcmnts (7) | 117,000 | 108,770 |  | 117,000 | 108,770 | 93,913 | 23,975 |  | Complete | 117,888 | 23,975 | (888) | 84,795 |
| East Tennis Air Structure | 153,000 | 153,000 | 102,700 | 255,700 | 255,700 | - | 2,350 | 253,350 | Budget | 255,700 | 255,700 |  |  |
| Fanno Creek Trail Management | 3,000 | 3,000 |  | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  | 3,000 | Budget | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  |
| OBP Grrt-WIkr Rd Mid-blk Crssg | 121,500 | 79,292 | 121,500 | 243,000 | 200,792 | 56,301 | 2,829 | 197,963 | Budget | 257,093 | 200,792 | $(14,093)$ |  |
| GHRC Exterior Siding | 40,000 | 32,287 |  | 40,000 | 32,287 | 7,713 | 855 | 31,432 | Budget | 40,000 | 32,287 |  |  |
| GHRC Exterior Painting | 12,600 | 12,600 |  | 12,600 | 12,600 | . |  | 12,600 | Budget | 12,600 | 12,600 | - |  |
| FCSC Renovation Costs | 33,500 | 33,500 |  | 33,500 | 33,500 | - | 32,785 |  | Complete | 32,785 | 32,785 | 715 | 715 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - | 737 | 23,263 | Award | 24,000 | 24,000 | $(24,000)$ | $(24,000)$ |
| TOTAL CARRYOVER PROJECTS | 1,425,100 | 1,002,810 | 224,200 | 1,649,300 | 1,227,010 | 596,872 | 291,086 | 750,104 |  | 1,638,062 | 1,041,190 | 11,238 | 185,820 |
| ATHLETIC FACILITY REPLACEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resurface Courts (3 sites) |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | - | 10,430 | 29,570 | Budget | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  |
| Indoor Bsktball Standards (12) |  |  | 4,800 | 4,800 | 4,800 |  |  | 4,800 | Budget | 4,800 | 4,800 |  |  |
| Indoor Vball Standards (2) |  |  | 3,970 | 3,970 | 3,970 | - |  | 3,970 | Budget | 3,970 | 3,970 |  |  |
| Synthetic Turf \#1 HMT Complex |  |  | 400,000 | 400,000 | 400,000 | - |  | 400,000 | Budget | 400,000 | 400,000 |  |  |
| TOTAL ATHLETIC FACILITY REPLACEMENT |  |  | 448,770 | 448,770 | 448,770 | - | 10,430 | 438,340 |  | 448,770 | 448,770 | - |  |
| PARK AND TRAIL REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drinking Fountains (3 sites) |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - |  | 5,000 | Budget | 5,000 | 5,000 | - |  |
| Signs |  |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  | 20,000 | Budget | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  |
| Concrete Sidewalk Rpr -9 sites |  |  | 35,343 | 35,343 | 35,343 | - |  | 35,343 | Budget | 35,343 | 35,343 |  |  |
| Asphalt Path Rplcmnt \& Repair |  |  | 145,891 | 145,891 | 145,891 | - |  | 145,891 | Budget | 145,891 | 145,891 |  |  |
| Parking Lot Repair (2 sites) |  |  | 12,400 | 12,400 | 12,400 | - |  | 12,400 | Budget | 12,400 | 12,400 |  |  |
| Play Equipment (2 sites) |  |  | 84,000 | 84,000 | 84,000 | - | 18,190 | 65,810 | Budget | 84,000 | 84,000 |  |  |
| Irrigation System Repair |  |  | 32,200 | 32,200 | 32,200 | - |  | 32,200 | Budget | 32,200 | 32,200 |  |  |
| Brookhaven Prk-Brdg\&\&Brdwk Rpl |  |  | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 | - |  | 35,000 | Budget | 35,000 | 35,000 | - |  |
| TOTAL PARK AND TRAIL REPLACEMENTS |  |  | 369,834 | 369,834 | 369,834 | - | 18,190 | 351,644 |  | 369,834 | 369,834 | - |  |
| Park And trall improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Memorial Benches |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | - |  | 8,000 | Budget | 8,000 | 8,000 | - |  |
| LWCF Grant - Schiflter Park Pavillion |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | - |  | 40,000 | Budget | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  |
| Maxicom Crrts - Fir Grove Schl |  |  | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 | - |  | 4,500 | Budget | 4,500 | 4,500 |  |  |
| ODOT/Westside Trail Segment \#18 |  |  | 90,000 | 90,000 | 90,000 | 1,400 | 19,000 | 69,600 | Budget | 90,000 | 88,600 |  | 1,400 |
| Curb Cut for Rdside Trail Crsg |  |  | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | - |  | 4,000 | Budget | 4,000 | 4,000 |  |  |
| RTO Trail Sign Grant |  |  | 60,000 8.000 | 60,000 8,000 | 60,000 8,000 | : | - | 60,000 8,000 | Budget Budget | 60,000 8,000 | 60,000 8,000 | - |  |
| Geotech Studies Pervious Prkg TOTAL PARK AND TRAIL IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | 8,000 214,500 | 214,500 | 214,500 | 1,400 | 19,000 | 194,100 | Budget | 214,500 | 213,100 | - | 1,400 |
| Challenge grants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Challenge Grants |  |  | 97,500 | 97,500 | 97,500 | - | 7,729 | 89,771 | Budget | 97,500 | 97,500 | - |  |
| TOTAL CHALLENGE GRANTS |  |  | 97,500 | 97,500 | 97,500 | - | 7,729 | 89,771 |  | 97,500 | 97,500 | - |  |
| BUILDING REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASC Pool Tank Resurface |  |  | 65,000 | 65,000 | 65,000 | - |  | 65,000 | Budget | 65,000 | 65,000 | - |  |
| Replace Tile Floor (2 sites) |  |  | 72,000 | 72,000 | 72,000 | - |  | 72,000 | Budget | 72,000 | 72,000 | $3{ }^{-}$ |  |
| Refinish Wood Flors (6 sites) |  |  | 37,300 | 37,300 | 37,300 | - | 14,690 | 19,418 | Award | 34,108 | 34,108 | 3,192 | 3,192 |
| TC Balcony Non-skid Floor |  |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | - |  | 3,000 | Budget | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  |
| CHRC Stairs \& Landings |  |  | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | - |  | 7,000 | Budget | 7,000 | 7,000 |  |  |

Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District
Monthly Capital Project Report
Estimated Cost vs. Budget

| Descripition | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year <br> Budget Amount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expended Prior } \\ \text { Years } \end{gathered}$ | Expended Year-to-Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | ${ }^{(2+3)}$ | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | ${ }_{(4+5+6)}$ | ${ }^{(5+6)}$ |  |  |
| Pressure Grout 50M Boile Pad GHRC Windows 6 gymstss Rm (2) |  |  | 9,500 12.000 | ${ }_{\text {9, }}^{\text {9,500 }}$ | 9,500 12.000 |  |  | 9,500 12.000 | Budget Budget | ${ }^{9.500}$ | ${ }^{9,500}$ |  |  |
| Circulation \& Sump Pumps (3) |  |  | 40,200 | 40,200 | 40,200 |  |  | 40,200 | Budget | 40,200 |  |  |  |
| ssw Chemtrol |  |  | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 |  |  | 2,600 | Budget | 2,600 | 2,600 |  |  |
| ssw Sand Filter Recharge |  |  | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 |  |  | 4,500 | Budget | 4,500 | 4,500 |  |  |
| HSC Circulation Pump Cnctr Pad |  |  | 8,550 | 8,750 | ${ }^{8,750}$ |  |  | 8,750 |  | 8,750 | 8,550 |  |  |
| ssc Wader Pool Auto Feed Sys |  |  | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 |  | 4,382 |  | Complete | 4,382 | 4,382 | 118 | 18 |
| ${ }^{\text {CRA Clean-out Surge Tank }}$ |  |  | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,200 |  | 3,200 |  | Complete | 3,200 | 3,200 |  |  |
| CRA Lane Line Anchor Rplcmnts |  |  | ${ }^{8,000}$ | 8.000 | ${ }^{8,000}$ |  | 9,050 |  | Complete | ${ }^{9,050}$ | ${ }^{9,050}$ | (1,050) | (1,050) |
| Undemater Light Fxtrs 3 sites |  |  | ${ }^{41,900}$ | 41,900 | 41,900 |  |  | ${ }^{41,900}$ | Budget | 41,900 | 41,900 |  |  |
| TC Crt Dvor cables \& Curains |  |  | ${ }^{11,000}$ | 11,000 | 11,000 |  |  | 11,000 | Budget | 11,000 | 11,000 |  |  |
| GHRC R Rpl Citchen Lght Fixtrs |  |  | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 |  |  | 1,100 | Budget | 1,100 | 1,100 |  |  |
| GHRC Exterior Siding Phase 2 |  |  | 29,183 | 29,183 | 29,183 |  | 3,730 | 25,453 | Budget | 29,183 | ${ }_{2}^{29,183}$ |  |  |
| Exterior Cnort Walls 2 sities) |  |  | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |  |  | 2,000 | ${ }^{\text {Budget }}$ | 2,000 | 2,000 |  |  |
| AC Rplcmnt Pea Gravel (n wnow) |  |  | 2,000 21.500 | 2,000 21,500 | 2,000 21500 | $:$ | 1,375. | ${ }^{6} 21.500$ | Budget Budget | 2,000 | 2,000 21.500 |  |  |
| HMT S Fields Playgnd Canopies |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | ${ }_{8,000}$ |  |  | 10,300 | Award | 10,300 | 10,300 | (2,300) | (2,300) |
| Tennis Ctr Court Lights |  |  | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |  | 631 | 3,394 | Award | 4,025 | 4,025 | (525) | (525) |
| Asc Dressing Rms HVAC Units |  |  | 44,000 | 44,000 | 44,000 |  |  | 44,000 | Budget | 44,000 | 44,000 |  |  |
| SSC Roof Exhaust Fan GHRC Boier Buner Tray |  |  | 2,400 8.000 | 2,400 8.000 | 2,400 8.000 |  |  | 2,400 8.000 | Budget Budget | 2,400 8.000 | 2,400 8,000 |  |  |
| FCSC Gas Line Rplcmnt |  |  | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  | 40,000 | Budget | 40,000 | 40,000 |  |  |
| ASC HVAC System |  |  | 288,000 | 268,000 | 268,000 |  |  | 268,000 | Budget | 268,000 | 268,000 |  |  |
| Schlotman House Heat Pump |  |  | 6,000 | 6,000 | ${ }_{6} 6,000$ |  |  | 6,000 | Budget | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  |
| S Fields Concession Grease Tpp |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{11,000 \\ 6,150}}$ | $\underset{\substack{11,000 \\ 6,150}}{10,000}$ | 11,000 <br> 6,150 <br> 1000 |  |  | $\underset{\substack{11,000 \\ 6,150}}{10,00}$ | Budget Budget | $\underset{\substack{11,000 \\ 6,150}}{1000}$ | $\underset{\substack{11,000 \\ 6,150}}{ }$ |  |  |
| Jenks Pancic oorntidw iple |  |  | $\xrightarrow{61,000}$ | ${ }_{\text {10,000 }}^{16,1000}$ | 10,000 |  |  | ${ }_{\text {10,000 }}^{16,1000}$ | Buageet Budget | 16,000 | cine, |  |  |
| TC \& 50M Roof Plans/Specs |  |  | ${ }_{\text {30,000 }}$ | 30,000 | 30,000 |  |  | 30,000 | Budget Budget | 30,000 | 30,000 |  |  |
| TOTAL BULLIING REPLACEMENTS |  |  | 829,283 | 829,283 | 829,283 |  | 37,058 | 792,790 |  | 829,848 | 829,848 | (565) | (565) |
| BULIING IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aquatic Center Pit Pump Scaffolding <br> gimprovements |  |  | $\frac{4.500}{4.500}$ | $\frac{4,500}{4.500}$ | $\frac{4.500}{4.500}$ |  | $\frac{3,730}{3,730}$ |  | Complete | 3,730 3,730 | $\frac{3,730}{3,730}$ | 770 | $\frac{770}{770}$ |
| ENERGY SAVIINGS PERFORMANCE CONTRACT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Energy Saving Improvements <br> cormance contract |  |  | 169,928 | $\frac{169,928}{169928}$ | $\frac{169,928}{169928}$ |  |  | $\frac{1699928}{16998}$ | Budget | $\frac{169,928}{16928}$ | 1699928 |  |  |
| ADA PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CRA 2 50M ADA Rrtf Pool Lift |  |  | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 | - |  | 1,400 | Budget | 1,400 | 1,400 |  |  |
| Portable Pool Litits (4 sites) SSC Trsstr Platorm deng Pool |  |  | 3,400 1.800 | 30,400 1.800 | 30,400 1,800 |  |  | 30,400 1.800 | Budget Budget | 30,400 1,800 | 30,400 1,800 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1,800 8.000 | 1,800 <br> 8.000 | 1,800 <br> 8,000 |  |  | 1,800 8,000 | Budget Budget cter | 1,800 8,000 | 1,800 <br> 8,000 |  |  |
| total ada projects |  |  | 41,600 | 41,600 | 41,600 |  |  | 41,600 |  | 41,600 | 41,600 |  |  |
| TOTAL CAPITAL OUTLAY DIVIIION | 1,425,100 | 1,002,810 | 2,400, 115 | $3,825,215$ | 3,402,925 | 598,272 | 3887,223 | 2,888,277 |  | 3,813,772 | 3,215,500 | 11,443 | $\underline{\text { 187,425 }}$ |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

## Monthly Capital Project Report

Estimated Cost vs. Budget
Through 09/30/12

|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Prior Year Budget Amount | Budget Carryover to Current Year | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative Project Budget | Current Year Budget Amount | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Estimated Cost to Complete | Basis of Estimate | Project Cumulative | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | (5+6) |  |  |
| information services department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| System/workstn Replcmnt |  |  | 67,000 | 67,000 | 67,000 | - | 18,385 | 48,615 | Budget | 67,000 | 67,000 | - |  |
| Server Replacements |  |  | 35,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 |  | 14,319 | 20,681 | Budget | 35,000 | 35,000 |  |  |
| LAN/WAN Replcmnt |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - |  | 5,000 | Budget | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  |
| Printers/Network Printers |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - | 3,072 | 1,928 | Budget | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  |
| Telephones |  |  | 24,000 | 24,000 | 24,000 | . |  | 23,396 | Award | 23,396 | 23,396 | 604 | 604 |
| total information technology replacements |  |  | 136,000 | 136,000 | 136,000 | - | 35,776 | 99,620 |  | 135,396 | 135,396 | 604 | 604 |
| Misc. Application Software |  |  | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | - | 8,000 | 12,000 | Budget | 20,000 | 20,000 |  |  |
| Plotter/Scanner |  |  | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | - |  | 8,000 | Budget | 8,000 | 8,000 | - |  |
| Color Printer for Graphics |  |  | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | - |  | 3,000 | Budget | 3,000 | 3,000 |  |  |
| FCSC Server Rack |  |  | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | - |  | 6,000 | Budget | 6,000 | 6,000 | - |  |
| FCSC Electrical Panel |  |  | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | - |  | 6,000 | Budget | 6,000 | 6,000 |  |  |
| Computer Wrkstns \& Cabling (4) |  |  | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 | - |  | 4,500 | Budget | 4,500 | 4,500 | - |  |
| MACC Grant SSC Wifif Connection |  |  | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - |  | 5,000 | Budget | 5,000 | 5,000 |  |  |
| Badge Printing Machine |  |  | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 | . |  | 2,400 | Budget | 2,400 | 2,400 |  |  |
| TOTAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | 54,900 | 54,900 | 54,900 | - | 8,000 | 46,900 |  | 54,900 | 54,900 | - |  |
| TOTAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT |  |  | 190,900 | 190,900 | 190,900 | . | 43,776 | 146,520 |  | 190,296 | 190,296 | 604 | 604 |
| maintenance department |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLEET REPLACEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Infield Rake (2) |  |  | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | - | 21,626 |  | Complete | 21,626 | 21,626 | 374 | 374 |
| Electric Utility Vehicle |  |  | 11,500 | 11,500 | 11,500 | - |  | 11,500 | Budget | 11,500 | 11,500 |  |  |
| 15-Passenger Van |  |  | 24,000 | 24,000 | 24,000 | - |  | 24,000 | Budget | 24,000 | 24,000 | - |  |
| Light Duty Pickup Truck |  |  | 18,500 | 18,500 | 18,500 | - |  | 18,500 | Budget | 18,500 | 18,500 | - |  |
| Leaf Vacuum |  |  | 10,500 | 10,500 | 10,500 | - |  | 7,442 | Award | 7,442 | 7,442 | 3,058 | 3,058 |
| $52^{\prime \prime}$ Mower |  |  | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | - | 6,861 |  | Complete | 6,861 | 6,861 | 139 | 139 |
| Single Axle Trailer |  |  | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 | - |  | 3,285 | Award | 3,285 | 3,285 | 215 | 215 |
| Tandem Axle Trailers (2) |  |  | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 | - |  | 12,500 | Award | 12,500 | 12,500 | 500 | 500 |
| Mowers (7) \& Trailers (3) |  |  | 88,900 | 88,900 | 88,900 | . | 72,396 | 15,785 | Award | 88,181 | 88,181 | 719 | 719 |
| total fleet replacements |  |  | 198,900 | 198,900 | 198,900 | - | 100,883 | 93,012 |  | 193,895 | 193,895 | 5,005 | 5,005 |
| FLEETIMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tools Trailer - Ntri Resources |  |  | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | - | - | 6,500 | Budget | 6,500 | 6,500 | - |  |
| Wellness-on-Wheels Van |  |  | 26,000 | 26,000 | 26,000 | . |  | 26,000 | Budget | 26,000 | 26,000 | . |  |
| TOTAL FLEET IMPROVEMENTS |  |  | 32,500 | 32,500 | 32,500 | - | - | 32,500 |  | 32,500 | 32,500 | - |  |
| total maintenance department | - |  | 231,400 | 231,400 | 231,400 | - | 100,883 | 125,512 |  | 226,395 | 226,395 | 5,005 | 5,005 |
| GRAND TOTAL GENERAL FUND | 1,425,100 | 1,002,810 | 2,822,415 | 4,247,515 | 3,825,225 | 598,272 | 531,882 | 3,100,309 |  | 4,230,463 | 3,632,191 | 17,052 | 193,034 |

# Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District 

Monthly Capital Project Report
Estimated Cost vs. Budget
Through 09/30/12

|  | Project Budget |  |  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | Estimated Total Costs |  |  |  | Est. Cost (Over) Under Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description | Prior Year Budget | Budget Carryover | New Funds Budgeted in Current Year | Cumulative | Current Year | Expended Prior Years | Expended | Estimated Cost to | Basis of <br> Estimate | Project | Current Year | Project Cumulative | Current Year |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1+3) | (2+3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |  | (4+5+6) | (5+6) |  |  |

## SDC FUND

SDC FUND
LAND ACQUISITION
LAND ACQUISITION
Land Acquisition (FY 12)(Fishbeck Property)
Mahmood Property cleanup costs - DEQ Grant Land Acquisition (FY 13) TOTAL LAND ACQUISITIO

IMPROVEMENT/DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS Fanno Creek Trail
Bonny Slope/BSD Trail Development
WCF Grant Match/Schiffler Park Pavillio 112 th St. Field Constructio
Winkleman Park Phase I
MTIP Grant Match-Westside Trail Segment 18
OBP Grant Match-Waterhouse Trail/Walker Rd Crossing 112th St. Site Improvements
Graf Meadows Prk - Trail Cnctn
Wtrhse Trai-Bronson/Bethany
PCC Rck Crk Dog Prk Cnstrctn
Hart Meadows Dog Prk Cnstrctn Undesignated Projects TOTAL DEVELOPMENTIMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

Total - SDC Fund

| 430,000 | 430,000 |  | 430,000 | 430,000 | 31,001 | 432,319 | $(2,319)$ | Budget | 461,001 | 430,000 | $(31,001)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250,000 |  |  | 250,000 |  | 142,142 | 1,019 |  | Budget | 143,161 | 1,019 | 106,839 | $(1,019)$ |
|  |  | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 |  |  | 1,100,000 | Budget | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 |  |  |
| 680,000 | 430,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,780,000 | 1,530,000 | 173,143 | 433,338 | 1,097,681 |  | 1,704,162 | 1,531,019 | 75,838 | $(1,019)$ |
| 2,011,950 | 50,000 | - | 2,011,950 | 50,000 | 1,850,032 | 6,615 | 83,610 | Award | 1,940,257 | 90,225 | 71,693 | (40,225) |
| 175,000 | 175,000 | - | 175,000 | 175,000 |  |  | 175,000 | Budget | 175,000 | 175,000 |  |  |
| 50,000 | 50,000 | - | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  |  | Cancelled |  |  | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 1,078,743 |  |  | 1,078,743 |  | 975,812 | 469 | 4,771 | Award | 981,052 | 5,240 | 97,691 | $(5,240)$ |
| 282,000 | 282,000 |  | 282,000 | 282,000 |  |  | 282,000 | Budget | 282,000 | 282,000 |  |  |
| 62,205 |  | 20,000 | 82,205 | 20,000 | 69,428 | 61 | 19,939 | Budget | 89,428 | 20,000 | $(7,223)$ |  |
| 50,000 | 50,000 | 62,000 | 112,000 | 112,000 |  | 172 | 111,828 | Budget | 112,000 | 112,000 |  |  |
| 797,947 |  |  | 797,947 |  | 699,215 | 1,026 | 4,500 | Award | 704,741 | 5,526 | 93,206 | $(5,526)$ |
|  |  | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 |  | 3,878 | 296,122 | Budget | 300,000 | 300,000 |  |  |
| - |  | 250,000 | 250,000 | 250,000 |  | 50,000 | 200,000 | Budget | 250,000 | 250,000 |  |  |
| - |  | 144,000 | 144,000 | 144,000 |  | 5,541 | 138,459 | Budget | 144,000 | 144,000 |  |  |
| - | - | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |  | 484 | 49,516 | Budget | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  |
|  |  | 2,739,797 | 2,739,797 | 2,739,797 |  |  | 2,739,797 | Budget | 2,739,797 | 2,739,797 |  |  |
| 4,507,845 | 607,000 | 3,565,797 | 8,073,642 | 4,172,797 | 3,594,487 | 68,246 | 4,105,542 |  | 7,768,275 | 4,173,788 | 305,367 | (991) |
| 5,187,845 | 1,037,000 | 4,665,797 | 9,853,642 | 5,702,797 | 3,767,630 | 501,584 | 5,203,223 |  | 9,472,437 | 5,704,807 | 381,205 | $(2,010)$ |


| KEY |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Budget | Estimate based on original budget - not started and/or no basis for change |
| Deferred | Some or all of Pooject has been leliminated to reduce overall capital costs for year. |
| Award | Estimate based on Contract Award amount or quote price estimates |
| Complete | Project completed - no additional estimated costs to complete. |
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## Monthly Bond Capital Projects Report

## Estimated Cost vs. Budget

Through 9/30/12

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Quad- } \\ \text { rant } \end{gathered}$ | ProjectCode | Description | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  | Estimated Cost toComplete | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basis of } \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { (Completed } \\ \text { Phase) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Project CumulativeCost | Est. Cost <br> (Over) Under <br> Budget | \% TotalExpended toProjectCumulativeCost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Initial Project Budget | Adjustments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current Total } \\ & \text { Project Budget } \\ & \text { FY 12/13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expended Prior } \\ \text { Years } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Expended Year-to-Date | Total Expended to Date |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (1) | (2) | $(1+2)=(3)$ | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | (3-9) | (6)/(9) |
| SE | 97-977 | Camille Park | 77,115 | 1,445 | 78,560 | 43,166 | 20 | 43,186 | 29,167 | Planning | 72,353 | 6,207 | 59.7\% |
| SE | 97-978 | Vista Brook Park | 20,564 | 386 | 20,950 |  |  |  | 20,950 | Budget | 20,950 |  | 0.0\% |
| SE | 97-979 | Greenway Park/Koll Center | 61,692 | 1,153 | 62,845 | 1,428 | 87 | 1,515 | 61,330 | Budget | 62,845 |  | 2.4\% |
| SE | 97-980 | Bauman Park | 82,256 | 1,516 | 83,772 | 22,030 | 990 | 23,020 | 60,312 | Planting | 83,332 | 440 | 27.6\% |
| SE | 97-981 | Fanno Creek Park | 162,456 | 3,044 | 165,500 | 552 | 1,015 | 1,567 | 163,933 | Budget | 165,500 |  | 0.9\% |
| SE | 97-982 | Hideaway Park | 41,128 | 771 | 41,899 | 6,696 | 542 | 7,238 | 34,719 | Planning | 41,957 | (58) | 17.3\% |
| SW | 97-983 | Murrayhill Park | 61,692 | 1,024 | 62,716 | 55,346 | 1,245 | 56,591 | 16,008 | Planting | 72,599 | $(9,883)$ | 78.0\% |
| SE | 97-984 | Hyland Forest Park | 71,974 | 1,150 | 73,124 | 37,396 | 1,696 | 39,092 | 31,908 | Planning | 71,000 | 2,124 | 55.1\% |
| SW | 97-985 | Cooper Mountain | 205,640 | 3,855 | 209,495 | 14 |  | 14 | 209,481 | Budget | 209,495 |  | 0.0\% |
| sw | 97-986 | Winkelman Park | 10,282 | 193 | 10,475 | 3,145 |  | 3,145 | 6,208 | Planting | 9,353 | 1,122 | 33.6\% |
| sw | 97-987 | Lowami Hart Woods | 287,896 | 5,389 | 293,285 | 4,164 | 677 | 4,841 | 288,444 | Budget | 293,285 |  | 1.7\% |
| sw | 97-988 | Rosa/Hazeldale Parks | 28,790 | 537 | 29,327 | 2,309 | 403 | 2,712 | 26,615 | Planning | 29,327 |  | 9.2\% |
| sw | 97-989 | Mt Williams Park | 102,820 | 1,928 | 104,748 |  |  |  | 104,748 | Budget | 104,748 |  | 0.0\% |
| sw | 97-990 | Jenkins Estate | 154,230 | 2,880 | 157,110 | 49,739 | 4,395 | 54,134 | 73,347 | Planning | 127,481 | 29,629 | 42.5\% |
| sw | 97-991 | Summercrest Park | 10,282 | 182 | 10,464 | 7,228 | 93 | 7,321 | 1,937 | Planting | 9,258 | 1,206 | 79.1\% |
| sw | 97-992 | Morison Woods | 61,692 | 1,156 | 62,848 | 28 |  | 28 | 62,820 | Budget | 62,848 |  | 0.0\% |
| UND | 97-993 | Interpretive Sign Network | 339,306 | 6,353 | 345,659 | 7,191 | 2,738 | 9,929 | 329,371 | Planning | 339,300 | 6,359 | 2.9\% |
| NW | 97-994 | Beaverton Creek Trail | 61,692 | 1,157 | 62,849 |  |  |  | 62,849 | Budget | 62,849 |  | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-995 | Bethany Wetlands/Bronson Creek | 41,128 | 771 | 41,899 |  |  |  | 41,899 | Budget | 41,899 |  | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-996 | Bluegrass Downs Park | 15,423 | 289 | 15,712 |  |  |  | 15,712 | Budget | 15,712 |  | 0.0\% |
| NW | 97-997 | Crystal Creek | 41,128 | 771 | 41,899 |  |  |  | 41,899 | Budget | 41,899 |  | 0.0\% |
| UND | 97-914 | Restoration of new properties to be acquired | 643,023 | 12,055 | 655,078 | - |  |  | 655,078 | Budget | 655,078 |  | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Total Natural Area Restoration | 3,762,901 | 69,925 | 3,832,826 | 384,248 | 27,864 | 412,112 | 2,970,767 |  | 3,382,879 | 449,947 | 12.2\% |
|  |  | Natural Area Preservation - Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 98-882 | Natural Area Acquisitions | 8,400,000 | 156,796 | 8,556,796 | 287,568 | 7,191 | 294,759 | 8,262,037 | Budget | 8,556,796 |  | 3.4\% |
|  |  | Total Natural Area Preservation - Land Acquisition | 8,400,000 | 156,796 | 8,556,796 | 287,568 | 7,191 | 294,759 | 8,262,037 |  | 8,556,796 |  | 3.4\% |
|  |  | New Linear Park and Trail Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sw | 93-918 | Westside Trail Segments 1, 4, \& 7 | 4,267,030 | 78,054 | 4,345,084 | 866,544 | 514,788 | 1,381,332 | 2,195,464 | Bid Award | 3,576,796 | 768,288 | 38.6\% |
| NE | 93-920 | Jordan/Husen Park Trail | 1,645,120 | 44,435 | 1,689,555 | 577,273 | 361,709 | 938,982 | 315,397 | Bid Award | 1,254,379 | 435,176 | 74.9\% |
| NW | 93-924 | Waterhouse Trail Segments 1,5 \% West Spur | 3,804,340 | 69,124 | 3,873,464 | 663,280 | 18,880 | 682,160 | 4,421,809 | Design Dev. | 5,103,969 | $(1,230,505)$ | 13.4\% |
| NW | 93-922 | Rock Creek Trail \# \& Allenbach, North Bethany \#2 | 2,262,040 | 70,912 | 2,332,952 | 825,496 | 13,128 | 838,624 | 1,301,538 | Bid Award | 2,140,162 | 192,790 | 39.2\% |
| UND | 93-923 | Miscellaneous Natural Trails | 100,000 | 1,864 | 101,864 | 19,896 | 192 | 20,088 | 81,776 | Budget | 101,864 |  | 19.7\% |
| NW | 91-912 | Nature Park - Old Wagon Trail | 359,870 | 3,070 | 362,940 | 238,702 |  | 238,702 |  | Complete | 238,702 | 124,238 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 91-913 | NE Quadrant Trail - Bluffs Phase 2 | 257,050 | 14,757 | 271,807 | 78,966 | 122,140 | 201,106 | 180,497 | Bid Award | 381,603 | $(109,796)$ | 52.7\% |
| sw | 93-921 | Lowami Hart Woods | 822,560 | 54,490 | 877,050 | 355,087 | 38,371 | 393,458 | 751,109 | Design Dev. | 1,144,567 | $(267,517)$ | 34.4\% |
| NW | 91-911 | Westside - Waterhouse Trail Connection | 1,542,300 | 28,799 | 1,571,099 | 60,450 | 23,151 | 83,601 | 1,487,498 | Budget | 1,571,099 |  | 5.3\% |
|  |  | Total New Linear Park and Trail Development | 15,060,310 | 365,505 | 15,425,815 | 3,685,694 | 1,092,359 | 4,778,053 | 10,735,088 |  | 15,513,141 | $(87,326)$ | 30.8\% |
|  |  | New Linear Park and Trail Land Acquistion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 98-883 | New Linear Park and Trail Acquisitions | 1,200,000 | 22,496 | 1,222,496 | 856,621 | 123,604 | 980,225 | 242,271 | Budget | 1,222,496 |  | 80.2\% |
|  |  | New Linear Park and Trail Land Acquistion | 1,200,000 | 22,496 | 1,222,496 | 856,621 | 123,604 | 980,225 | 242,271 |  | 1,222,496 | - | 80.2\% |
|  |  | Multi-field/Multi-purpose Athletic Field Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SW | 94-925 | Winkelman Athletic Field | 514,100 | 34,517 | 548,617 | 183,310 | 634,229 | 817,539 | 134,305 | Bid Award | 951,844 | (403,227) | 85.9\% |
| SE | 94-926 | Meadow Waye Park | 514,100 | 6,626 | 520,726 | 407,298 |  | 407,298 |  | Complete | 407,298 | 113,428 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 94-927 | New Fields in NW Quadrant | 514,100 | 9,637 | 523,737 | 75 |  | 75 | 523,662 | Budget | 523,737 | - | 0.0\% |
| NE | 94-928 | New Fields in NE Quadrant | 514,100 | 9,630 | 523,730 | 3,827 |  | 3,827 | 519,903 | Budget | 523,730 | - | 0.7\% |
| sw | 94-929 | New Fields in SW Quadrant | 514,100 | 9,633 | 523,733 | 669 |  | 669 | 523,064 | Budget | 523,733 |  | 0.1\% |
| SE | 94-930 | New Fields in SE Quadrant | 514,100 | 9,638 | 523,738 | - | - | - | 523,738 | Budget | 523,738 | - | 0.0\% |
|  |  | Total Multi-field/Multi-purpose Athletic Field Dev. | 3,084,600 | 79,681 | 3,164,281 | 595,179 | 634,229 | 1,229,408 | 2,224,672 |  | 3,454,080 | (289,799) | 35.6\% |
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| $\begin{gathered} \text { Quad- } \\ \text { rant } \end{gathered}$ | Project | Description | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Estimated Cost to } \\ \text { Complete } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Basis of Estimate (Completed Phase) | Project CumulativeCost | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Est. Cost } \\ \text { (Over) Under } \\ \text { Budget } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% Total } \\ & \text { Expended to } \\ & \text { Project } \\ & \text { Cumulative } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Initial Project Budget | Adjustments | Current Total Project Budget FY 12/13 <br> FY 12/13 | $\underset{\text { Years }}{\text { Expended Prior }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expended } \\ \text { Year-to-Date } \end{gathered}$ | Total Expended to Date |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred Park Maintenance Replacements |  |  | (1) | (2) | $(1+2)=(3)$ | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | (3-9) | (6)/(9) |
|  |  |  | 810,223 | 3735 | 813.958 | 720181 | 3,332 | 723.513 | 60,692 | Design Dev (1) | 784,205 | 29.753 | 92.3\% |
| NW | 96-720 | Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Willow Creek | 96,661 | 1,311 | 97,972 | 127,277 |  | 127,277 |  | Complete | 127,277 | $(29,305)$ | 100.0\% |
| sw | 96-721 | Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Rosa Park | 38,909 | 509 | 39,418 | 38,381 |  | 38,381 |  | Complete | 38,381 | 1,037 | 100.0\% |
| sw | 96-722 | Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Jenkins Estate | 7,586 | 34 | 7,620 | 28,430 |  | 28,430 |  | Complete | 28,430 | $(20,810)$ | 100.0\% |
| SE | 96-723 | Bridge/boardwalk replacement - Hartwood Highlands | 10,767 | 172 | 10,939 | 985 |  | 985 |  | Cancelled | 985 | 9,954 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 96-998 | Irrigation Replacement at Roxbury Park | 48,854 | 63 | 48,917 | 41,902 |  | 41,902 |  | Complete | 41,902 | 7,015 | 100.0\% |
| UND | 96-999 | Pedestrian Path Replacement at 3 sites | 116,687 | 150 | 116,837 | 118,040 |  | 118,040 |  | Complete | 118,040 | $(1,203)$ | 100.0\% |
| SW | 96-946 | Permeable Parking Lot at Aloha Swim Center | 160,914 | 1,509 | 162,423 | 195,024 |  | 195,024 |  | Complete | 195,024 | $(32,601)$ | 100.0\% |
| NE | 96-947 | Permeable Parking Lot at Sunset Swim Center | 160,914 | 3,017 | 163,931 | 18,941 | - | 18,941 | 344,952 | Const. Doc | 363,893 | $(199,962)$ | 5.2\% |
|  |  | Total Deferred Park Maintenance Replacements | 1,451,515 | 10,500 | 1,462,015 | 1,289,161 | 3,332 | 1,292,493 | 405,644 |  | 1,698,137 | $(236,122)$ | 76.1\% |
|  |  | Facility Rehabilitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND | 95-931 | Structural Upgrades at Several Facilities | 317,950 | 3,230 | 321,180 | 105,332 |  | 105,332 | 215,848 | Budget | 321,180 | - | 32.8\% |
| SW | 95-932 | Structural Upgrades at Aloha Swim Center | 406,279 | 7,459 | 413,738 | 21,021 | 1,867 | 22,888 | 594,751 | Const. Doc. | 617,639 | (203,901) | 3.7\% |
| SE | 95-933 | Structural Upgrades at Beaverton Swim Center | 1,447,363 | 27,061 | 1,474,424 | 22,757 |  | 22,757 | 1,397,767 | Const. Doc. | 1,420,524 | 53,900 | 1.6\% |
| NE | 95-934 | Structural Upgrades at Cedar Hills Recreation Center | 628,087 | 11,775 | 639,862 | 29,599 | 781 | 30,380 | 507,780 | Const. Doc. | 538,160 | 101,702 | 5.6\% |
| sw | 95-935 | Structural Upgrades at Conestoga Rec/Aquatic Center | 44,810 | 840 | 45,650 | 8,750 | 2,031 | 10,781 | 50,777 | Bid Award | 61,558 | $(15,908)$ | 17.5\% |
| SE | 95-937 | Structural Upgrades at Garden Home Recreation Center | 486,935 | 9,129 | 496,064 |  |  |  | 496,064 | Budget | 496,064 |  | 0.0\% |
| SE | 95-938 | Structural Upgrades at Harman Swim Center | 179,987 | 3,291 | 183,278 | 73,115 | - | 73,115 |  | Complete | 73,115 | 110,163 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-939 | Structural Upgrades at HMT/50 Mtr Pool/Aquatic Center | 312,176 | 4,795 | 316,971 | 233,369 | 20,000 | 253,369 |  | Complete | 253,369 | 63,602 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-940 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Administration Building | 397,315 | 6,209 | 403,524 | 295,390 | 8,700 | 304,090 |  | Complete | 304,090 | 99,434 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-941 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Athletic Center | 65,721 | 85 | 65,806 | 66,000 |  | 66,000 |  | Complete | 66,000 | (194) | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-942 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Dryland Training Center | 116,506 | 1,827 | 118,333 | 23,261 | 1,942 | 25,203 | 32,818 | Bid Award | 58,021 | 60,312 | 43.4\% |
| NW | 95-943 | Structural Upgrades at HMT Tennis Center | 268,860 | 4,994 | 273,854 | 15,250 | 1,910 | 17,160 | 44,661 | Bid Award | 61,821 | 212,033 | 27.8\% |
| SE | 95-944 | Structural Upgrades at Raleigh Swim Center | 4,481 | 6 | 4,487 | 5,703 |  | 5,703 |  | Complete | 5,703 | $(1,216)$ | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-945 | Structural Upgrades at Somerset Swim Center | 8,962 | 12 | 8,974 | 9,333 |  | 9,333 |  | Complete | 9,333 | (359) | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-950 | Sunset Swim Center Structural Upgrades | 1,028,200 | 19,169 | 1,047,369 | 603,363 | 2,278 | 605,641 |  | Complete | 605,641 | 441,728 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-951 | Sunset Swim Center Pool Tank | 514,100 | 275 | 514,375 | 294,280 |  | 294,280 |  | Complete | 294,280 | 220,095 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Total Facility Rehabilitation | 6,227,732 | 100,157 | 6,327,889 | 1,806,523 | 39,509 | 1,846,032 | 3,340,466 |  | 5,186,498 | 1,141,391 | 35.6\% |
|  |  | Facility Expansion and Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 95-952 | Elsie Stuhr Center Expansion \& Structural Improvements | 1,997,868 | 30,962 | 2,028,830 | 2,019,232 | 9,502 | 2,028,734 | 15,498 | Complete | 2,044,232 | $(15,402)$ | 99.2\% |
| sw | 95-953 | Conestoga Rec/Aquatic Expansion \& Splash Pad | 5,449,460 | 83,658 | 5,533,118 | 4,929,809 | 364,307 | 5,294,116 | 144,586 | Bid Award | 5,438,702 | 94,416 | 97.3\% |
| SW | 95-954 | Aloha ADA Dressing Rooms | 123,384 | 158 | 123,542 | 178,701 |  | 178,701 |  | Complete | 178,701 | $(55,159)$ | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-955 | Aquatics Center ADA Dressing Rooms | 133,666 | 1,079 | 134,745 | 180,493 | - | 180,493 |  | Complete | 180,493 | $(45,748)$ | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-956 | Athletic Center HVAC Upgrades | 514,100 | 654 | 514,754 | 321,821 |  | 321,821 |  | Complete | 321,821 | 192,933 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Total Facility Expansion and Improvements | 8,218,478 | 116,511 | 8,334,989 | 7,630,056 | 373,809 | 8,003,865 | 160,084 |  | 8,163,949 | 171,040 | 98.0\% |
|  |  | ADA/Access Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NW | 95-957 | HMT ADA Parking \& other site improvement | 735,163 | 13,584 | 748,747 | 13,872 | 1,496 | 15,368 | 733,379 | Budget | 748,747 | - | 2.1\% |
| UND | 95-958 | ADA Improvements - undesignated funds | 116,184 | 2,168 | 118,352 | 22,610 | 10 | 22,620 | 95,732 | Budget | 118,352 | - | 19.1\% |
| sw | 95-730 | ADA Improvements - Barrows Park | 8,227 | 133 | 8,360 | 6,825 | - | 6,825 |  | Complete | 6,825 | 1,535 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-731 | ADA Improvements - Bethany Lake Park | 20,564 | 193 | 20,757 | 25,566 | - | 25,566 | - | Complete | 25,566 | $(4,809)$ | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-732 | ADA Improvements - Cedar Hills Recreation Center | 8,226 | 133 | 8,359 | 8,255 |  | 8,255 |  | Complete | 8,255 | 104 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-733 | ADA Improvements - Forest Hills Park | 12,338 | 201 | 12,539 | 23,416 |  | 23,416 |  | Complete | 23,416 | $(10,877)$ | 100.0\% |
| SE | 95-734 | ADA Improvements - Greenway Park | 15,423 | 289 | 15,712 |  |  |  |  | Cancelled |  | 15,712 | 0.0\% |
| sw | 95-735 | ADA Improvements - Jenkins Estate | 16,450 | 267 | 16,717 | 11,550 |  | 11,550 |  | Complete | 11,550 | 5,167 | 100.0\% |
| SW | 95-736 | ADA Improvements - Lawndale Park | 30,846 | 40 | 30,886 | 16,626 |  | 16,626 |  | Complete | 16,626 | 14,260 | 100.0\% |
| NE | 95-737 | ADA Improvements - Lost Park | 15,423 | 250 | 15,673 | 15,000 |  | 15,000 |  | Complete | 15,000 | 673 | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-738 | ADA Improvements - Rock Creek Powerline Park (Soccer Fld) | 20,564 | 334 | 20,898 | 17,799 | - | 17,799 | - | Complete | 17,799 | 3,099 | 100.0\% |

## Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District

## Monthly Bond Capital Projects Report

Estimated Cost vs. Budget
Through 9/30/12

| Through 9/30/12 |  |  | Project Budget |  |  | Project Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Project Expenditures |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basis of } \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { (Completed } \\ \text { Phase) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Project CumulativeCost Cost | $\begin{gathered} \text { Est. Cost } \\ \text { (Over) Under } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | \% Total Expended to Project Cumulative Cost |
| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Quad- } \\ \text { rant } \end{array}\right\|$ | Project Code | Description |  |  |  | Initial Project Budget Budget |  |  | Adjustments | Current Total Project Budget FY 12/13 | Expended Prior Years | Expended Year-to-Date | Total Expended to Date | Estimated Cost to Complete |
|  |  |  | (1) | (2) |  |  | $(1+2)=(3)$ | (4) | (5) | $(4+5)=(6)$ | (7) |  | $(6+7)=(9)$ | (3-9) | (6)/(9) |
| NW | 95-739 | ADA Improvements - Skyview Park | 5,140 | 83 | 5,223 | 7,075 |  | 7,075 |  | Complete | 7,075 | $(1,852)$ | 100.0\% |
| NW | 95-740 | ADA Improvements - Waterhouse Powerine Park | 8,226 | 154 | 8,380 |  |  |  | 8,380 | Design Dev | 8,380 |  | 0.0\% |
| NE | 95-741 | ADA Improvements - West Sylvan Park | 5,140 | 83 | 5,223 | 5,102 |  | 5,102 |  | Complete | 5,102 | 121 | 100.0\% |
| SE | 95-742 | ADA Improvements - Wonderland Park | 10,282 | 166 | 10,448 | 4,915 |  | 4,915 |  | Complete | 4,915 | 5,533 | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Total ADA/Access Improvements | 1,028,196 | 18,078 | 1,046,274 | 178,611 | 1,506 | 180,117 | 837,491 |  | 1,017,607 | 28,667 | 17.7\% |
| UND |  | Community Center Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 98-884 | Community Center | 5,000,000 | 93,120 | 5,093,120 | 614,109 | 1,900 | 616,009 | 4,477,111 | Budget | 5,093,120 | - | 12.1\% |
|  |  | Total Community Center Land Acquisition | 5,000,000 | 93,120 | 5,093,120 | 614,109 | 1,900 | 616,009 | 4,477,111 |  | 5,093,120 |  | 12.1\% |
|  |  | Bond Administration Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UND |  | Debt Issuance Costs | 1,393,000 | $(482,200)$ | 910,800 | 24,772 | - | 24,772 |  | Complete | 24,772 | 886,028 | 100.0\% |
| UND |  | Bond Accountant Personnel Costs |  | 241,090 | 241,090 | 42,117 | 18,640 | 60,757 | 180,333 | Budget | 241,090 | - | 25.2\% |
| UND |  | Communications Support |  | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |  |  | 50,000 | Budget | 50,000 |  | 0.0\% |
| UND |  | Technology Needs | 18,330 | - | 18,330 | 23,953 | - | 23,953 |  | Complete | 23,953 | $(5,623)$ | 100.0\% |
| UND |  | Office Furniture | 7,150 | - | 7,150 | 5,379 | - | 5,379 |  | Complete | 5,379 | 1,771 | 100.0\% |
| UND |  | Admin/Consultant Costs | 31,520 | - | 31,520 | 42,619 | 1,369 | 43,988 |  | Complete | 43,988 | $(12,468)$ | 100.0\% |
|  |  |  | 1,450,000 | (191,110) | 1,258,890 | 138,840 | 20,009 | 158,849 | 230,333 |  | 389,182 | 869,708 | 40.8\% |
|  |  | Grand Total | 100,000,000 | 1,705,971 | 101,705,971 | 34,207,829 | 3,391,115 | 37,598,944 | 60,240,226 |  | 97,839,169 | 3,866,802 | 38.4\% |



## MEMORANDUM

Date: October 19, 2012
To: Board of Directors
From: Keith Hobson, Director of Business and Facilities
Re: $\quad$ System Development Charge Report for August, 2012

Below please find the various categories for System Development Charges, i.e., Single Family, Multiple Family, Manufactured Housing Unit, and Non-residential Development. Also listed are the collection amounts for both the City of Beaverton and Washington County, and the 1.6\% handling fee for collections through August, 2012.

| Type of Dwelling Unit | Current SDC per Type of Dwelling Unit |
| :--- | ---: |
| Single Family | $\$ 5,299.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 5,214.22$ |
| Multi-Family | $\$ 3963.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 3,899.59$ |
| Non-residential | $\$ 137.00$ with $1.6 \%$ discount $=\$ 134.81$ |


\section*{City of Beaverton Collection of SDCs <br> | 2,530 | Single Family Units |
| ---: | :--- |
| 15 | Single Family Units at \$489.09 |
| 1,399 | Multi-family Units |
| 0 | Less Multi-family credits |
| 211 | Non-residential |
| $\mathbf{4 , 1 5 5}$ |  |}


| Receipts | Collection Fee | Total Revenue |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 192,553.23$ | $\$ 6,831,687.42$ |
| $\$ 7,336.35$ | $\$ 221.45$ | $\$ 7,557.80$ |
| $\$ 2,624,822.68$ | $\$ 80,892.66$ | $\$ 2,705,715.34$ |
| $(\$ 7,957.55)$ | $(\$ 229.36)$ | $(\$ 8,186.91)$ |
| $\$ 477,456.61$ | $\$ 14,367.00$ | $\$ 491,823.61$ |
| $\$ 9,740,792.28$ | $\$ 287,804.98$ | $\$ 10,028,597.26$ |


| Washington | County Collection of SDCs |
| :---: | :--- |
| 6,664 | Single Family Units |
| -300 | Less Credits |
| 1,881 | Multi-family Units |
| -24 | Less Credits |
| 98 | Non-residential |
| $\mathbf{8 , 3 1 9}$ |  |


| Recap by Agency |  | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4,155 | City of Beaverton | $29.45 \%$ |
| 8,319 | Washington County | $\underline{\mathbf{7 0 . 5 5 \%}}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 , 4 7 4}$ |  | $\underline{\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}}$ |


| Receipts | Collection Fee | Total Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 19,752,217.96$ |  |
| $(\$ 623,548.98)$ | $(\$ 108,285.30$ | $\$ 20,274,326.26$ |
| $\$ 3,956,904.61$ | $\$ 116,511.37$ | $(\$ 4,073,434.00)$ |
| $(\$ 47,323.24)$ | $(\$ 1,463.61)$ | $(\$ 48,786.85)$ |
| $\$ 365,383.21$ | $\$ 7,836.95$ | $\$ 373,220.16$ |
| $\$ 23,403,633.56$ | $\$ 625,707.99$ | $\$ 24,029,341.55$ |


| Receipts | Collection Fee | Total Revenue |  |
| :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | $\$ 9,740,792.28$ | $\$ 287,804.98$ | $\$ 10,028,597.26$ |
| $\$ 23,403,633.56$ | $\$ 625,707.99$ | $\$ 24,029,341.55$ |  |
| $\$ 33,144,425.84$ | $\$ 913,512.97$ | $\$ 34,057,938.81$ |  |


(1) Net of $\$ 1,029,273$ of SDC Credits awarded for park development projects.

## Recap by Month, by Unit

through June, 2012
July
August

| Single Family | Multi-Family | Non-Residential | Total Units |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8,856 | 3,256 | 307 | 12,419 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 26 | 0 | 2 | 28 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathbf{3 , 2 5 6}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{8 , 9 0 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{3 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 4 7 4}$ |

Projected SDC balance as of June 30, 2012 per the budget was $\$ 2,957,793$. Actual balance was $\$ 3,090,215$.
This fiscal year's projected total receipts per the budget are $\$ 2,721,804$.


Courtesy of Jenkins Estate

## "Fall Reflections," by Jan Hardenburger

## $\overline{\text { GALLERIES }}$ A showcase of art to fund Camp Rivendale

Jenkins Estate presents its 39th annual Painters Showcase sale and exhibit from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. this Friday, Saturday and Sunday. Admission: $\$ 5$ adults; 12 and under free. Proceeds benefit Camp Rivendale individuals with disabilities. A reception on Saturday will be from 1 to 3 p.m. and hands-on art projects for
children will be at the main house from noon to $3 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. Saturday and Sunday. The estate is at 8005 Grabhorn Road, Aloha.

Information: www.thprd.org/facilities/historic.cfm.

- Linda Terhark, Jenkins Estate



# State agency honors Tualatin Hills Park District individuals 

The Oregon Recreation and Park Association honored the Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District with four achievement awards during the district's Board of Directors meeting Monday evening.

Individuals singled out for their service to the association include Joe Blowers, board president, and Leslie Mundt, a program coordinator in the district's Sports Department. The THPRD design team earned recognition for its work on recent extensive remodeling of the Elsie Stuhr Center on Hall Boulevard and the Aquatics Department for excellence in programming. Ann Satterfield, the Stuhr Center's health and wellness program coordinator, was recognized as past president of the association.

The awards were announced at the association's annual conference in Medford last month, and ORPA Executive Director Stephanie Redman recognized the winners at Monday's monthly board meeting.
"It is a privilege to honor these professionals," Redman said. "They care deeply about parks and recreation in Oregon, and ORPA, THPRD and the people of Oregon all benefit from their dedicated service."
The association's Natural Resources Section honored Blowers for his work during the past

decade for "showing outstanding leadership, innovation, creativity and dedication in the natural resources field," Redman said.

The association lauded Mundt for her longtime commitment to the organization's Recreational Sports Section. She has served numerous times as section president and helped write legislation that led to what Redman called a highly successful sports training program for the association. A past president of ORPA, Mundt previously received the association's David E. Clark Professional Honor Award in 2005 and its Special Citation Award in 2001.

The park district's Stuhr Center, for patrons 55 and older, was selected for ORPA's annual Design Award for a substantial renovation and expansion of the center earlier this year in downtown Beaverton. The structure's design was a collaborative effort of staff, community volunteers and consultants led by Peter Foster from the district's Planning Department and Tim Wybenga of TVA Architects.
The district's Aquatics Department was honored for an initiative to increase attendance at its open swim sessions. Sabrina Taylor Schmitt, Aloha Swim Center supervisor, spearheaded the purchase of two large inflatable water toys.

# Park district seeks alternatives to Hart Meadows dog park plan 

Neighbors' objections lead to reassessment

Responding to an outcry from neighbors who objected to a proposed dog park at Hart Meadows Park, Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District officials are now considering other options for a leash-free canine area.

About 50 neighbors and concerned citizens attended a Sept. 18 meeting to discuss the park district's plans for an approximately $\$ 50,000$ project to develop a 1-acre, off-leash area. Of the 41 individuals who signed the attendance sheet, only five indicated support for a dog section at Hart Meadows - part of a long green space south of Southwest Hart Road and north of Southwest Rigert Road. The remainder indicated they were opposed, said Bob Wayt, park district spokesman.

Some of the reasons expressed against the project include the following:

- Other park uses: Neighbors did not want to lose space families, children and youth currently use where the dog park would be located for informal games such as football, soccer, volleyball and Frisbee.
- Proximity to homes: Many who objected did not want a dog park close to their homes and suggested a dog park should be located on land that doesn't have close neighbors.
- Noise: The dog park would be noisy with barking dogs, neighbors said, noting those who work non-traditional shifts who are trying to sleep during the day.
- Increased vehicular traffic: The park would attract people from outside the neighborhood.

Park district staff assured attendees that a decision on the dog park would be made
in a timely manner, and they would be notified of the outcome. Staff has recommended the district not proceed with the construction of a dog park in Hart Meadows Park.
"Although we're disappointed by the outcome at Hart Meadows Park, we respect the neighbors' wishes and are now focusing our energies elsewhere," Wayt said. "Dog owners throughout our district have asked for additional dog parks, and we are responding."
The district is currently developing parks at Paul \& Verna Winkelman Park, 10139 S.W. 175th Avenue in Aloha, and at the Portland Community College Rock Creek Campus, 17705 N.W. Springville Road.
"Both are scheduled to open to the public in 2013," Wayt said. "In addition, we will continue to explore other potential dog park sites to meet continuing public demand."

## EVENTS CALENDAR



PAINTERS SHOWCASE | Thirty-two painters, including Chris Helton, whose "Old Scholls Ferry" is pictured, display art along with jewelry artists. Proceeds benefit Camp Rivendale. Reception 1-3 p.m. Sat, Sept. 29; kids art project noon-3 p.m. Sat, Sept. 29; sale hours 10 a.m.-5 p.m. Sat-Sun, Sept. 29-30. Jenkins Estate, 8005 S.W. Grabhorn Road, Aloha; \$5; painters-showcase.com or 503-629-6355

## Park district calls off planned Beaverton dog park

A proposed dog park at Hart Meadows Park in Beaverton has been called off after neighbors protested the plan.
The Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District sent an email to neighbors Wednesday, saying it has no immediate plans to build the one-acre, $\$ 50,000$ dog park off Southwest Hart Road. Plans have been postponed indefinitely.
The majority of neighbors who attended a Sept. 18 public meeting in the park raised questions about increased traffic, smells and noise caused by visitors and their pets.
A few residents supported the dog park, saying it would provide a needed off-leash area.

The park would have been the first one inside Beaverton city limits that allowed pet owners to unleash their animals in a fenced-off, rectangular area.

The nearest one in the park district, which serves about 200,000 residents, is about three miles away at Hazeldale Park in Aloha.
-Dominique Fong, The Oregonian

## NATIVE PLANT SALE:

Want to give your garden a stronger start next spring? Get those native plants in the soil now.

Once established, native plants produce a landscape that needs little care, water, fertilizer or pesticides. They also provide greater benefits for wildlife than traditional landscaping.

Area residents can select from a wide variety of trees, shrubs, and flowering plants in all price ranges and for every spot in the garden Oct. 6 when Tualatin Hills Park \&

Recreation District hosts its annual Fall Native Plant Sale.

The event will be from 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. at the Tualatin Hills Nature Park, 15655 S.W. Millikan Way, Beaverton. Admission is free.

Besides having a broad selection of more than 75 plants to choose from, gardeners can consult with knowledgeable staff and volunteers about native plants and their benefits.

All proceeds support the Friends of the Tualatin Hills Nature Park and go toward future park improvements

# Rules clarify sports field use 

Tualatin Hills Park \& Rec spells out what groups count as "affiliates" and get dibs on facilities

By DOMINIQUE FONG THE OREGONIAN
BEAVERTON - New rules approved this week clarify who gets first dibs on popular athletic fields in the Beaverton area.

## Fields

## Continued from Page E1

Many of the groups already comply with the criteria, which include proof of nonprofit status, background checks of adult volunteers, proper training of coaches, and financial statements. Those who aren't up to speed have until 2015 to compile the data for the district or risk losing their affiliate status.
"It does create, initially, a little bit more time and trouble to get it going, to provide that checklist," said Gary Rowell, administrator for Oregon District 4 Little League, which includes six clubs in the Beaverton area.

Most of the sports group leaders supported the new guidelines, saying they are more clear-cut.

Kevin Rhodes, a board member for Westview Little League, said the policy won't affect the group much, other than gathering official documents.

Rhodes, a member of the committee that drafted the policy, said he was more concerned about sports groups with too many out-of-district players.

Under the new rules, at least 80 percent of a competitive sports group's members must live within the park district or Beaverton School District boundaries.
Sometimes, other commu-

Each week, hundreds of athletes practice and compete in soccer, lacrosse and other club sports on Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District's 300 or so fields.

The district, however, doesn't have enough field space to accommodate every group that wants to play. After district-run programs, affiliate sports groups have priority to sign up for limited field hours.

But what it means to be an affiliate of the district hasn't been clear.

Two years ago, a squabble over the affiliate title divided two youth lacrosse leagues. One lost its affiliate tie with the district. The uproar over the rift drove the district to re-examine its rules.
Board members adopted a clearer, more detailed definition of affiliate by a 4-0 vote on Monday, with Bill Kanable, who volunteers on the boards of a soccer league and club, abstaining from the vote.
"This is going to add clarity of how the district works with these affili-
ates, and who the district affiliates with, so we know all the groups are providing good, responsible programs," said Greg Cody, a member of the sports advisory committee.
The change spells out criteria that hadn't been explicitly stated for the district's 35 affiliate groups in football, baseball, soccer and other sports. Those groups represent about 16,200 athletes, said district spokesman Bob Wayt.

Please see FIELDS, Page E2
nity groups use fields without first signing up or becoming an affiliate, said Scott Porter, president of the SomersetWest Soccer Club, composed of 800 kids. Now, rules are standard across the board.
However, one group questioned the fairness of field allocations among affiliates.
Paul Blackmore, president of Aloha United Soccer Club, alleged that Kanable used his influence as a board member to reserve prime synthetic-turf fields for his own soccer club, Westside Warriors.

Kanable denied a conflict of interest. He volunteers as a president for the club and as president of the umbrella soccer group for the region, Tualatin Hills Junior Soccer League, In those positions, he does not participate in discussions about field scheduling, he said.
"I didn't do anything to help them or get special treatment for them," Kanable said. "I did not use my position to do that, for the Westside competitive program over everybody else."

Because of the ongoing allegations about his involvement, he abstained from voting on the policy during Monday's board meeting, Kanable said.

Wayt explained that synthetic turf fields usually are reserved for competitive sports teams with kids older than 14 years, because they stand up better than grass to rough play in sports such as soccer, lacrosse and football.
Wayt said more than 90 percent of Aloha United Soccer

Club's 1,500 kids were recreational, not competition, players. The club's two competitive teams are assigned game times on synthetic-turffields, he said. As affiliates increase their membership and other sports groups want to become affiliates, fewer times slots are available for fields.
"Field scheduling has become increasingly challenging," said Somerset West's Porter. "More organizations want access to space."
Years ago, Porter, said, his soccer club teams could choose from plenty of fields and could practice on the entire area. Now, some of his fields have been allocated to other sports groups, and his own teams double up.
The district has rejected several sports groups that want to become affiliates simply because there's no more space on the fields, Wayt said. Adult soccer and adult lacrosse clubs recently were turned away.
While the district doesn't have a limit on how many affiliates it can have, it may consider groups that have a service that the district or another affiliate doesn't already provide, Wayt said.
In coming years, the district plans to buy more land with money from a $\$ 100$ million bond measure that voters passed in 2008. Some of those properties could include more fields.

[^6]
## CIDER FESTIVAL: Construction

of the boardwalk trail at Cedar Mill Creek Falls may still be going on, but that won't stop the Cedar Mill Cider Festival from its annual fall celebration. The festival takes place from 1 to 4 p.m. Oct. 14 on the grounds of the John Quincy Adams Young house on Northwest Cornell Road near 119th Avenue.

Festival organizer Virginia Bruce said it took collaboration among several groups, including Cedar Mill Bible Church, Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District and Polygon Northwest to make sure the event could be held safely. "It's a testament to how much people value this new tradition that people look forward to every year," she said.

As in years past, local Boy Scouts will press apples on antique cider presses and give out tastes. Dinihanian's Farm Market is donating 1,000 pounds of apples for pressing. They will also provide cider and pumpkins for purchase.

Those attending can enjoy live music and crafts and find out about local history. Food for sale includes barbecued sandwiches, apple crunch and ice cream, and homemade jams and bread.

Parking is available after 1 p.m. in the Cedar Mill Bible Church lot, 12208 N.W. Cornell Road. Information: cedarmill.org/ciderfestival or call 503-803-1813.
-Cindy Hudson,
cm-hudson@comcast.net

# Winkelman Park to provide space for athletes, dogs 

Bond measure-funded work under way at donated 20-acre property

## By SHANNON O. WELLS

The Times
In a way, the sublimely pastoral landscape along Southwest 175th Avenue near Cooper Mountain makes the development of public green space seem a bit superfluous. But when construction wraps up on the first phase of development at the new 20-acre Paul and Verna Winkelman Park, lovers of nature, athletics - not to mention happy, healthy dogs
likely rejoice.
The approximatel
The approximately
$\$ 1.2$ million Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District project is transforming the sloping former family homestead into a multi-use area. Key features include a large athletic field, gravel walking trail around the field perimeter and 2 -acre fenced dog park, including a bark-chip lined winter paddock and parking lot.
Lead contractor Goodfellows Bros. Inc. created the 360 -foot by 260 -foot sports field by making an approximately 20 -foot cut at the top of the property's steep slope. The excavated
"The kids will feel like they're playing on top of the world. It's beautiful." - Steve Gulgren, THPRD superintendent of planning and
development
soil was used to shore up the south end of the grass-seeded expanse. A 10 -foot fence will help keep athletes from having to scramble down the resulting embankment when soccer balls go astray.
"The kids will feel like they're playing on top of the world. It's beautiful," said Steve Gulgren, the park district's superintendent of planning and development. "The field is surrounded by trees. It's really gorgeous."

Along with a $\$ 3$ million renovation of Evelyn Schiffler Park near down-


TIMES PHOTO: JAIME VALDEZ
Steve Gulgren, the Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District superintendent of planning, stops to assess progress in the development of Paul \& Verna Winkelman Park located near Cooper Mountain.
town Beaverton, Winkelman Park is district in 1974, a local family donated one of several current district projects land to the district for public enjoyfunded largely through the $\$ 100$ mil- ment. Longtime Cooper Mountain resilion THPRD bond measure local vot- dents the late Paul and Verna Winkelers approved in 2008. Approximately man passed along their family home$\$ 547,000$ of the Winkelman project was earmarked from bond measure funds with about $\$ 282,000$ coming from systems development charges, one-time fees paid by commercial developers to cover public infrastructure improvements.

Like with Schiffler Park, which the Evelyn Schiffler family donated to the stead and farm to the district in 1998. Aerial photographs indicate much of the property was farmed, with the exception of its southwestern corner, which is occupied by the new park.
The one-story Winkelman house still stands and is occupied by a family who rents the residence from the park district.

Completed in 2010, the park's mas ter plan outlines amenities, including a multi-use athletic field, dog park, re strooms, picnic shelters, community gardens, adequate parking and a loop trail around the park that will connect with a future regional trail heading to nearby Cooper Mountain Nature Park
Phase one of renovations will focus on those first few items, with the approximately \$2 million second phase to include community gardens, shelters and the nature park trail connection. At this point, the second phase is not funded, so no timeline for its implementation has been set, said Bob Wayt, park district spokesman.

Significant progress is being made in the first phase, however. The fenced, wood-chipped dog park on the park's east side will be open for use this coming winter. The open grassy area, where contractors built a flat knoll to set off the steep slope, will not open until the turf matures, likely in the spring.
"You can imagine standing up here and throwing a ball down there to a dog," Gulgren observed from atop the fledgling dog park. "The dog will get tired in a hurry."
Like with the dog park, the seeded grass on the athletic field will not be ready for sustained use until late summer or fall 2013.

When it opens, it won't be a moment too soon.
"We don't have enough fields (in the district) to accommodate all the athletes who want to play," Wayt said.
Gulgren said it will be worth the wait. "This is really going to be a nice community park."

## Boardwalk, skate park part of Schiffler Park upgrades



Gery Keck, project manager with Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District, shows THPRD Director of Communications Bob Wayt the progress on renovations to Evelyn Schiffler Memorial Park off Erickson Avenue. Much of the funding for the redeveloped park is from a $\$ 100$ million bond measure voters approved in 2008.
$\$ 3.65$ million project funded through
2008 bond measure

## By SHANNON O. WELLS

The Times
What do skateboarders, gardeners and admirers of waterfowl in Beaverton have in common?

By the end of the year, they will share a new place to skate, plant and commune with nature right in the heart of the city.
Commenced in March, extensive renovations to Evelyn Schiffler Park are well under way. If all goes well, neighbors
and visitors by December will be able to enjoy a state-of-theart contoured skate park, a 20-plot community garden area and a 250 -foot boardwalk leading through a 2.4 -acre wetland area.

Those are just the more prominent improvements be ing made to the park, which is accessed through Southwest Erickson and Bonnie Brae Avenue and Berthold streets. The $\$ 3$ million project will also in clude two wooden pavilions one 40 -feet in diameter and another 20 feet; two half-court basketball hoops, including an 8 -foot hoop for kids; two playground areas with elaborate new equipment; a memorial garden area; and additional
parking off the side streets.
The Schiffler Park upgrades - along with another in-prog ress renovation at the donated Paul and Verna Winkelman Park on Cooper Mountain (see accompanying story) - is among several Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District neighborhood and community park projects funded primarily through a $\$ 100$ million bond measure district voters passed in 2008.
Surveying progress on the park - which has been closed to the public since work started last spring - on a recent crisp sunny fall morning, Gary Keck, park district bond planning

See UPGRADE / Page A7

# Upgrade: Input provided 

From page A1
manager, said the green space, established as a district community park in 1974, had gotten "worn down, out of date."

Despite the long closure during the entire, sun-soaked summer of 2012 , Keck said most neighbors he's heard from indicate the extensive improvements will be more than worth the wait.
"This is a 10 -acre park, with a lot of elements. They're all excited," he said of neighbors and other regular park users. "They've seen how we've been working out here since March. When they see us out here making progress, (neighbors) know the workers are doing what they can to make a better facility."

Local residents provided significant input into the park's master planning process through a series of open houses.

Comprising 20 plots of 12 square feet and four plots in raised planters, the community gardens will help fulfill what district leaders call a steadily growing clamor for public planting space.
"There is quite a demand in the district for community gardens," said Bob Wayt, park district spokesman. "Bringing another one on will help us meet the demand."

Near the garden area, the larger of the two new pavilions is being built in one of the newer
sections of the park, a .71-acre parcel the district purchased from Metro regional government in 2007. Funding for the pavilions came through a state water conservation grant, Keck said.
The park's upgraded gravel pathway winds past most of the park's new and improved features, including three triangle-shaped decks that serve as viewing platforms along the boardwalk. The contoured basins in the low-slung wetland were designed to provide bird and wildlife habitat while slowing and filtering runoff water.
"We want it to hold water and slow it down before it goes gushing into the city drainage system," Keck said.
Those looking for more exciting pursuits than viewing birds and nature should find ample stimulation at the newly constructed skate spot. Designed with the input of local skateboarding enthusiasts and built by Lincoln City-based Dreamland Skateparks the roundly contoured concrete structure comes complete with metal rails, steps and ramps for shredders of all ages to enjoy.
"They came in and built this and did a really good job," Keck said.
When the remaining projects are completed, Wayt said the park should be a crown jewel of Central Beaverton.
"Those who walk this park are going to be really pleased what they see," he said.

Just west of Murray on NW Cornell Rd
Sunset Mall Shopping Center
www.cmfmarket.org
EBT, Senior \& WIC coupons accepted
Call Dina at 503/913-7733 to volunteer or for more information.


## PEOPLE IN THE NEWS

## Parks recognition

Joe Blowers, president of the Tualatin Hills Park \& Recreation District's Board of Directors, accepts an award recognizing his natural-resources work from Stephanie Redman, executive director of Oregon Recreation \& Park Association, at the park district's Oct. 1 board meeting. Besides Blowers, the statewide association gave awards to Leslie Mundt, a program coordinator in the district's sports department; the district's design team for the Elsie Stuhr Center makeover; and the aquatics department for excellence in programming.

TUALATIN HILLS PARK \& RECREATION DISTRICT


## Fall Festival raises funds, offers fun

Pumpkin painting, bingo, a magic show, cookie decorating and more will take over the Cedar Hills Recreation Center during its Fall Festival from 11 a.m. to 4 p.m. Saturday, Oct. 20.

Bevin Bledsoe, event coordinator, said there's something for everybody at this annual event that she described as a "great way for us to bring the community to-gether."- The festival is also a fundraiser for the center, and Bledsoe said funds raised will go toward new equipment in the cardio room.

BJ the Clown will be on site from 1 to 3 p.m., and corn dogs, Polish dogs and other food will be available for purchase. Bledsoe said an on-site espresso cart is a new feature this year.
The festival will be held rain or shine. Entrance is free for those under 2, and $\$ 2.50$ for everyone else, up to $\$ 10$ per family. The center is at 11640 S.W. Park Way. Information: 503-629-6340 or www.thprd.org

- Cindy Hudson, cm-hudson@comcast.net
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ New development means development where a land use application must be submitted, such as a new subdivision or commercial building. New development does not include the construction of a single family residence on a vacant lot or a lot that has received preliminary land use approval and the expansion or alteration of an existing single family home.
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[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Does not include soft costs associated with the acquisition such as staff time, title reports, appraisals, environmental site assessments, legal review, closing costs and in some cases, property line adjustments or partitions and site clean-up.
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